



# ACCOUNTING BULLETIN

Faculty of Accountancy  
UiTM Kedah



**2019**  
**Vol. 2**



KOD JALUR / BARCODE

eISSN 2637-0646



9 772637 064007

# Women and ethnic minorities on boards

Nor Azrina Mohd Yusof  
Faculty of Accountancy, UiTM Kedah

Corresponding author: [yina1437@uitm.edu.my](mailto:yina1437@uitm.edu.my)

Worldwide, it is argued that women face a glass ceiling when it comes to holding top corporate officer position. This is because they hold so few officer positions, and hold few board seats. According to Marthur-Helm (2006), a glass ceiling means the failure of women and other minority groups in climbing up the corporate ladder, despite seeing the top jobs, they are still not reaching them due to discriminatory barriers. Whilst, Hacker (1951) stated that a minority group is any group of people who because of their physical or cultural characteristics are singled out from the others in the society in which they live for differential and unequal treatment and who therefore regard themselves as objects of collective discrimination. For instance, in Japan, women comprise less than 1% of average board, whereby in Malaysia, in average, there are about 4.2% women on boards. Surprisingly, there were no women on boardroom was found in Morocco (Governance Metrics International, 2009). In United States, Adams and Ferreira (2007) found that women only held 13.6% of Fortune 500 board seats in 2003. Furthermore, Bourez (2005) claimed that many firms have only one female director that can be regarded as evidence of tokenism.

The biggest corporate scandals such as Enron and WorldCom have turned public attention to the composition of corporate boards of directors who are responsible for firm governance (Singh, Terjesen and Vinnicombe, 2008). This situation is likely to change because boards around the world are under increasing pressure to choose female directors. The extreme promotion of gender diversity occurs in Norway whereby all listed companies must abide by a 40% gender quota for female directors or else, they will face delisting (Adams & Ferreira., 2007).

According to Randoy, Thomsen and Oxelheim (2006), there are five types of diversity. First, the employee representation on boards. For example, in Norway, employees have the right to elect 1/3 of the directors if their firms have more than two hundred employees. Employee's representation adds both to the size and to the diversity of boards. Second, the female board members. By referring to the 448 Scandinavian firms, 14.5% of the board members are women and shareholders elect most of them. Hence, the ratio of female to male board member is roughly 1:7, which is a significant deviation from equality, or 1:1. Third diversity is foreign board members. The fraction of foreign board members that is 8.4% is relatively low, particularly given that most of the public listed firms in Scandinavia do most of their business internationally and having foreign employees. Fourth, in term of age diversity. A survey conducted among Scandinavian firms revealed that the average board member in a listed firm

was 53.9 years old, while the typical Chair was a few years older. A study by Singh and Vinnicombe (2003) found a strong significant difference between gender and age. Lastly, the board positions of CEO and Chairs. The average number of board positions in other listed companies held by the Chair and the CEO are quite limited: 1:1 for the Chair and 1:3 for the CEO. The maximum number of positions is seven for both categories.

Adams and Ferreira (2007) further argued that diversity could enhance board effectiveness by tapping broader talent pools for their directors. Nevertheless, it is still unclear whether adding female directors will enhance the board effectiveness. Does the gender diversity affect corporate performance? This is because if female directors were chosen merely because of tokenism, their impact is likely to be minimal. Besides, there are two concerns raised. Do boards with greater gender diversity look different? If yes, does diversity lead them to perform differently in terms of governance? Hence, further investigation is required to solve the concerns.

### References

- Adams, R.B., and Ferrera, D. (2007), "Women in the boardroom and their impact on governance and performance", *Journal of Financial Economics*, Vol. 94 No. 2, pp. 291-309.
- Bourez, V. (2005). Women on boards: moving beyond tokenism.
- Women on Boards: A statistical review by country, region, sector and market index. (2009). *Governance Metrics International*.
- Hacker, H. M. (1951). Women as a minority group. *Social Forces*, 30, 60-69.
- Mathur-Helm, B. (2006), "Women and the glass ceiling in South African banks: an illusion or reality?" *Women in Management Review*, Vol. 21 No. 4, pp. 311-326.
- Randy, T., Thomsen, S., and Oxelheim, L. (2006), "A nordic perspective on corporate board diversity," Paper Presented at European International Business Academy Annual Conference, Fribourg, 2006.
- Singh, V., Terjesen, S., and Vinnicombe, S. (2008) "Newly appointed directors in the boardroom: how do women and men differ?" *European Management Journal*, Vol. 26, Issue 1, pp. 48-58.
- Singh, V., and Vinnicombe, S. (2008) "The 2002 female FTSE index and women directors", *Women in Management Review*, Vol. 18, Issue 7, pp. 349-358.