NEUTRALIZATION OF NAPHTHENIC ACID FROM PETROLEUM CRUDE OIL BY USING CERIUM OXIDE CATALYST AND 2-METHYLIMIDAZOLE IN POLYETHYLENE GLYCOL

NORFAKHRIAH BINTI JELANI

Final Year Project Report Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Bachelor of Science (Hons.) Chemistry in the Faculty of Applied Sciences Universiti Teknologi MARA

JULY 2019

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION11.1Background of study11.2Problem statement31.3Significant of study41.4Objective5CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW62.12.1Naphthenic acid removal62.1.1Catalytic decarboxylation62.1.2Catalytic decarboxylation62.1.3Liquid-liquid extraction82.1.4Catalytic deacidification112.2Catalytic deacidification12CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY43.1Materials and feedstock3.2Preparation of catalyst143.3Catalyst characterization153.3.1Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)153.3.2Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (TGA-DTG)163.3.3Brunauer-Emmett-Teller Analysis (BET)163.3.4Total Acid Number (TAN) Determination17CHAPTER 4 RESULT AND DISCUSION84.1Catalyst characterization184.1.1Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)184.1.2Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (TGA-DTG)214.1.3Brunauer-Emmett-Teller Analysis (BET)234.2BET comparison of Fresh and Spent of Ce/Al ₂ O ₃ 254.3Total Acid Number (TAN) for untreated crude oil254.4Neutralization reaction without catalyst26	TAH LIS' LIS' LIS' ABS	KNOWLEDGEMENTS BLE OF CONTENTS F OF TABLES F OF FIGURES F OF ABBREVIATIONS TRACT TRAK	iii iv vi vii viii xi xi	
1.1 Background of study 1 1.2 Problem statement 3 1.3 Significant of study 4 1.4 Objective 5 CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW 6 2.1 Naphthenic acid removal 6 2.1.1 Catalytic decarboxylation 6 2.1.2 Catalytic Esterification 8 2.1.3 Liquid-liquid extraction 9 2.1.4 Catalytic deacidification 11 2.2 Catalytic deacidification 11 2.2 Catalyst characterization 12 CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY 3.1 Materials and feedstock 14 3.2 Preparation of catalyst 14 3.3 Catalyst characterization 15 3.3.1 Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) 15 3.3.2 Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (TGA-DTG) 16 3.3.3 Brunauer-Emmett-Teller Analysis (BET) 16 3.3.4 Total Acid Number (TAN) Determination 17 CHAPTER 4 RESULT AND DISCUSION 18	CHA	APTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1	
1.3 Significant of study 4 1.4 Objective 5 CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW 6 2.1 Naphthenic acid removal 6 2.1.1 Catalytic decarboxylation 6 2.1.2 Catalytic Esterification 8 2.1.3 Liquid-liquid extraction 9 2.1.4 Catalytic deacidification 11 2.2 Catalyst characterization 12 CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY 3.1 Materials and feedstock 14 3.2 Preparation of catalyst 14 3.3 Catalyst characterization 15 3.3.1 Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) 15 3.3.2 Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (TGA-DTG) 16 3.3.3 Brunauer-Emmett-Teller Analysis (BET) 16 3.3.4 Total Acid Number (TAN) Determination 17 CHAPTER 4 RESULT AND DISCUSION 4.1.1 Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) 18 4.1.2 Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (TGA-DTG) 21 4.1.3 Brunauer-Emmett-Teller Analysis	1.1	Background of study		
1.4 Objective 5 CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW 6 2.1 Naphthenic acid removal 6 2.1.1 Catalytic decarboxylation 6 2.1.2 Catalytic Esterification 8 2.1.2 Catalytic Esterification 9 2.1.4 Catalytic deacidification 11 2.2 Catalyst characterization 12 CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY 14 3.1 Materials and feedstock 14 3.2 Preparation of catalyst 14 3.3 Catalyst characterization 15 3.3.1 Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) 15 3.3.2 Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (TGA-DTG) 16 3.3.3 Brunauer-Emmett-Teller Analysis (BET) 16 3.3.4 Total Acid Number (TAN) Determination 17 CHAPTER 4 RESULT AND DISCUSION 18 4.1.1 Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) 18 4.1.2 Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (TGA-DTG) 21 4.1.3 Brunauer-Emmett-Teller Analysis (BET) 23 4.1.4 Sourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) 18 4.1.2 Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (TGA-DTG) 21 4.1.3 Brunauer-Emmett-Teller Analysis (BET) 23				
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW 6 2.1 Naphthenic acid removal 6 2.1.1 Catalytic decarboxylation 6 2.1.2 Catalytic Esterification 8 2.1.3 Liquid-liquid extraction 9 2.1.4 Catalytic deacidification 11 2.2 Catalyst characterization 12 CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY 14 3.1 Materials and feedstock 14 3.2 Preparation of catalyst 14 3.3 Catalyst characterization 15 3.3.1 Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) 15 3.3.2 Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (TGA-DTG) 16 3.3.3 Brunauer-Emmett-Teller Analysis (BET) 16 3.3.4 Total Acid Number (TAN) Determination 17 CHAPTER 4 RESULT AND DISCUSION 18 4.1 Catalyst characterization 18 4.1.1 Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) 18 4.1.2 Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (TGA-DTG) 21 4.1.3 Brunauer-Emmett-Teller Analysis (BET) 23 4.1 Sumauer-Emmett-Teller Analysis (BET) 23 4.1 Sumauer-Emmett-Teller Analysis (BET) 23 4.2 BET Comparison of Fresh and Spent of Ce/Al ₂ O ₃ 25 <td></td> <td>e</td> <td></td>		e		
2.1Naphthenic acid removal62.1.1Catalytic decarboxylation62.1.2Catalytic Esterification82.1.3Liquid-liquid extraction92.1.4Catalytic deacidification112.2Catalytic deacidification12CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY143.13.1Materials and feedstock143.2Preparation of catalyst143.3Catalyst characterization153.3.1Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)153.3.2Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (TGA-DTG)163.3.3Brunauer-Emmett-Teller Analysis (BET)163.3.4Total Acid Number (TAN) Determination17CHAPTER 4 RESULT AND DISCUSION184.1Catalyst characterization184.1.2Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (TGA-DTG)214.1.3Brunauer-Emmett-Teller Analysis (BET)234.2BET Comparison of Fresh and Spent of Ce/Al2O3254.3Total Acid Number (TAN) for untreated crude oil254.4Neutralization reaction without catalyst26	1.4	Objective	5	
2.1.1 Catalytic decarboxylation62.1.2 Catalytic Esterification82.1.3 Liquid-liquid extraction92.1.4 Catalytic deacidification112.2 Catalyst characterization12CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY143.1 Materials and feedstock143.2 Preparation of catalyst143.3 Catalyst characterization153.3.1 Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)153.3.2 Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (TGA-DTG)163.3.3 Brunauer-Emmett-Teller Analysis (BET)163.3.4 Total Acid Number (TAN) Determination17CHAPTER 4 RESULT AND DISCUSION4.1 Catalyst characterization184.1.1 Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)184.1.2 Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (TGA-DTG)214.1.3 Brunauer-Emmett-Teller Analysis (BET)234.2 BET Comparison of Fresh and Spent of Ce/Al ₂ O ₃ 254.3 Total Acid Number (TAN) for untreated crude oil254.4 Neutralization reaction without catalyst26		CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW		
2.1.2 Catalytic Esterification82.1.3 Liquid-liquid extraction92.1.4 Catalytic deacidification112.2 Catalyst characterization12CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY143.1 Materials and feedstock143.2 Preparation of catalyst143.3 Catalyst characterization153.3.1 Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)153.3.2 Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (TGA-DTG)163.3.3 Brunauer-Emmett-Teller Analysis (BET)163.3.4 Total Acid Number (TAN) Determination17CHAPTER 4 RESULT AND DISCUSION184.1.1 Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)4.1 Catalyst characterization184.1.2 Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (TGA-DTG)214.1.3 Brunauer-Emmett-Teller Analysis (BET)234.2 BET Comparison of Fresh and Spent of Ce/Al ₂ O ₃ 254.3 Total Acid Number (TAN) for untreated crude oil254.4 Neutralization reaction without catalyst26	2.1	1		
2.1.3 Liquid-liquid extraction92.1.4 Catalytic deacidification112.2 Catalyst characterization12CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY143.1 Materials and feedstock3.1 Materials and feedstock143.2 Preparation of catalyst143.3 Catalyst characterization153.3.1 Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)153.3.2 Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (TGA-DTG)163.3.3 Brunauer-Emmett-Teller Analysis (BET)163.3.4 Total Acid Number (TAN) Determination17CHAPTER 4 RESULT AND DISCUSION184.1.1 Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)4.1.2 Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (TGA-DTG)214.1.3 Brunauer-Emmett-Teller Analysis (BET)184.1.2 Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (BET)234.2 BET Comparison of Fresh and Spent of Ce/Al ₂ O ₃ 254.3 Total Acid Number (TAN) for untreated crude oil254.4 Neutralization reaction without catalyst26				
2.1.4 Catalytic deacidification112.2 Catalyst characterization12CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY3.1 Materials and feedstock143.2 Preparation of catalyst143.3 Catalyst characterization153.3.1 Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)153.3.2 Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (TGA-DTG)163.3.3 Brunauer-Emmett-Teller Analysis (BET)163.3.4 Total Acid Number (TAN) Determination17CHAPTER 4 RESULT AND DISCUSION4.1 Catalyst characterization184.1.1 Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)184.1.2 Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (TGA-DTG)214.1.3 Brunauer-Emmett-Teller Analysis (BET)234.2 BET Comparison of Fresh and Spent of Ce/Al ₂ O ₃ 254.3 Total Acid Number (TAN) for untreated crude oil254.4 Neutralization reaction without catalyst26				
2.2Catalyst characterization12CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY143.1Materials and feedstock143.2Preparation of catalyst143.3Catalyst characterization153.3.1Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)153.3.2Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (TGA-DTG)163.3.3Brunauer-Emmett-Teller Analysis (BET)163.3.4Total Acid Number (TAN) Determination17CHAPTER 4 RESULT AND DISCUSION184.1Catalyst characterization184.1.1Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)184.1.2Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (TGA-DTG)214.1.3Brunauer-Emmett-Teller Analysis (BET)234.2BET Comparison of Fresh and Spent of Ce/Al ₂ O ₃ 254.3Total Acid Number (TAN) for untreated crude oil254.4Neutralization reaction without catalyst26				
3.1Materials and feedstock143.2Preparation of catalyst143.3Catalyst characterization153.3.1Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)153.3.2Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (TGA-DTG)163.3.3Brunauer-Emmett-Teller Analysis (BET)163.3.4Total Acid Number (TAN) Determination17CHAPTER 4 RESULT AND DISCUSION4.1Catalyst characterization184.1.1Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)184.1.2Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (TGA-DTG)214.1.3Brunauer-Emmett-Teller Analysis (BET)234.2BET Comparison of Fresh and Spent of Ce/Al ₂ O ₃ 254.3Total Acid Number (TAN) for untreated crude oil254.4Neutralization reaction without catalyst26	2.2		12	
3.2Preparation of catalyst143.3Catalyst characterization153.3.1Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)153.3.2Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (TGA-DTG)163.3.3Brunauer-Emmett-Teller Analysis (BET)163.3.4Total Acid Number (TAN) Determination17CHAPTER 4 RESULT AND DISCUSION184.1Catalyst characterization184.1.1Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)184.1.2Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (TGA-DTG)214.1.3Brunauer-Emmett-Teller Analysis (BET)234.2BET Comparison of Fresh and Spent of Ce/Al ₂ O ₃ 254.3Total Acid Number (TAN) for untreated crude oil254.4Neutralization reaction without catalyst26	CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY		14	
3.3Catalyst characterization153.3.1Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)153.3.2Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (TGA-DTG)163.3.3Brunauer-Emmett-Teller Analysis (BET)163.3.4Total Acid Number (TAN) Determination17CHAPTER 4 RESULT AND DISCUSION4.1Catalyst characterization4.1.1Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)184.1.2Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (TGA-DTG)214.1.3Brunauer-Emmett-Teller Analysis (BET)234.2BET Comparison of Fresh and Spent of Ce/Al2O3254.3Total Acid Number (TAN) for untreated crude oil254.4Neutralization reaction without catalyst26				
3.3.1 Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)153.3.2 Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (TGA-DTG)163.3.3 Brunauer-Emmett-Teller Analysis (BET)163.3.4 Total Acid Number (TAN) Determination17CHAPTER 4 RESULT AND DISCUSION4.1 Catalyst characterization184.1.1 Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)184.1.2 Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (TGA-DTG)214.1.3 Brunauer-Emmett-Teller Analysis (BET)234.2 BET Comparison of Fresh and Spent of Ce/Al2O3254.3 Total Acid Number (TAN) for untreated crude oil254.4 Neutralization reaction without catalyst26		1 5		
3.3.2 Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (TGA-DTG)163.3.3 Brunauer-Emmett-Teller Analysis (BET)163.3.4 Total Acid Number (TAN) Determination17CHAPTER 4 RESULT AND DISCUSION4.1 Catalyst characterization184.1.1 Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)184.1.2 Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (TGA-DTG)214.1.3 Brunauer-Emmett-Teller Analysis (BET)234.2 BET Comparison of Fresh and Spent of Ce/Al2O3254.3 Total Acid Number (TAN) for untreated crude oil254.4 Neutralization reaction without catalyst26	3.3	-		
3.3.3 Brunauer-Emmett-Teller Analysis (BET)163.3.4 Total Acid Number (TAN) Determination17CHAPTER 4 RESULT AND DISCUSION4.1 Catalyst characterization184.1.1 Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)184.1.2 Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (TGA-DTG)214.1.3 Brunauer-Emmett-Teller Analysis (BET)234.2 BET Comparison of Fresh and Spent of Ce/Al2O3254.3 Total Acid Number (TAN) for untreated crude oil254.4 Neutralization reaction without catalyst26		· · · · ·		
3.3.4 Total Acid Number (TAN) Determination17CHAPTER 4 RESULT AND DISCUSION184.1 Catalyst characterization184.1.1 Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)184.1.2 Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (TGA-DTG)214.1.3 Brunauer-Emmett-Teller Analysis (BET)234.2 BET Comparison of Fresh and Spent of Ce/Al2O3254.3 Total Acid Number (TAN) for untreated crude oil254.4 Neutralization reaction without catalyst26		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
4.1Catalyst characterization184.1.1Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)184.1.2Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (TGA-DTG)214.1.3Brunauer-Emmett-Teller Analysis (BET)234.2BET Comparison of Fresh and Spent of Ce/Al2O3254.3Total Acid Number (TAN) for untreated crude oil254.4Neutralization reaction without catalyst26				
4.1Catalyst characterization184.1.1Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)184.1.2Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (TGA-DTG)214.1.3Brunauer-Emmett-Teller Analysis (BET)234.2BET Comparison of Fresh and Spent of Ce/Al2O3254.3Total Acid Number (TAN) for untreated crude oil254.4Neutralization reaction without catalyst26	CHAPTER 4 RESULT AND DISCUSION 15			
4.1.2 Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (TGA-DTG)214.1.3 Brunauer-Emmett-Teller Analysis (BET)234.2 BET Comparison of Fresh and Spent of Ce/Al2O3254.3 Total Acid Number (TAN) for untreated crude oil254.4 Neutralization reaction without catalyst26				
4.1.3 Brunauer-Emmett-Teller Analysis (BET)234.2 BET Comparison of Fresh and Spent of Ce/Al2O3254.3 Total Acid Number (TAN) for untreated crude oil254.4 Neutralization reaction without catalyst26		1 10		
4.2BET Comparison of Fresh and Spent of Ce/Al2O3254.3Total Acid Number (TAN) for untreated crude oil254.4Neutralization reaction without catalyst26		• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
4.3Total Acid Number (TAN) for untreated crude oil254.4Neutralization reaction without catalyst26	4.0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
4.4Neutralization reaction without catalyst26				
	т .т	•	20	

4.5	Effect of Ce/Al ₂ O ₃ catalyst loading	27	
4.6	Effect of catalyst calcination temperature	30	
4.7	Catalyst reusability	31	
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION		33	
5.1	Conclusion	33	
5.2	Recommendation	34	
REI	REFERENCES		
APF	APPENDIX		

CURRICULUM VITAE	41

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Caption	Page
4.1	FTIR assignment of Ce/Al ₂ O ₃ catalyst.	21
4.2	TGA-DTG analysis in term of weight loss and deduction	22
4.3	BET surface area and average pore diameter of Ce/Al ₂ O ₃ catalyst at different temperature	24
4.4	BET surface area and average pore diameter of fresh and spent Ce/Al_2O_3 catalyst.	25

ABSTRACT

NEUTRALIZATION OF NAPHTHENIC ACID FROM PETROLEUM CRUDE OIL BY USING CERIUM OXIDE CATALYST AND 2-METHYLIMIDAZOLE IN POLYETHYLENE GLYCOL

The presence of relatively high naphthenic acid in crude oil may contribute to the major corrosion in oil pipelines and distillation units in crude oil refineries. Thus, high concentration NA crude oil is considered to be of low quality and is marketed at lower prices. In order to overcome this problem, neutralization method had been develop and used to reduce the TAN value in crude oil. In this study, crude oil from Petronas Penapisan Melaka was investigated. The parameters studied were reagent concentration, catalyst loading, calcination temperature and reusability of the potential catalyst. Basic chemical used were 2methylimidazole in polyethylene glycol (PEG 600) with concentration 100, 500 and 1000 ppm. Cerium oxide based catalysts supported onto alumina prepared with different calcination temperatures. The catalyst was characterized by using Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET), Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) and Thermogravimetry Analysis-Differential Thermal Gravity (TGA-DTG) to study physical properties of the catalyst. The FTIR result for the catalysts at all calcination temperature shows a peak in the range 590.40 cm⁻¹ - 566.73 cm⁻¹. This was due to the stretching mode of metal oxide group while the FTIR result for Ce/Al₂O₃ after the reaction was shown the another peak was appear in the band 2922.78-2853.08 cm⁻¹ which is represent by the C-H (sp³, stretching) of the alkane group. For TGA, the impurities and the water from the catalyst have been removed by thermal treatment. All the nitrate compound originated from the metal precursor was removed. The Ce/Al₂O₃ catalsyt calcined at 1000°C was the best catalyst due to larger surface area formation that will lead to increment of active sites and thus will boost the catalytic activity. The result showed that the Ce/Al_2O_3 catalyst meet Petronas requirement as the TAN value reduced to 0.6 mgKOH/g from original TAN value of 4.22 mgKOH/g. The best reduction of TAN was achieved by using catalyst loading of 0.39% and reagent of 1000 ppm.