

COEFFICIENT BOUNDS FOR A CERTAIN SUBCLASS OF CLOSE-TO-CONVEX FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH THE KOEBE FUNCTION

Sidik Rathi, Shaharuddin Cik Soh and Ajab Akbarally

*Faculty of Computer and Mathematical Sciences, Universiti Teknologi MARA, 40450 Shah
 Alam, Selangor, Malaysia*

sidik@tmsk.uitm.edu.my, shahar@tmsk.uitm.edu.my, ajab@tmsk.uitm.edu.my

ABSTRACT

We consider here the functions $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ which are analytic and univalent in the open unit disc $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$, normalized by $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(0) = 1$. By $C(\lambda, \delta)$, we denote a new subclass of close-to-convex function such that $\operatorname{Re} \left\{ e^{i\lambda} \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} \right\} > \delta$ for which $\cos \lambda > \delta$, $0 \leq \delta < 1$, $|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $g(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}$. In this paper, we give the representation theorem and obtain the coefficient bounds for functions in $C(\lambda, \delta)$.

Keywords: Close-to-convex functions, coefficient bounds, Koebe function, representation theorem, univalent functions.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of functions f of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \tag{1}$$

analytic in the open unit disc U and normalized by $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(0) = 1$. Also, we denote \mathcal{S} to be the class of functions in \mathcal{A} containing univalent function of the form (1). According to Duren (1983), the function $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}$ is called a convex function and starlike function if it

satisfies $\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > 0$ and $\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > 0$ respectively. Alexander in 1915

defined $f \in \mathcal{S}$ to be close-to-convex if it satisfy $\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{h(z)} \right\} > 0$ with $h(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*$ (Goodman, 1983). Later, Kaplan (1952) stated that $f \in \mathcal{S}$ is said to be close-to-convex if and only if

$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{f'(z)}{g'(z)} \right\} > 0$ for $z \in U$ and $g \in \mathcal{K}$. Silverman and Silvia (1996) studied the class C_α of

α -close-to-convex functions where functions in this class satisfy $\operatorname{Re} \left\{ e^{i\alpha} \frac{f'(z)}{g'(z)} \right\} > 0$,

$|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $z \in U$, $g(z) = z$. Then, with the same $g(z)$, Mohamad (1998) defined $G(\alpha, \delta)$ as the generalized class of α -close-to-convex functions satisfying $\operatorname{Re}\{e^{i\alpha} f'(z)\} > \delta$, $z \in U$, $|\alpha| \leq \pi$ and $\cos \alpha > \delta$. Soh and Mohamad (2012) and Akbarally et al. (2011) also studied the class of α -close-to-convex functions but with $g'(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$ and $g'(z) = \frac{1}{1-z}$ respectively. Later, Yahya et al. (2012) studied the class $G_{Sr}(\alpha, \delta)$ such that $\operatorname{Re}\left\{e^{i\alpha} \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)}\right\} > \delta$, $|\alpha| < \pi$, $\cos \alpha > \delta$ and $g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z^2}$.

In this paper, we investigate a similar class of function with $g \in S^*$. We define $C(\lambda, \delta)$ as the class of functions that satisfy $\operatorname{Re}\left\{e^{i\lambda} \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)}\right\} > \delta$, $|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $\cos \lambda > \delta$, $0 \leq \delta < 1$ and $g(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}$, where $g(z)$ is the well-known Koebe function.

2. Representation Theorem

Let P be the class of functions analytic in U and having the form

$$p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n . \tag{2}$$

Since $p(z)$ in P satisfies $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$, for $z \in U$, we say that $p(z)$ is the Caratheodory function. We relate the functions in $C(\lambda, \delta)$ to functions in P as given in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1

Let $f \in S$ be given by (1). Then for $z \in U$, $f \in C(\lambda, \delta)$ if and only if

$$e^{i\lambda} \left[\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} \right] - i \sin \lambda - \delta = A_{\lambda\delta} p(z)$$

where $A_{\lambda\delta} = \cos \lambda - \delta$.

Proof.

Let $f \in C(\lambda, \delta)$, then $\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n$. Multiplying both sides of the equation with $e^{i\lambda}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} e^{i\lambda} \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} &= e^{i\lambda} + e^{i\lambda} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n, \\ &= \cos \lambda + i \sin \lambda + e^{i\lambda} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$e^{i\lambda} \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} - i \sin \lambda - \delta = \cos \lambda - \delta + e^{i\lambda} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n$$

and

$$\frac{e^{i\lambda} \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} - i \sin \lambda - \delta}{\cos \lambda - \delta} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n$$

with $p_n = \frac{e^{i\lambda}}{\cos \lambda - \delta} b_n$. Replacing $A_{\lambda\delta} = \cos \lambda - \delta$ gives

$$e^{i\lambda} [zf'(z)/g(z)] - i \sin \lambda - \delta = A_{\lambda\delta} p(z) \tag{3}$$

as required. Conversely, from (3) taking $p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n$ we can work backward to see that the conditions for functions to be in the class $C(\lambda, \delta)$ is satisfied.

Next, we obtain the representation function for $f \in C(\lambda, \delta)$ as given in the following theorem by using the Herglotz Representation Theorem for functions in P .

Theorem 2.2

Let $f \in C(\lambda, \delta)$. Then for some probability measure μ on the unit circle X , f can be represented as

$$f(z) = \int_x \left[-e^{-i\lambda} (e^{-i\lambda} - 2\delta) \left(\frac{1}{1-xz} - 1 \right) + A_{\lambda\delta} e^{-i\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{(1-xz)^2} - 1 \right) \right] \frac{1}{x} d\mu(x)$$

for $|x|=1$. Conversely, if f is given by the above equation, then $f \in C(\lambda, \delta)$.

Proof.

According to Herglotz formula, for some probability measure μ on the unit circle X ,

$$p \in P \Leftrightarrow p(z) = \int_x \frac{1+xz}{1-xz} d\mu(x).$$

Replacing $g(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}$ in (3) and with the aid of Herglotz formula, yields

$$f'(z) = \frac{e^{-i\lambda}}{(1-z)^2} \left(A_{\lambda\delta} \int_x \frac{1+xz}{1-xz} d\mu(x) + i \sin \lambda + \delta \right)$$

and upon simplification, we get

$$f'(z) = \int_x \frac{1}{(1-z)^2} \left(-e^{-i\lambda} (e^{-i\lambda} - 2\delta) + \frac{2e^{-i\lambda} A_{\lambda\delta}}{1-xz} \right) d\mu(x). \tag{4}$$

Integrating (4) with respect to z , gives

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \int_0^z \left[\int_x \frac{1}{(1-x\phi)^2} \left(-e^{-i\lambda} (e^{-i\lambda} - 2\delta) + \frac{2e^{-i\lambda} A_{\lambda\delta}}{1-x\phi} \right) d\mu(x) \right] d\phi, \\ &= \int_x \left[-e^{-i\lambda} (e^{-i\lambda} - 2\delta) \left(\frac{1}{(1-xz)} - 1 \right) + e^{-i\lambda} A_{\lambda\delta} \left(\frac{1}{(1-xz)^2} - 1 \right) \right] \frac{1}{x} d\mu(x), \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

which is the desired representation function. By this result, we note that the extreme points of $C(\lambda, \delta)$ are the unit point masses

$$f_x(z) = \left[-e^{-i\lambda} (e^{-i\lambda} - 2\delta) \left(\frac{1}{1-xz} - 1 \right) + e^{-i\lambda} A_{\lambda\delta} \left(\frac{1}{(1-xz)^2} - 1 \right) \right] \frac{1}{x}$$

with $|x|=1$ and the derivatives of the extreme points of $C(\lambda, \delta)$ are the point masses

$$f'_x(z) = \left[\frac{1 + (e^{-2i\lambda} - 2\delta e^{-i\lambda})xz}{1-xz} \right] \left(\frac{1}{(1-xz)^2} \right), |x|=1.$$

3. Coefficient Bound

Using the representation formula established in Theorem 2.2, we now obtain the coefficient bound of functions in $C(\lambda, \delta)$.

Theorem 3.1

Suppose that $f \in S$ is given by (1), then the sharp inequality $|a_n| \leq 1 + A_{\lambda\delta}(n-1)$ holds for $n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$. Equality is attained for each n when f is an extreme point of $C(\lambda, \delta)$.

Proof.

From (5) we write

$$f(z) = \int_0^z \left[\int_x \left(\frac{-e^{-i\lambda} (e^{-i\lambda} - 2\delta)}{(1-x\phi)^2} + \frac{2e^{-i\lambda} A_{\lambda\delta}}{(1-x\phi)^3} \right) d\mu(x) \right] d\phi$$

and since

$$\frac{1}{(1-x\phi)^2} = \sum_0^\infty (n+1)(x\phi)^n \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{(1-x\phi)^3} = \sum_0^\infty \frac{1}{2}(n+1)(n+2)(x\phi)^n,$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(z) &= \int_0^z \left[\int_X \left(-e^{-i\lambda} (e^{-i\lambda} - 2\delta) \sum_0^\infty (n+1)(x\phi)^n + 2A_{\lambda\delta} e^{-i\lambda} \sum_0^\infty \frac{1}{2} (n+1)(n+2)(x\phi)^n \right) d\mu(x) \right] d\phi, \\
 &= \int_0^z \left[\int_X \left(1 + \left(-e^{-i\lambda} (e^{-i\lambda} - 2\delta) \sum_1^\infty (n+1)x^n \right) \phi^n + \left(A_{\lambda\delta} e^{-i\lambda} \sum_1^\infty (n+1)(n+2)x^n \right) \phi^n \right) d\mu(x) \right] d\phi, \\
 &= \int_0^z \left[1 + \left(-e^{-i\lambda} (e^{-i\lambda} - 2\delta) \right) \left(\int_X \sum_1^\infty (n+1)x^n d\mu(x) \right) \phi^n \right] d\phi \\
 &\quad + \int_0^z A_{\lambda\delta} e^{-i\lambda} \left(\int_X \sum_1^\infty (n+1)(n+2)x^n d\mu(x) \right) \phi^n d\phi.
 \end{aligned}$$

Integrating $f(z)$ with respect to ϕ gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(z) &= z + \left[\left(-e^{-i\lambda} (e^{-i\lambda} - 2\delta) \right) \int_X \sum_{n=1}^\infty (n+1)x^n d\mu(x) \frac{z^{n+1}}{n+1} \right] + \left[A_{\lambda\delta} e^{-i\lambda} \int_X \sum_{n=1}^\infty (n+1)(n+2)x^n d\mu(x) \frac{z^{n+1}}{n+1} \right], \\
 &= z + \left[\left(-e^{-i\lambda} (e^{-i\lambda} - 2\delta) \right) \int_X \sum_{n=2}^\infty nx^{n-1} d\mu(x) \frac{z^n}{n} \right] + \left[A_{\lambda\delta} e^{-i\lambda} \int_X \sum_{n=2}^\infty n(n+1)x^{n-1} d\mu(x) \frac{z^n}{n} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

and upon factorization we obtain

$$f(z) = z + \left[\left(-e^{-i\lambda} (e^{-i\lambda} - 2\delta) + A_{\lambda\delta} e^{-i\lambda} (n+1) \right) \int_X \sum_{n=2}^\infty nx^{n-1} d\mu(x) \right] \frac{z^n}{n}. \tag{6}$$

Now, comparing (6) and (1) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_n &= \left[-e^{-i\lambda} (e^{-i\lambda} - 2\delta) + A_{\lambda\delta} e^{-i\lambda} (n+1) \right] \int_X x^{n-1} d\mu(x), \\
 &= \left[1 - 2Ae^{-i\lambda} + A_{\lambda\delta} e^{-i\lambda} (n+1) \right] \int_X x^{n-1} d\mu(x), \\
 &= \left[1 + A_{\lambda\delta} e^{-i\lambda} (n-1) \right] \int_X x^{n-1} d\mu(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$|a_n| = \left| 1 + A_{\lambda\delta} e^{-i\lambda} (n-1) \int_X x^{n-1} d\mu(x) \right| \leq 1 + |A_{\lambda\delta} e^{-i\lambda} (n-1)| \int_X |x^{n-1}| d\mu(x) = 1 + A_{\lambda\delta} (n-1)$$

and for $n \geq 2$, we have $|a_n| \leq 1 + A_{\lambda\delta} (n-1)$ as required. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1 where the equality holds when f is an extreme point of $C(\lambda, \delta)$.

References

- Akbarally, A., Mohamad, D., Soh, S. C. & Kaharudin, N. (2011). On the properties of a new class of α -close-to-convex functions. *Int. Journal of Math. Analysis*, vol 5, no. 8, 387-396.
- Duren, P.L. (1983). *Univalent Function*. Springer Verlag New York Inc.
- Goodman, A. W. (1983). *Univalent Function*. Mariner Publishing Co. Inc. Tampa, University of south Florida.
- Kaplan, W. (1952). Close-to-convex schlicht function. *Michigan Mathematical Journal*, 1, 169-185.
- Mohamad, D. (1998). Function whose derivative maps the unit disc into a half plane. PhD Thesis. University of Wales Swansea, UK.
- Silverman, H., & Silvia, E. M. (1996). On α -close-to-convex Functions. *Publicationes Mathematicae Debrecen*, 49, 532-537.
- Soh, S. C., & Mohamad, D. (2008). Coefficient bounds for certain classes of close-to-convex functions. *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, Vol. 2, No. 27, 1343-1351.
- Yahya, A., Soh, S. C. & Mohamad, D. (2012). Some extremal properties of a generalised Sakaguchi class of analytic functions. *Proceedings of the 20th National Symposium on Mathematical Sciences*.