UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

THE DETERMINANTS OF CAPITAL STRUCTURE IN ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC (E&E) MANUFACTURING SECTOR IN MALAYSIA

NUR ZAHRAATUL LAIL MD SUPAAT FAUZIAH MOHAMAD YUNUS

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Business Administration

Arshad Ayub Graduate Business School Faculty of Business Management

January 2013

DECLARATION OF ORIGINAL WORK



MASTER OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION (MBA) FACULTY OF BUSINESS MANAGEMENT LINIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

"DECLARATION OF ORIGINAL WORK"

We. NUR ZAHRAATUL LAIL BINTI MD SUPAAT and FAUZIAH BINTI MOHAMAD YUNUS

Hereby, declare that:

- . This work has not previously been accepted in substance for any degree, locally or overseas, and is not being currently submitted for this degree or any other degrees.
- . This project paper is the result of my independent work and investigation, except otherwise stated.
- · All verbatim extracted have been distinguished by quotation marks and sources of my information have been specifically acknowledged.

Signature

Date: 16 JANUARY 2013

Signature:

Date: 16 JANUARY 2013

ABSTRACT

The purpose of the present study is to determine the company characteristics that affect capital structure. This will clarify the amount of optimal debt and equity used in financing the firms' activity in electrical and electronic (E&E) manufacturing sector since a right choice can maximize shareholder's value. However, maximization of company value is not an easy task because it involves the choice of debt and equity securities in a balanced proportion keeping in view of different costs and benefits together with these securities. Financial distress and bankruptcy are the consequences that company should face when there is a wrong decision taken during the selection process of securities.

In this study, the determinants of capital structure in electrical and electronic (E&E) manufacturing sector are investigated with reference to major capital structure theories like Trade-off theory, Pecking Order theory and Agency cost theory. This study examines the debt and equity structure for the electrical and electronic companies listed in the Bursa Malaysia market during a fifteen-year period from 1997 to 2011. The sample data derived from the availability and continuity of published financial statements of 11 companies with a number of observations totaling 165. We use dependent variable of debt ratio and it is expressed by total debt divided by total assets while the independent variables are size, asset tangibility, profitability, liquidity and growth. The data was analyzed by using E-views version 7.0 to do descriptive statistics and regression analysis.

The overall results reveal that manufacturer of Malaysian electrical and electronic (E&E) companies on average used less debt financing in funding their business operations. They are capitalized more by equity for the past 15 years. Results also indicates that size of the firm and asset tangibility has a significant positive relationship in explaining variation in leverage of the E&E companies, while liquidity has a significant negative relationship with leverage. Profitability and growth are found to have an insignificant positive relationship with leverage level.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		PAGE	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT			
ABSTRACT			
LIST OF TABLES			
LIST OF CHARTS			
LIST OF DIAGRAMS			
	APTER ONE RODUCTION		
1.1	Background of the Study	1	
1.2	Overview on Malaysian Manufacturing Industry	3	
1.3	Performance of the Malaysian Electrical and Electronic (E&E) Sector	7	
1.4	Problem Statement		
1.5	Objectives of the Study		
1.6	Scope of the Study		
1.7	Significance of the Study		
	1.7.1 Malaysian Government	13	
	1.7.2 Malaysian Financial Institutions	13	
	1.7.3 E&E Manufacturing Companies	13	
	1.7.4 Body of Knowledge	14	
1.8	Chapter Outline	14	

CHAPTER TWO

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.0	Introd	ntroduction		
2.1	Capital Structure Concept			
	2.1.1	Measurement for Capital Structure	17	
2.2	Deter	minants of Capital Structure	18	
2.3	Theories of Capital Structure			
	2.3.1	Modigliani and Miller Model	20	
		2.3.1.1 M&M Proposition I with no taxes	20	
		2.3.1.2 M&M Proposition II with no taxes	21	
		2.3.1.3 M&M Proposition I with taxes	22	
		2.3.1.4 M&M Proposition II with taxes	23	
	2.3.2	Trade-off Theory	24	
	2.3.3	Pecking Order Theory	25	
	2.3.4	Agency Cost Theory	27	
		2.3.4.1 Conflict of interest between manager and shareholders	27	
		2.3.4.2 Conflict of interest between creditor and shareholders	28	
2.4	Empirical Research			
	2.4.1	Company Size	29	
	2.4.2	Profitability	32	
	2.4.3	Asset Tangibility	36	
	2.4.4	Liquidity	39	
	2.4.5	Growth	41	
2.5	Concl	lucion	43	