A STUDY ON THE PERFORMANCE OF UNIT TRUST FUNDS MANAGED BY PRIVATE AGENCIES (1991 - 1995)

NURIALILAH BINTI HAJI ABDULLAH 94875599

HACHELOR IN BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION (FRANCE)

MARA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

SHAH ALAM, SELARGOR

NOV 1996

ABSTRACT.

This study examine the performance of thirty three unit trust funds managed by private agencies for the period 1991 to 1995. It is also examine the degree of risk diversification, the annual capital gains, the consistency of performance of the funds over time and the average weekly excess return. The performance measurement used are Sharpe and Adjusted Sharpe Index, Teynor Index, Jensen and Adjusted Jensen Index.

The result is similar to research that had been carried out by other researcher. It was found that, unit trust performance underperformed the market. Only a few of the funds examined managed to beat the market. It was also found that, the performance result in 1993 is good compared to the previous year and the year after.

The findings revealed that, the unit trust funds managed by different management companies performed differently with other companies. The study also found that, Islamic funds did not perform well.

However, in term of risk, unit trust still carry lower risk. It is suitable for investors who require a good return at acceptable risk. The performance of unit trust industry now is relatively better and some of the unit trust funds able to pay a high dividend to their investors.

A STUDY ON THE PERFORMANCE OF UNIT TRUST FUNDS MANAGED BY PRIVATE AGENCIES.

(1991 - 1995).

TABLE OF CONTENTS:
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTi
ABSTRACTii
LIST OF TABLESiii
LIST OF FIGURESiv
TABLE OF CONTENTSv-vji
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION
1.2 Objectives of the study2
1.3 Scope and limitation3
CHAPTER 2. LITERATURE REVIEW
2.1 Empirical Studies in the West4-7
2.2 Empirical Studies in Singapore and Malaysia7-9
CHAPTER 3. BACKGROUND OF UNIT TRUST
3.1 History of mutual funds in United States11
3.2 Defination11-12
3.2.1 Types of mutual funds12-16
3.3 Characteristics of unit trusts
3.3.1 Differences between mutual funds, unit trust
and investment trusts17
3.3.2 Four main types of unit trusts18

	3.3.3 Tripartite relationship	.20-21
	3.4 Advantages of unit trusts	22-23
CHAPTER 4	UNIT TRUST INDUSTRY IN MALAYSIA	.25-26
	4.1 History	.26-28
	4.2 Development of unit trust	28-29
	4.2.1 Public Sector trust	29-35
	4.2.2 Private Sector trust	36-38
	4.3 Current trend of unit trust	
	4.3.1 Micropal Rating System	39-40
	4.3.2 Unit trust managed by stockbroking	
	firm	40-41
	4.3.3 Investment instrument with free life	
	insurance protection	41-42
	4.4 Islamic fund	42-48
	4.5 Regulatory Framework of unit trust	48-50
	4.5.1 Securities Commission guidelines on	
	unit trust funds	50-52
	4.5.2 The Federation Of Malaysian Unit Tru	st
	Managers (FMUTM)	53
	4.6 Tax treatment for unit trust	54-55
CHAPTER 5	. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	
	5.1 Data	56-57
	5.2 Research and Methodology	57-62

CHAPTER 6. RESULT AND ANALYSIS	. 63-95
CHAPTER 7. RECOMMENDATION AND CONCLUSION	. 96-97
BIBLIOGRAPHY	.98-100
APPENDIXES	101-127