UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

TECHNICAL REPORT

COST EFFICIENCY OF CHOCOLATE PRODUCTION USING GOAL PROGRAMMING APPROACH

P7S19

NUR FARINA BINTI HALIM (2017564081) MUHAMMAD SYUKRI BIN AYOB (2017938977) SITI SARAH BINTI ZAINUDDIN (2017128521)

Report submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Bachelor of Science (Hons.) Management Mathematics Faculty of Computer and Mathematical Sciences

DECEMBER 2019

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

IN THE NAME OF ALLAH, THE MOST GRACIOUS, THE MOST MERCIFUL

Firstly, we are grateful to Allah S.W.T for giving us the strength to accomplish this project successfully. We would like to express our gratitude to all people that have been contribute together to help us finish this project and made it as a valuable experienced for us. Firstly, we would like to thank our supervisor, Madam Busyra binti Latif, for her excellent and motivated support in helping us to complete this project also always giving us many ideas that can improving the quality of our project. Besides that, we would to thankful to all lectures and our friends which has involved directly or indirectly along the way to finish this project. Finally, our gratitude goes to our family members, especially mother and father, which is always supporting us and giving so much inspirations to finish this final year project. We hope that this project will help us to improve our knowledge and practice in programming world.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS ii	í
TABLE OF CONTENTSiii	i
LIST OF TABLES iv	/
LIST OF FIGURES iv	1
ABSTRACT	
1. INTRODUCTION 1	
1.1 Motivation	l
1.2 Problem Statement	
1.3 Objectives	
I.4 Scope of Project	
1.5 Significance and Benefit of Project	
1.6 Definitions of Terms and Abbreviations	
2. BACKGROUND THEORY AND LITERATURE REVIEW	Ś
2.1 General Goal Programming Model Formulation	
2.2 Literature Review	
3. METHODOLOGY AND IMPLIMENTATION	
3.1 Identification of the problem and Objectives of the ProjectI	1
3.2 The Collection of the Data	1
3.3 General Goal Programming Model Formulation1	3
3.4 Execute the Model in LINGO Software	0
4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	2
4.1 Interpretations of LINGO Software results according to the suggested data22	2
4.2 Validation of Results	
5. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS)
5.1 Conclusions	9
5.2 Recommendations	0
REFERENCES	l
APPENDIX A	3
APPENDIX B	5

LIST OF TABLES

	Table 2.1: Summary of Applications in Goal Programming	9
Table 4.1: The chocolate products that are proposed to be accepted or rejected.22Table 4.2: Conditions of goals to be achieved22Table 4.3: The output value and decision of products for other expenses.22Table 4.4: The summary of other expenses output value and achievement of Model 1223Table 4.5: The output value and decision of products for sales.24Table 4.6: The summary of sales goals output value and achievement of Model 2224Table 4.6: The summary of sales goals output value and achievement of Model 2224Table 4.7: Validation of Total Expenses Results for week 1	Table 3.1: The production cost (RM) of product X, Y and Z	11
Table 4.2: Conditions of goals to be achieved2Table 4.3: The output value and decision of products for other expenses2Table 4.3: The summary of other expenses output value and achievement of Model 12Table 4.4: The summary of other expenses output value and achievement of Model 12Table 4.5: The output value and decision of products for sales2Table 4.6: The summary of sales goals output value and achievement of Model 22Table 4.6: The summary of sales goals output value and achievement of Model 22Table 4.7: Validation of Total Expenses Results for week 12Table 4.8: Validation of Total Expenses Results for week 32Table 4.9: Validation of Total Expenses Results for week 42Table 4.10: Validation of Total Expenses Results for week 12Table 4.11: Validation of Total Sales Results for week 12Table 4.12: Validation of Total Sales Results for week 32Table 4.13: Validation of Total Sales Results for week 32Table 4.13: Validation of Total Sales Results for week 32	Table 3.2: The Modification of the Production Cost based on Net Production Cost	12
Table 4.3: The output value and decision of products for other expenses.2.Table 4.3: The summary of other expenses output value and achievement of Model 12.Table 4.5: The output value and decision of products for sales.2.Table 4.6: The summary of sales goals output value and achievement of Model 22.Table 4.7: Validation of Total Expenses Results for week 12.Table 4.8: Validation of Total Expenses Results for week 22.Table 4.9: Validation of Total Expenses Results for week 32.Table 4.10: Validation of Total Expenses Results for week 12.Table 4.11: Validation of Total Sales Results for week 12.Table 4.12: Validation of Total Sales Results for week 32.Table 4.13: Validation of Total Sales Results for week 32.Table 4.13: Validation of Total Sales Results for week 32.Table 4.13: Validation of Total Sales Results for week 32.Table 4.13: Validation of Total Sales Results for week 32.Table 4.13: Validation of Total Sales Results for week 32.Table 4.13: Validation of Total Sales Results for week 32.	Table 4.1: The chocolate products that are proposed to be accepted or rejected	22
Table 4.4: The summary of other expenses output value and achievement of Model 1	Table 4.2: Conditions of goals to be achieved	23
Table 4.5: The output value and decision of products for sales.2Table 4.6: The summary of sales goals output value and achievement of Model 22Table 4.6: The summary of sales goals output value and achievement of Model 22Table 4.7: Validation of Total Expenses Results for week 12Table 4.8: Validation of Total Expenses Results for week 22Table 4.9: Validation of Total Expenses Results for week 32Table 4.10: Validation of Total Expenses Results for week 42Table 4.11: Validation of Total Sales Results for week 12Table 4.12: Validation of Total Sales Results for week 32Table 4.13: Validation of Total Sales Results for week 32	Table 4.3: The output value and decision of products for other expenses	23
Table 4.6: The summary of sales goals output value and achievement of Model 22Table 4.7: Validation of Total Expenses Results for week 12Table 4.8: Validation of Total Expenses Results for week 22Table 4.9: Validation of Total Expenses Results for week 32Table 4.10: Validation of Total Expenses Results for week 42Table 4.11: Validation of Total Sales Results for week 12Table 4.12: Validation of Total Sales Results for week 22Table 4.13: Validation of Total Sales Results for week 32	Table 4.4: The summary of other expenses output value and achievement of Model 1	24
Table 4.7: Validation of Total Expenses Results for week 120Table 4.8: Validation of Total Expenses Results for week 220Table 4.9: Validation of Total Expenses Results for week 320Table 4.10: Validation of Total Expenses Results for week 420Table 4.11: Validation of Total Sales Results for week 121Table 4.12: Validation of Total Sales Results for week 222Table 4.13: Validation of Total Sales Results for week 323Table 4.13: Validation of Total Sales Results for week 324	Table 4.5: The output value and decision of products for sales	25
Table 4.8: Validation of Total Expenses Results for week 220Table 4.9: Validation of Total Expenses Results for week 320Table 4.10: Validation of Total Expenses Results for week 420Table 4.11: Validation of Total Sales Results for week 120Table 4.12: Validation of Total Sales Results for week 220Table 4.13: Validation of Total Sales Results for week 320Table 4.13: Validation of Total Sales Results for week 320	Table 4.6: The summary of sales goals output value and achievement of Model 2	25
Table 4.9: Validation of Total Expenses Results for week 32Table 4.10: Validation of Total Expenses Results for week 42Table 4.11: Validation of Total Sales Results for week 12Table 4.12: Validation of Total Sales Results for week 22Table 4.13: Validation of Total Sales Results for week 32	Table 4.7: Validation of Total Expenses Results for week 1	26
Table 4.10: Validation of Total Expenses Results for week 42Table 4.11: Validation of Total Sales Results for week 12Table 4.12: Validation of Total Sales Results for week 22Table 4.13: Validation of Total Sales Results for week 32	Table 4.8: Validation of Total Expenses Results for week 2	26
Table 4.11: Validation of Total Sales Results for week 12Table 4.12: Validation of Total Sales Results for week 22Table 4.13: Validation of Total Sales Results for week 32	Table 4.9: Validation of Total Expenses Results for week 3	26
Table 4.12: Validation of Total Sales Results for week 22Table 4.13: Validation of Total Sales Results for week 32	Table 4.10: Validation of Total Expenses Results for week 4	27
Table 4.13: Validation of Total Sales Results for week 3	Table 4.11: Validation of Total Sales Results for week 1	27
	Table 4.12: Validation of Total Sales Results for week 2	27
	Table 4.13: Validation of Total Sales Results for week 3	28
Table 4.14: Validation of Total Sales Results for week 4	Table 4.14: Validation of Total Sales Results for week 4	28

LIST OF FIGURES

	Figure 3.1: Summary of the process goal programming approach	10
	Figure 3.2: Coding for Model 1	20
	Figure 3.3: Coding for Model 2	
	5	
2	8	

ABSTRACT

Production planning is related to the planning of the type and quantity of products to be produced by the company. Development of an optimal production plan that will promote business success and maximize profit generation vital. In this study, the problem of this company is having a difficulty on finding the best product and week which are having the lowest product cost and the highest profit. The aim of the production planning is to achieve production stability against varieties of constraint such as demand, production time, production cost and others. Grandeur Chocolate @AOM Food Industries only use Microsoft Excel for their data collection and production planning. The disadvantage in using this method are the users might easily to make an error and difficulty in testing or troubleshooting. Grandeur Chocolate @AOM Food Industries stated that, the data were mainly substituted and inputted by human. Therefore, the probability of making errors are high. In addition to that, Microsoft Excel only capable of formulating and calculating the input data without able to determine the accuracy of the input data. This automatically making troubleshooting and testing of the false obtain data to be difficult. Thus, this study is looking for the better alternative ways in evaluating this project to find the best planning to optimize the chocolate production using Goal Programming (GP) approach. The data were executed by LINGO and the result showed that the objective functions are fully achieved. This project is evaluated based on the Net Production Cost (NPC). The data that have been collected from an interview were used in this project. All the data collection and the implementation of the data into the model will give a result which are for objective I (Model I), this company can achieved it on the first week and the other week are underutilize of other expenses. For objective 2 (Model 2), the objectives of sales for week 1 and week 2 are under target while for week 3 and week 4 are over target. As overall, all the products are accepted in optimizing the chocolate production. From this study, there are some recommendation that could be done to help the decision maker to make a good decision. The other recommendation of using GP model for the production planning is it can be applied to the other problems such as financial planning, marketing and quality control and capital budgeting. It can also be regards as a guideline for others to further the field of study in production by using GP method.