

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

**EFFECTS OF KINESIO TAPING
ON SKILL-RELATED PHYSICAL
FITNESS FOLLOWING FATIGUE
INDUCTION AMONG
RECREATIONAL ATHLETES:
A RANDOMIZED-CONTROLLED
TRIAL**

**MUHAMMAD NOH ZULFIKRI
BIN MOHD JAMALI**

Thesis submitted in fulfilment
of the requirements for the degree of
Master of Science

Faculty of Health Sciences

October 2016

ABSTRACT

This study aimed to determine the effects of Kinesio® taping (KT) on dynamic balance, agility, explosive leg power and postural control following fatigue induction among recreational athletes. It is hypothesized that the application of KT limits the effects of fatigue on dynamic balance, agility, explosive leg power and dynamic postural control among recreational athletes. This study used a randomized controlled trial recruited 72 male recreational athletes, randomized to one of the four groups (Group A: KT and fatigue; Group B: no tape and fatigue; Group C: KT and no fatigue; Group D: no tape and no fatigue). Fatigue was induced using the adapted Functional Agility Short Term Fatigue Protocol (FAST-FP). The rectus femoris of quadriceps, biceps femoris of the hamstring and medial gastrocnemius of the dominant leg were taped. The dynamic balance, agility, explosive leg power and dynamic postural control were assessed pre and post fatigue. For dynamic balance, a significant change was found among the groups over time ($p < 0.0005$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.51$) and the time effect ($p < 0.00005$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.45$). The main effect was not significant ($p = 0.16$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.07$). For agility, a significant change was observed among the groups over time ($p < 0.0005$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.36$) and the main effect of time ($p < 0.0005$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.13$). The main effect was not significant ($p = 0.45$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.04$). For explosive leg power, a significant interaction was found between the group and time ($p < 0.001$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.554$), the main effect of time ($p < 0.001$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.60$) and the main of groups ($p = 0.004$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.18$). A post hoc comparison using the Bonferroni test indicated a significant difference between Group B and Group C ($p < 0.02$) and Group B and Group D ($p < 0.03$). For dynamic postural control, a significant interaction was observed between the group and time for the anterior-posterior position ($p = 0.03$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.21$). While non-significant interaction was observed in the anterior-posterior variability ($p > 0.05$, $\eta_p^2 < 0.001$), lateral symmetry ($p = 0.84$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.001$) and lateral variability ($p = 0.50$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.02$). A significant main effect of time was observed for anterior-posterior position ($p > 0.05$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.15$) while non-significant for anterior-posterior variability ($p = 0.82$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.002$), lateral ($p = 0.65$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.007$) and lateral variability ($p > 0.05$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.12$). For the main effect of the two groups was not significant for the anterior-posterior position ($p = 0.42$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.02$), anterior-posterior variability ($p = 0.74$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.004$), lateral symmetry ($p = 0.73$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.004$) and lateral variability ($p = 0.87$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.001$). In conclusion, KT application did not limit the effects of fatigue on the dynamic balance, agility, explosive leg power and postural control. Moreover, KT application did not enhance dynamic balance, agility, explosive leg power and postural.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, all praise is due to The One, who has created the heaven and earth, The Most Gracious and Most Merciful.

Words would not express my deepest appreciation as the present study greatly benefited from the input of my respectful supervisors, Dr. Maria Justine, and Saiful Adli Bukry.

I would like to expand my heartfelt gratitude to my colleagues who have made a tremendous contribution.

I would also like to dedicate my special acknowledgments to my dearest mother and father, family and friends whom without their endless encouragement, care, and prayers, this dream would not have been achieved.

Finally, I sincerely thank everyone who had involved in this study in one way or another toward completing this study.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
AUTHOR'S DECLARATION	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	v
LIST OF TABLES	x
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiii
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of the Study	1
1.2 Problem Statement	5
1.3 Study Objectives	6
1.4 Research Hypotheses	6
1.5 Significance of the Study	7
1.6 Delimitation of Study	7
1.7 Definition of Terms	8
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	9
2.1 Introduction	9
2.2 Theoretical and Conceptual Model Of The Study	12
2.3 Physiology of Muscle Fatigue	15
2.4 Fatigue and Skill-Related Physical Fitness	18

2.4.1 Fatigue and Dynamic Balance	18
2.4.2 Fatigue and Agility	19
2.4.3 Fatigue and Explosive Power	21
2.4.4 Fatigue and Dynamic Postural Control	22
2.5 Fatigue and Risks of Sports Injury	23
2.5.1 Site of Injuries	24
2.5.2 Mechanism of Injuries	24
2.5.3 Types and Severity of Injuries	26
2.5.3.1 Ligament injuries	26
2.5.3.2 Muscle injuries	27
2.6 Kinesio Taping (KT)	28
2.6.1 Kinesio Tape and Its Properties	29
2.6.2 Kinesio Taping and Its Therapeutic Effects	29
2.6.2.1 Kinesio Taping effects on muscle strength	30
2.6.2.2 Kinesio Taping effects on proprioception	34
2.6.2.3 Kinesio Taping effects on range of motion (ROM)	36
2.6.2.4 Kinesio Taping effects on pain	38
2.6.2.5 Kinesio Taping effects on movement kinematic	41
2.6.2.6 Kinesio Taping effects on blood circulation	43
2.6.2.7 Kinesio Taping effects on delayed onset muscle soreness (DOMS)	43
2.6.2.9 Kinesio Taping effects on muscle flexibility	44
2.6.3 Kinesio Taping and Fatigue	46
2.7 Gaps in the Previous Studies	47
2.8 Conclusion	47