

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

TECHNICAL REPORT

**A COMPARATIVE STUDY BETWEEN EXTENT
ANALYSIS METHOD AND GEOMETRIC MEAN
METHOD IN FUZZY ANALYTIC HIERARCHY
PROCESS (FAHP): A CASE STUDY OF
SELECTION OF BEST STUDENT IN A
SECONDARY SCHOOL**

P16M19

**MUHAMAD HAFIZUDIN MOHD RODI (2017412452)
MOHAMAD NAQIB MOHAMAD FAUZI (2017405552)
FATIN NORSYABILLA ANUAR (2017405554)**

**Report submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirement
for the degree of
Bachelor of Science (Hons.) Management Mathematics
Faculty of Computer and Mathematical Sciences**

JULY 2019

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

IN THE NAME OF ALLAH, THE MOST GRACIOUS, THE MOST MERCIFUL

Through this winding track journey in completing this research, there were many obstacles and challenges situation that had been gone through and for that, we are greatly thankful to ALLAH S.W.T for always facilitated the process.

In addition, we would like to embrace our sincerely gratefulness to many parties that involved directly or indirectly in completing this research especially our dear supervisor, Miss Nor Faradilah Binti Mahad that first and foremost willingly to be our supervisor and monitoring each and every task that need to be done from giving the scratch ideas until this research has been successfully established. We feel very indebted with those comments, inspirations, and encouragement from her. Besides, let us not forget our parents for always been extremely motivating and keep on sending prayers throughout this journey and last but not least, we would like to express unconditional gratitude to every respondent that spare some time to cooperate in the data collection process. Above all, we would like to acknowledge everyone throughout this process.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	i
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	ii
LIST OF TABLES.....	iv
LIST OF FIGURES	iv
ABSTRACT	v
CHAPTER ONE.....	1
1.0 INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Motivation	1
1.2 Problem Statement.....	3
1.3 Objectives.....	4
1.4 Significance and Benefit of the Study.....	4
1.5 Scope of the Project	4
1.6 Limitation of the Project	5
1.7 Definition of Terms and Concept	5
CHAPTER TWO.....	6
2.0 BACKGROUND THEORY AND LITERATURE REVIEW.....	6
2.1 Fuzzy Set Theory.....	6
2.2 Fuzzy Number	6
2.3 Multi-Criteria Decision Making (MCDM) Method.....	8
2.4 Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP).....	9
2.5 Fuzzy Analytic Hierarchy Process (FAHP)	10
2.6 Selection of Criteria of Best Student.....	11
2.7 Sensitivity Analysis.....	12
CHAPTER THREE	13
3.0 METHODOLOGY AND IMPLEMENTATION.....	13
3.1 Flowchart of FAHP.....	13
3.2 Extent Analysis Method in FAHP	15
3.2.1 The framework of Extent Analysis Method in FAHP	15
3.2.2 Implementation of Extent Analysis Method in FAHP.....	19
3.3 Geometric Mean Method in FAHP.....	26
3.3.1 The framework of the Geometric Mean Method.....	26
3.3.2 Implementation of Geometric Mean Method in FAHP	28
CHAPTER 4.....	33
4.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	33

4.1	Criteria's Weight Priorities between Extent Analysis Method and Geometric Mean Method in FAHP and AHP	33
4.2	Comparison of Overall Relative Weight for Each Alternative between Extent Analysis Method and Geometric Mean Method in FAHP and AHP	35
4.3	Sensitivity Analysis.....	37
4.4.1	Sensitivity Analysis for Extent Analysis Method in FAHP	37
4.4.2	Sensitivity Analysis for Geometric Mean Method in FAHP	42
4.4	Comparison of Extent Analysis Method and Geometric Mean Method in FAHP ...	46
CHAPTER 5		47
5.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION.....		47
REFERENCES		49
APPENDIX		52

ABSTRACT

Multi-criteria decision making (MCDM) is a process of weighting and selecting the best alternative based on a set of criteria. Selection of best student in a secondary school is one of the multi-criteria decision making (MCDM) problem that occur in the education field. The objective of this study are to apply the extent analysis method and geometric mean method in FAHP to solve multi-criteria decision making (MCDM) problem, to compare two different methods of FAHP which are the geometric mean method and extent analysis method and to select the best method between extent analysis method and the geometric mean method in FAHP. There alternatives for this MCDM problem are five students and there are four criteria involved which are academic, co-curriculum, personality and attendance. Both alternatives and criteria were gathered from the expert which is the teacher of the respective secondary school and ten teachers who know enough the five students were chosen as the respondents. The result from this study shows that both extent analysis and geometric mean method in FAHP produced a different weight of criteria and alternative but for the best student selection, both methods showed that student 1 is the best student. Sensitivity analysis was conducted by shifting the value of each criterion to zero to find out whether there is a significant influence in the ranking of alternatives. The conclusions for this study are student 1 is the best student in a secondary school, both methods have been compared in terms of the weight priorities and ranking of each criterion and alternative and last but not least, geometric mean method is the best method for this study compared to the extent analysis method in FAHP because it produced a small error and least time consuming when computing the method.