SEPARATION OF POWERS: THE AFTERMATH OF PP v KOK WAH KUAN

By

Nor Masitah bt Ishak (2006146229)

Nur Anida bt Mohd Nasir (2006146339)

Saluminor bt Jamaludin (2006128627)

Siti Sarah Nadiah bt Suliman (2006133605)

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Bachelor in Legal Studies (Hons)

Universiti Teknologi MARA

Faculty of Law

April 2009

The students/authors confirm that the work submitted is their own and that appropriate credit has been given where reference has been made to the work of others.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of Allah, Most Gracious, Most Merciful, for the blessings, we are able to complete our research project. This research project has been carried out by a team which has included Siti Sarah Nadiah bt Suliman, Nur Anida bt Mohd Nasir, Saluminor bt Jamaludin and Nor Masitah bt Ishak. Our heartfelt gratitude goes to our supervisor. Puan Irini for her guide and assistance, especially in giving us ideas on potential interviewees for this research. We are also indebted to Puan Nur Ezan, without whom, we wouldn't be able to finish this project paper in time. Dynamic combination of ideas from both supervisors had enlightened us on various styles in writing and had really influenced us in many ways. We would also like to express our gratitude to those who agreed to give their two cents on the matter. Due credit must be given to Professor Shad Saleem Faruqi of Mara University of Technology (UiTM), for his warm welcome and making us understand the underlying idea of separation of powers in necessarily partial period. We also owe Professor Aziz Bahri of International Islamic University Malaysia (IIUM), for his distinctive and firm standing on the topic. We too, would also like to thank Dr Johan from University of Malaya (UM), on his review and opinion on the Kok Wah Kuan's case. In line with all the academicians, our gratitude also goes to Cik Latheefa Koya for providing us a practitioner's point of view on the matter. Although our research topic was not her cup of tea, we appreciate her readiness of being interviewed, despite the short notice. In completing our research we have attained a mount full of help from those around us especially our beloved friends and families. Although their help may not necessarily be justified in material form, their moral support, understanding and kind consideration means a lot to us. This project paper is not just the sweat and tears of the four of us, but also everyone around us. Thus, in completion of this work, we would like to honor those who helped in making this a reality. Along the lines, we had acquired a whole heap of information. We do hope this work served its purpose in elucidating and giving understanding on the position of separation of powers in Malaysia. Thank you.

ABSTRACT

The topic of our research is Separation of Powers: The Aftermath of PP v. Kok Wah Kuan. The topic reviews the position of separation of powers, prior and after the decision of in PP v Kok Wah Kuan. It has always been the practice of the judiciary to uphold the spirit which the doctrine carries, in Malaysian political scene, to prevent infringement of any organs of government towards other's function. However, the decision in the case PP v. Kok Wah Kuan spells out another. Thus, this research examines the implementation of the doctrine of separation of powers in Malaysia prior and after the decision of PP v. Kok Wah Kuan. It also analyzes the weight the doctrine carries in the Federal Constitution. It also provides suggestions and recommendations with the desperate hope to clarify the ambiguity arise from the application of the doctrine in Malaysia.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Ackno | Acknowledgement | | | | |
|--------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|----|--|--|
| Abstra | Abstract | | | | |
| Conte | Contents | | | | |
| Statutes | | | | | |
| List of | List of Cases | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| CHAPTER ONE: | | INTRODUCTION | | | |
| 1.0 | D 1 1 | | ă. | | |
| 1.0 | Background | | 1 | | |
| 1.1 | Problem Statement | | 6 | | |
| 1.2 | Objectives of the Study | | | | |
| 1.3 | Significance of the Study | | | | |
| 1.4 | Scope and Limitation | | | | |
| 1.5 | Research Methodo | ology | 9 | | |
| CTT 1. T | | | | | |
| CHAI | PTER TWO: | LITERATURE REVIEW | | | |
| 2.0 | Literature Review | | 10 | | |
| | | | | | |
| CHAI | PTER THREE: | SEPARATION OF POWERS IN MALAYSIA | | | |
| 3.0 | Pre-Independence | | 22 | | |
| 3.1 | Post Independence | | 24 | | |
| 3.2 | • | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 3.3 | Conclusion | | 30 | | |

CHAPTER FOUR: SEPARATION OF POWERS IN THE FEDERAL CONSTITUTION

| 4.0 | Introd | Introduction | | |
|------------|---------|--|----------|--|
| 4.1 | Positio | Position of Preamble in Malaysia | | |
| 4.2 | Branc | hes of Government as Conferred by the Federal Constitution | | |
| | 4.2.1 | Executive | 34 | |
| | . 4.2.2 | Legislative | 36 | |
| | 4.2.3 | Judiciary | 37 | |
| 4.3 | Comp | Comparison with United Kingdom and United States of America Position | | |
| | 4.3.1 | United Kingdom Position | 40 | |
| | 4.3.2 | United States Position | 41 | |
| 4.4 | Concl | usion | 42 | |
| 5.0 | Introd | oduction | | |
| 5 0 | T4 1 | aration. | 44 | |
| 5.1 | The So | The Sets of Recommendations | | |
| J.1 | 5.1.1 | | | |
| | | 5.1.1.1 Reasons behind the creation of the Act | 45 46 | |
| ** | | 5.1.1.2 How Judicial Appointment Committee (JAC) works? | 46 | |
| | | 5.1.1.3 The flaws of the Judicial Appointment Committee | 47 | |
| ٠ | 5.1.2 | Recommendation by Malaysian Bar Council | 47 | |
| | 5.1.3 | The United Kingdom Constitutional Reform Act 2005 | 48 | |
| 5.2 | Comp | Comparison between the Judicial Appointment Commission in | | |
| | Malay | sia and the United Kingdom | 49 | |
| 5.3 | Recon | Recommendations by the Interviewees | | |
| 5.4 | Concl | Conclusion 5 | | |