

**A STUDY ON THE PROTECTION OF A WHISTLEBLOWER UNDER THE
WHISTLEBLOWER PROTECTION ACT 2010.**

By

Aida Shafinaz binti Ilias (2009934175)

Nabilah binti Din (2009176133)

Norfatiha binti Amin (2009380811)

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the requirements

for the Bachelor in Legal Studies (Hons)

Universiti Teknologi MARA

Faculty of Law

June 2012

The students/authors confirm that the work submitted is their own and that appropriate

Credit has been given where reference has been made to the work of others.

Acknowledgment

This research has been carried out by Aida Shafinaz binti Ilias, Nabilah binti Din and Norfatiha binti Amin. All members have made significant contribution to this research. Last review for Chapter 5 was done by all members whilst Chapter 1 was reviewed by Aida Shafinaz. Chapter 2 was reviewed by Norfatiha while Chapter 3 and Chapter 4 were reviewed by Nabilah who also prepared the acknowledgement and abstract.

Praise be to Allah the Almighty for the blessing and opportunity in giving us the time and strength to complete this research, despite the obstacles and limited time face by us in completing this research. This research project would not have been possible without the support of many people. Therefore, we would like to offer our deep gratitude to our supervisor, Madam Habibah Kiprawi who has offered invaluable assistance, encouragement and useful critique to this research.

We would also like to express our love and special thanks to our beloved parents for their endless love, advice and for lending their ears to us whenever we encounter problems throughout the duration of our studies. Their advice has been a great help in completing this research. Furthermore, we would also like to extend our thanks to our friends for sharing the literature and invaluable assistance. May Allah the Almighty bless them.

Abstract

This research examines the protection granted to a whistleblower under the Whistleblower Protection Act 2010 (WPA 2010). The weaknesses in the protection to a whistleblower under the WPA 2010 highlighted the problems in implementing the Act. This research serves as a preliminary study for further research on the protection of whistleblowers.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|-------------------|-----|
| Acknowledgement | ii |
| Abstract | iii |
| Table of Contents | iv |
| List of Cases | vi |
| List of Statutes | vii |

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

| | | |
|-----|---|----|
| 1.0 | Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 | Research Background | 2 |
| 1.2 | Research Problem | 3 |
| 1.3 | Research Objectives | 4 |
| 1.4 | Research Methodology | 4 |
| 1.5 | Literature Review | 4 |
| | 1.5.1 Problem with the Implementation of the WPA 2010 | 5 |
| | 1.5.2 Problems with the Protection Afforded to the Whistleblower by the WPA 2010 | 7 |
| 1.6 | Scope and Limitation | 9 |
| 1.7 | Significance of Study | 10 |

CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW

| | | |
|-----|---|----|
| 2.0 | Introduction | 11 |
| 2.1 | Risks to a Whistleblower and Problems in Implementing the WPA 2010 | 12 |
| | 2.1.1 Risk of being Burden with Fears in Contemplating Reporting the Wrongdoings to the Authorities | 12 |
| | 2.1.2 Risk of Detrimental Actions Taken to the Whistleblower and His Family by the Offender of the Wrongdoings or His Servants | 12 |
| 2.2 | Problems in Relation to the Implementation under the WPA 2010 | 13 |
| | 2.2.1 Reward and Compensation Granted to the Whistleblower | 13 |
| | 2.2.2 Provisions on Protection under the WPA 2010 | 14 |
| 2.3 | Problems in Relation to the Protection under the WPA 2010 | 16 |
| | 2.3.1 Section 8: Protection of Confidential Information | 16 |
| | 2.3.2 Section 11(1) (a) of the WPA 2010: Revocation of Protection for One Who Participated in the Improper Conduct | 17 |
| 2.4 | Conclusion | 20 |

CHAPTER THREE: THE LAW IN MALAYSIA

| | | |
|-----|--|----|
| 3.0 | Introduction | 21 |
| 3.1 | Whistleblower Protection Act 2010 | 21 |
| 3.2 | Protection of Whistleblower under the WPA 2010 | 22 |
| | 3.2.1 Section 8: Protection of Confidential Information | 22 |
| | 3.2.2 Section 9: Immunity from Civil and Criminal Action | 23 |
| | 3.2.3 Section 10: Protection from 'Detrimental Action' | 24 |
| | 3.2.4 Section 19: Relocation of Place of Employment | 24 |
| 3.3 | The Weaknesses of the WPA 2010 | 25 |
| 3.4 | Conclusion | 27 |

CHAPTER FOUR: FINDINGS AND DISCUSSIONS

| | | |
|-----|---|----|
| 4.0 | Introduction | 29 |
| 4.1 | Problems under the WPA 2010 | 29 |
| | 4.1.1 The Issues on the Implementation of the WPA 2010 | 29 |
| | 4.1.2 The Problems Relating to the Protection Granted to a Whistleblower under the WPA 2010 | 31 |
| | 4.1.3 The Strengths of the WPA 2010 | 34 |
| | 4.1.4 The Weaknesses of the WPA 2010 | 35 |
| 4.2 | Conclusion | 36 |

CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

| | | |
|-----|---|----|
| 5.0 | Introduction | 37 |
| 5.1 | Conclusion | 37 |
| | 5.1.1 The Assurance on the Safety of a Whistleblower | 38 |
| | 5.1.2 The Insufficiency of Security Provided by the WPA 2010 to a Whistleblower | 38 |
| 5.2 | Recommendations | 40 |
| | Bibliography | 42 |