

**A COMPARISON OF MICRO-SCALE PROJECTS UNDERTAKEN
BY DIFFERENT AGENCIES.
THE CASE FOR PERAK TENGAH**



**INSTITUTE OF RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT AND
COMMERCIALISATION
UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA
40450 SHAH ALAM, SELANGOR**

BY:

**AINUN MARDIAH MOHD HANIFAH
NOOR ZAHIRAH MOHD SIDEK
ROSLIDA MUSTAFFA**

AUGUST 2006



**PEJABAT PENGARAH KAMPUS
32600 BOTA, PERAK DARUL RIDZUAN**

Tel: 05-3742001 Faks : 05-3742211
E-Mail : drabdullah@perak.uitm.edu.my

Surat Kami : 100-CPK(HEA. 9/19)
Tarikh : 10 Jun 2004

Puan Ainun Mardiah binti Mohd. Hanifah
Pensyarah Ekonomi
UiTM Cawangan Perak.

Tuan/Puan

**TAJUK PROJEK : A COMPARISON OF MICRO-SCALE PROJECTS
UNDERTAKEN BY DIFFERENT AGENCIES : THE CASE FOR PERAK
TENGAH**

Dengan hormatnya perkara di atas dirujuk.

Sukacita dimaklumkan bahawa Mesyuarat Jawatankuasa Penyelidikan dan Perundingan UiTM Cawangan Perak pada 13 Mei 2004 telah membuat keputusan seperti berikut:

1. Bersetuju meluluskan cadangan penyelidikan yang telah dikemukakan oleh puan. Puan Noor Zahirah binti Mohd Sidek dan Puan Roslida binti Mustaffa.
2. Tempoh projek penyelidikan ini ialah **12 bulan**, iaitu mulai 15 Jun 2004 hingga 16 Mei 2005.
3. Kos yang diluluskan ialah sebanyak **RM11,831.00**.
4. Penggunaan geran diluluskan hanya akan diproses setelah perjanjian ditandatangani.
5. Semua pembelian peralatan yang kosnya **melebihi** RM500.00 satu item perlu menggunakan pesanan Jabatan Universiti Teknologi MARA (LO). Pihak tuan/ puan juga dikehendaki mematuhi peraturan penerimaan peralatan.
6. Kertas kerja boleh dibentangkan setelah 75% deraf awal laporan akhir projek siap. Walaubagaimana pun, tuan/ puan perlu membuat permohonan kepada Unit Penyelidikan dan Perundingan untuk pembentangan.
7. Pihak tuan/ puan dikehendaki mengemukakan Laporan Kemajuan Projek Penyelidikan dari masa ke semasa. Laporan akhir pula perlu dihantar sebaik sahaja projek penyelidikan disiapkan.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	i
TABLE OF CONTENTS	ii
LIST OF TABLES	iv
LIST OF FIGURES	vi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	vii

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview of the issue	1
1.2 Statement of problem	2
1.3 Objective of study	3
1.4 Contribution of the study	4
1.5 Limitations of the study	4

CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW AND BACKGROUND INFORMATIONS

2.1 Literature review	5
2.2. Background information: Agriculture Policies in Malaysia	7
2.2.1 Agriculture Policies	7
2.2.2 Perak Agriculture Policy (1991 – 2010)	11
2.2.3 Perak and Perak Tengah: Agriculture	12
2.3. Background information: FELCRA, JABATAN PERTANIAN, PLB and RISDA	15
2.3.1 FELCRA – WADIRA (<i>Wanita Dinamis FELCRA</i>)	15
2.3.2 PLB - Income Increasing Programme (<i>Program Peningkatan Pendapatan - PPP</i>)	19
2.3.3 RISDA	21
2.3.4 KPW (WOMEN FARMERS EXTENSION GROUP)	24

CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY

3.1 Method	28
3.2 Sampling Technique	28
3.3 Data	31
3.4 Data Analysis Procedure	32

CHAPTER 4 : FINDINGS

4.1	FELCRA – Wadira	33
4.2	Jabatan Pertanian : KPW	41
4.3	PLB	47
4.4	RISDA - AET & PWPK	52
4.5	Summary of findings	55
4.5.1	Micro-scale projects	55
4.5.2	Projects undertaken by different agencies	55
4.5.3	Assessing the effectiveness	56
4.5.4	Conflicting objectives	57
4.5.5	Participants' Problems	58
4.5.6	Potential products	59

CHAPTER 5 : CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1	Conclusion	60
5.2	Recommendations for further research	61

BIBLIOGRAPHY	62
--------------	----

APPENDICES

Appendix i	MAP OF PERAK TENGAH
Appendix ii	LIST OF KPW PRODUCTS AND SALES (JAN – MAC 2004)
Appendix iii	LIST OF PROJECTS COMPLETED IN 2003 UNDER PLB
Appendix iv	SENARAI PRODUK YANG BOLEH DIKETENGAHKAN MENGIKUT DAERAH
Appendix v	RISDA : PITG and RISDA : AET
Appendix vi	QUESTIONNAIRE
Appendix vii	PICTURES

ABSTRACT

The research was undertaken to look at the micro scale projects by the residents in Perak Tengah with the involvement and assessment by the government and non government agencies such as Jabatan Pertanian, RISDA, FELCRA and PLB .

The findings revealed that the choice of the micro scale projects were undertaken by the kampung people based on their interest but the training and advice provided by the agencies. Among the micro scale projects are food productions, goat and chicken cluster and many others. Even though there are problems faced by the participants, the projects had helped them to generate and increase their monthly income.

It can be concluded that the helped given by the agencies is not sufficient enough in order to combat the hardcore poverty in the region. Many other persistent steps should be taken by those agencies in order to ensure the real successfulness of the projects.

Further research is recommended in order to look at the involvement of other agencies and to cover many more respondents in these micro scale projects so that it will help the government to come out with the policies in order to achieve the objective of the poverty alleviation within the region.