# DEATH PENALTY AS DETERRENCE FOR CRIMES

BY

# HASMAHANI BINTI HASSAN HADELI IMI SAFWANI BINTI ISMAIL KARMUNI BINTI ABDUL KADIR

Bachelor in Legal Studies (Hons.)

Mara University of Technology
Faculty of Law

November 2006

The students/authors confirm that the work submitted is their own and that appropriate credit has been given where reference has been made to the work of others.

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

# Bismillahirrahmanirrahim Assalammualaikumwarahmatullahiwabarakatuh

In this special section of this research, we would like to extend our gratitude to all the people who helped us in completing this research. Firstly, we would like to express our appreciation to Cik Norlaily for her guidance and advice in our completion of this task. It is upon her effort and advises that we managed to finish this research paper.

Secondly, our appreciation goes to our family for the love and support. To our friends, who helped us in our difficulties in seeking information and answers to lots of our questions.

Thirdly, to all respondents who participates in completing this research.

Lastly, to each members in this group for the commitment and efforts for this research.

Thank you.

TABLE OF CONTENTS	pg	
Acknowledgement	ii	
Table of contents	iii	
CHAPTER 1		
1.0 Background/history	1-2	
1.1 Research questions	2	
1.2 Research objectives	2	
1.3 Scope of research	3	
1.4 Limitation of research		
1.5 Significance of the study	3	
1.6 Methodology	3.	
1.6.1 Research approach	3	
1.6.2 Research methods	3	
1.6.2.1 Library based research	3	
1.7 Research plan	4	
CHAPTER 2		
2.0 Literature review		
2.1 Introduction		
2.2 Deterrence	7-9	
CHAPTER 3		
3.0 Introduction		
3.1 Offences which provide death penalty in Malaysia		
3.1.1 Mandatory death penalty	12	
3.1.2 Discretionary death penalty	13	

3.2 Deterrence effect		13
3.2	2.1 Murder	14-17
3.2	2.2 Drug trafficking	17-19
3.2	2.3 Abduction, wrongful restraint, wrongful confinement for	or
	ransom (kidnapping)	19-20
3.3 Argun	nents against death penalty	20
3.3	3.1 No Deterrence effect	21-34
3.3	3.2 Innocent people wrongly executed	34-37
3.3	3.3 Justice	37- 43
3.4 Argun	nents for death penalty	
3.4	4.1 Punishment for crime must be harsh enough to deter	
	potential criminals	43-46
3.4	4.2 No reiterate of crime by the same criminal	46-48
3.4	4.3 Justification for the victims and the victims' family	48-50
3.4	4.4 Innocent people do not wrongly executed	51-52
CHAPTER 4		
4.0 Findings		53-66
CHAPTER 5		
5.0 Recommenda	tions	67-69
CHAPTER 6		**************************************
6.0 Conclusion		70-71
BIBLIOGRAPHI	ŒS	
APPENDIX		

### **CHAPTER 1**

# INTRODUCTION

#### 1.0: BACKGROUND/HISTORY

The first established death penalty laws dated as far back as the 18<sup>th</sup> century B.C in the Code of King Hammurrabbi of Babylon, which codified the death penalty for 25 different crimes. The death penalty was also part of the 14<sup>th</sup> century B.C'S Hittite Code, the 7<sup>th</sup> century B.C's Draconian Code of Athens, which made death penalty the only punishment for all crimes, and the 15<sup>th</sup> century B.C's Roman Law of the 12 Tables. Death sentences were carried out by such means as crucifixion, drowning, beating to death, burning alive and implement.<sup>1</sup>

In Malaysia, where death penalty is mandatory for a considerable number of offences, the court are left to wring their hands and pass the sentence of death irrespective of the existence of mitigating or extenuating circumstances in the case. The prerogative of mercy lies with the Pardon Boards in the states and to the King in Federal Territories of Kuala Lumpur and Labuan. In the case of Sim Kie Chon v Superintendent of Pudu Prison & Ors<sup>2</sup>, it was held that royal prerogative of mercy cannot be varied of confirmed by the courts, there being no jurisdiction to do so. The appellant in this case contended that in the case of Datuk Mokhtar Hashim, Pardon Boards had acted unconstitutionally contrary to article 8 (1)<sup>3</sup> of the Federal Constitution when the sentenced of death commuted to life imprisonment.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Michigan State University and Death Penalty Information Center, 'History of Death Penalty', <a href="http://www.deathpenlatyinfo.msu.edu/">http://www.deathpenlatyinfo.msu.edu/</a>, Feb 28, 2006.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> (1985) 2 MLJ 385

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Federal Constitution of Malaysia.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Karpal Singh, "Death Penalty: Legal and Constitutional Issues," paper presented at the 12<sup>th</sup> Commonwealth Law Conference of Kuala Lumpur in September 1999.