MISTREATMENT IN LOCKUP: A STUDY OF THE PRISONS REGULATIONS 2000

By

Farah Adlin bt. Ahmad (2004261706) Mohd Redzuan b. Cyril Pancratius (2004261546)

Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements For the Bachelor in Legal Studies (Hons)

Universiti Teknologi MARA Faculty of Law

ł

October 2006

The students/authors confirm that the work submitted is their own and that appropriate credit has been given where reference has been made to the works of others.

PREFACE

First and foremost our deepest gratitude is forwarded to God whose guidance, help and grace is instrumental in making the finalisation of this project paper a reality. We would also like to convey our thankfulness and appreciation to our respected lecturer who is also our supervisor, Mr Mahindarjit Singh who has been kind and generous enough in lending us a helping hand throughout the completion of this research. His guidance and assistance shall never be forgotten. In addition, we also wish to acknowledge the assistance of few other people in helping us completing this project paper.

Firstly, special thanks to the staffs and librarians of Perpustakaan Tunku Abdul Rahman II of Universiti Teknologi MARA Shah Alam whose assistance has enabled us to find relevant materials which is fundamental to our research. Secondly, we would like to thank our family members for their constant encouragement and love. Their support has made it possible for us to endure any difficulty that we faced along the completion of our work.

We would also like to thank all the respondents of the interviews that we conducted as part of the research. Their time and effort in providing us the answers that we seek is very much appreciated. Last but not least we would like to express our million thanks for our family, dearest and closest friends for all the help and support that they had provide us with. To all these people, a million thanks are forwarded.

ABSTRACT

The last two semesters had been dedicated to conduct a research based on the nude squat case that had sparked public uproar in 17 November 2005. The research was done with the objective to find out the sufficiency of the existing law on the treatment of the suspected person whilst in police custody. The relevancy of the application of the Standing Orders made by the Inspector General in the treatment of the suspected person was also been taken into consideration. We also wanted to investigate whether the law was made in accordance with the international principles made by the United Nations. The cases that were being highlighted by the media is our main resource of information since most of these cases that involves the abuse or the death of the suspected person whilst in police custody had not been brought to the court of law. All these issues were then looked into from the perspective of the Prisons Regulations 2000.

We conducted interview session with experts that deal with the issue of abuse and death of the suspected person whilst in police custody almost in daily basis. The outcomes of these interviews were as we expected. We benefited a lot from the interviews since most of the recommendations were relevant for the purpose of this research. Among questions forwarded to the respondents are on the sufficiency of the existing laws, establishment of the Independent Police Complaints and Misconduct Commission (IPCMC) and on the *sufficiency* of the Inspector General of Police's Standing Orders. Our research is also based on the literatures written by authors specialising in this area and also materials we find online.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Preface Abstract Table of Co Table of Ca Table of Sto	ses	ii iii iv vi vii
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION		1-12
1.0	General Introduction	1
1.1		6
1.2	5	8
1.3	0	9
	Limitations of the Research	9
1.5	C1	10
1.6	Conclusion	11
СНАРТЕБ	R TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	13-21
2.0	Introduction	13
2.1	Literature Review	13
2.2	Conclusion	21
CHAPTER THREE: THE MALAYSIAN POSITION		22-35
3.0	Introduction	22
3.1	Fundamental Rights	22
3.2	Rights of the Suspected Person	23
	3.2.1 Right of the suspected person to be inform of the	
8	grounds of his arrest	23
0	3.2.2 Right to legal representation	24
	3.2.3 Right to be produced in front of a magistrate within	
	24 hours of arrest	25
	3.2.4 Right to remain silent	25
3.3	•	26
3.4	U	27
3.5	International Laws	31
3.6	Current Issues	33
3.7	Conclusion	34

CHAPTER FOUR: ANALYSIS	36-43	
4.0 Introduction	36	
4.1 Analysis	36	
4.2 Conclusion	43	
CHAPTER FIVE: RECOMMENDATIONS	44-49	
5.0 Introduction	44	
5.1 Recommendations	44	
5.2 Conclusion	49	
Bibliography	50-52	
Appendices		
Appendix 1: Interview Questions	53	
Appendix 2: Federal Constitution	56	
Appendix 3: Criminal Procedure Code (Act 593)	58	
Appendix 4: Police Act 1967 (Act 344)	60	
Appendix 5: Prison Act 1995 (Act 537)	61	
Appendix 6: Lockup Rules 1953	64	
Appendix 7: Prisons Regulations 2000		
Appendix 8: Newspaper Articles		

v

ð