CAPITAL PUNISHMENT FOR DRUG OFFENDERS IN MALAYSIA: BALANCING BETWEEN HUMAN RIGHTS AND UTILITARIAN RATIONALES

By

Aizuddin b Sapian (2007287216)

Azman b Abdul Manaf (2007266982)

Siti Aisyah bt Zainal Abidin (2007294482)

Nurmifatul Shuhadah bt Mustafa (2007294522)

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Bachelor in Legal Studies (Hons)

Universiti Teknologi MARA
Faculty of Law

October 2009

The students/authors confirm that the work submitted is their own and that appropriate credit has been given where reference has been made to the work of others.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to take this opportunity to thank our supervisor Profesor Emeritus Shad Salem Faruqi for his advice and support throughout this project. This project would not have been able to accomplish on time if it had not been for his support. His assistance and guidance was also invaluable.

Credits also been given to our parents and family members for giving us moral and financial support throughout this project. Special thanks dedicated to our friends for their kindness and willingness to share their additional information which is very much relevant for the project paper.

In addition, we would like to express our gratitude to Encik Hafizi, the Enforcement Officer of National Anti-Drugs Agency (AADK) and Lance Corporal 118665 MOHD NASIR MOHD SHARIR for the full cooperation and time that had been sacrificed during the interview and for the completion of this project. The information we gained were very valuable and crucial for our project paper.

Last but not least, we also wish to thank many people who gave their full support either directly or indirectly to the project and during the thesis writing. This includes each member in our group; Aizuddin, Azman, Nurmifatul Shuhadah and Siti Aisyah for the effort and hard work that had been put in making this project paper a success. Once again, thank you very much.

ABSTRACT

Many countries in Asia, including Malaysia, impose the death penalty for non-violent crimes, including drug related crimes. Capital punishment is irrevocable and can be inflicted on the innocent. It has never been shown to deter crime more effectively than other punishments. Every death sentence is an affront to human dignity, every execution a symptom of, not a solution to, a culture of violence. However, the government holds a different view. It reserves the death penalty for those who carry, say, above fifteen grammes of heroin because of the harm that they would have had on the populace, if the drug had been disseminated. This reservation strengthens the government's stand on the import of dangerous quantities of drugs. It is a message to the drug offenders, who would always attempt to maximise their profits by carrying more drugs on each trip, not to entertain such ideas. It is prevention within deterrence, minimising the damage. It is a sort of damage control.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT				
ABSTRACT				
TABLE OF CONTENTS				
LIST	T OF CASES	vii		
CHA	APTER ONE: INTRODUCTION			
1.0	Introduction	1		
1.1	·Background	1		
1.2	Problem Statements	3		
1.3	Objectives	4		
1.4	Significance of the Research	4		
1.5	Scope and Limitations of the Research	5		
1.6	Research Methodology	6		
1.7	Outline of the Research	7		
1.8	Conclusion	8		
СНА	APTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW			
2.0	Introduction	9		
2.1	Definition of Capital Punishment	10		
2.2	Death Sentence for Drug Related Crime	12		
2.3	A Menace to the Country	14		
2.4	Price to be Paid	15		
2.5	A Less Secure Environment	16		
2.6	Argument that the Death Sentence Is Necessary to Combat Drug Menace 17			
2.7	Argument that Death Penalty Is Disproportionate	19		
2.8	Conclusion	21		

CHAPTER THREE: MALAYSIA'S GROWING OF DRUG RELATED OFFENCES AND THE THREAT OF CAPITAL PUNISHMENT

3.0	Introd	luction	23
3.1	Drug Abuse		
3.2	Statis	tics do not Decrease	25
3.3	Execu	nting the Innocent	26
3.4	Effect	tiveness of Deterrence	29
3.5	Lack	of Open Discussion	30
3.6	Propo	rtionality of Punishment	30
3.7	Concl	usion	31
CHA	APTER 3	FOUR: RESEARCH FINDINGS	
4.0	Introd	luction	32
4.1	Data A	Analysis	33
	4.1.1	Statistics	33
	4.1.2	Section 39B of the Dangerous Drugs Act 1952	35
4.2	Concl	usion	39
CHA	APTER 1	FIVE: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
5.0	Introd	uction	40
5.1	Dema	nd	40
	5.1.1	Standard of Life	41
	5.1.2	Education	41
5.2	Enforcement		
	5.2.1	Corruption Index	42
	5.2.2	Improvisation of Technology related to Enforcement	42
5.3	Law		43
	5.3.1	Stress Approach on Prevention	43