

**THE ADEQUACY OF TELEMEDICINE ACT RELATING TO  
LIABILITY OF TELEMEDICINE PRACTITIONERS IN  
MALAYSIA: A COMPARATIVE STUDY WITH  
TELEMEDICINE LAW OF CALIFORNIA, USA.**

By

Nurul Fatimah Binti Norull Bahri Saiful Abadi (2009779809)  
Nurul Hafizah Binti Mohd Zaki (2009754315)  
Nurul Syahirah Binti Azman (2009398225)  
Wan Fatin Najah Binti Wan Ismail (2009732275)

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Bachelor in Legal  
Studies (Hons)

**Universiti Teknologi MARA  
Faculty of Law**

June 2012

The students/ authors confirm that the work submitted is their own and that appropriate credit has been given where reference has been made to the work of others.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

*In the name of Allah, The beneficent, The Merciful...*

This research project has been carried out by a team which has included Nurul Fatimah Binti Norull Bahri Saiful Abadi, Nurul Hafizah Binti Mohd Zaki, Nurul Syahirah Binti Azman, and Wan Fatin Najah Binti Wan Ismail. Many people have been contributed to our research. First and foremost, our deepest gratitude is forwarded to God whose guidance, help and grace is instrumental in making the finalization of this project paper a reality. We would like to thank our lovely lecturer PM Sue Valquis bt. Mashhor for her knowledge and guidance in helping us to complete this research. Her guidance and assistance shall never be forgotten.

In addition, we also wish to acknowledge the assistance of few other people in helping us completing this project paper. We are very grateful to our family and friends for their endless support and encouragement. Their support has made it possible for us to endure any difficulty that we faced along the completion of our work. Our thanks also address to the University Technology MARA library's staff for their friendliness and kindness.

We hope that this research will be useful for any other researchers who have intention to pursue this area of research or any other research which is connected in one way or another with our proposal.

## **ABSTRACT**

Telemedicine is newly practiced in Malaysia. This research focuses on the adequacy of Telemedicine law in Malaysia in governing liability of telemedicine practitioners. For this purpose, the relevant provisions in the Malaysian Telemedicine Act 1997 are reviewed. There are loopholes in the existing law that can be improved in order for the Government to achieve efficacy in practicing telemedicine. The new hack of proper procedures and approaches greatly affect the legal implications on the liability of telemedicine practitioners. To improve the law in Malaysia, a comparative analysis of the study is made with the Telemedicine Law in the California, USA. The research proposed the amendment of the Malaysian Telemedicine Act 1997.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgement	ii
Abstract	iii
Content	iv
List of Cases	vii

### CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

1.0	Introduction	1
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Research Question	4
1.3	Research Objectives	4
1.4	Research Methodology	4
1.5	Scope	5
1.6	Limitation of Research	6
1.7	Significance of Study	6
1.8	Literature Review	6
1.8.1	Conceptual Framework	6
1.8.2	Legal Framework	8
1.8.3	Theoretical Framework	14
1.9	Outline of the Structure of the Paper	16
1.10	Conclusion	16

### CHAPTER TWO: TELEMEDICINE AND ITS PRACTICE IN THE UNITED STATES AND MALAYSIA

2.0	Introduction	17
2.1	Development of Information Technology (IT) and Telemedicine Technology	17
2.1.1	Telemedicine Technology	18
2.1.2	Store and Forward	18
2.1.3	Interactive Television	19
2.2	Telemedicine Practice and Its Policy in the State of California, USA	21
2.2.1	Background	21
2.2.2	California Telemedicine and e-Health Center (CTEC)	22
2.2.3	The Practice of Telemedicine in the State of California	23
2.2.3.1	State Prison	23
2.2.3.2	University of California, Davis	25
2.2.3.3	Blue Cross in California	26
2.3	Telemedicine Practice and Its Policy in Malaysia	26
2.3.1	Background	26
2.3.2	Policy of Malaysia's Ministry of Health on Telemedicine	27
2.3.3	Hospital Practicing Telemedicine	31
2.3.3.1	Selayang Hospital	31
2.4	Conclusion	35

## **CHAPTER THREE: LAW GOVERNING TELEMEDICINE IN MALAYSIA**

3.0	Introduction	36
3.1	Telemedicine Act 1997	36
3.1.1	Person Who Can Practice Telemedicine	36
3.1.2	Practicing Certificate	37
3.1.3	Patient's Consent	38
3.1.4	Regulations	39
3.2	Significance of Telemedicine Act	40
3.3	Medical Act 1971	40
3.3.1	Malaysian Medical Council	40
3.3.2	Registered Medical Practitioners	41
3.3.3	Medical Practice Certificate	42
3.3.4	Disciplinary Proceedings	43
3.4	Significance of Medical Act	44
3.5	Malaysian Medical Council's Code of Professional Conduct	45
3.5.1	Confidentiality	45
3.6	Significance of the Code	48
3.7	Computer Crimes Act 1997	48
3.8	Significance of the Computer Crimes Act	52
3.9	Conclusion	52

## **CHAPTER FOUR: TELEMEDICINE LAW IN CALIFORNIA**

4.0	Introduction	53
4.1	Telemedicine Development Act 1996	53
4.2	The Provisions of Telemedicine Development Act 1996	54
4.2.1	Business and Professional Code	63
4.2.2	Health and Safety Code	63
4.2.3	Insurance Code	64
4.2.4	Welfare and Institutions Code	64
4.3	Telemedicine Malpractice	64
4.4	Conclusion	70

## **CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

5.0	Introduction	71
5.1	Findings of the Research	71
5.1.1	Registration of Telemedicine Practitioner	71
5.1.2	Confidentiality and Code of Ethics	72
5.1.3	Patient's Access to Medical Records	72
5.1.4	Disciplinary Action	73
5.1.5	Criminal Liability	73
5.2	Recommendations	74
5.2.1	Registration of Telemedicine Practitioner by Malaysian Telemedicine Council	74