# A STUDY ON PLEA BARGAINING AGAINST THEORIES OF PUNISHMENT AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE

# By

ABDUL HAKEEM PUTRA BIN ABDUL RAHMAN PUTRA (2009241298)
AHMAD SOLEHIN BIN ABD. GHANI (2009446424)
AMEER ASRAAF KHAIREE BIN AMIN (2009413428)

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the Requirements for the Bachelor in Legal Studies (Hons)

# Universiti Teknologi Mara Faculty of Law

The students/authors confirm that the work submitted is their own and that appropriate credit has been given where reference has been made to the work of others.

#### **ABSTRACT**

On December 13, 2010, it was reported that a change in the Malaysian Criminal Procedure Code to include the concept of formal plea bargaining in criminal cases would soon be implemented by the court.

According to the former Chief Justice Yang Amat Arif Tun Tan Sri Dato' Sri Zaki Azmi, the plea bargaining have already put into practice now but this will become a more formal and standard procedure under the amendment. Plea bargaining will only be offered within 30 days after a person has been charged, and the case would go to a full trial after 90 days if no agreement has been reached. Plea bargains will be an option in cases involving first-time offenders including for offenses for which the maximum penalty is death. However, if there is a minimum sentence for the offense, a lesser term will not be available.

The Deputy Public Prosecutor will be able to determine whether or not to offer plea bargains in each case. Plea bargaining may also involve a reduction of the charges. It will be the duty of the court to ensure that the plea bargain was entered into voluntarily by the parties. Judges will be able to call the accused in camera to ensure that this has occurred. Once a sentence has been determined neither the prosecution nor the defence will be able to appeal the decision, except on technical grounds.

The Chief Justice said that the concept of formal plea bargaining would expedite the disposal of criminal cases and reduce the backlog in the courts. However, we also need to consider certain hidden danger on the application of the plea bargaining in Malaysia.

This research will examine the issues pertaining whether the implementation of plea bargaining will fulfilled the theories of punishment and uphold criminal justice or it will be vice versa.

#### **ACKNOWLEGMENT**

First of all we would like to thank the Merciful Allah S.W.T for giving us the strength to complete this project paper. Without His blessings, we would never been able to come to this stage.

This research project has been carried out by a team consist of Abdul Hakeem Putra Bin Abdul Rahman Putra, Ahmad Solehin Bin Abd. Ghani, and Ameer Asraaf Khairee Bin Amin. Each of us has contributed in the research, writings and editing of the paper.

We would like to thank to our beloved parents for their love, support and also their contribution in the financial aspect, without it, this project paper could not have been completed. Not to forget, the rest of our family, for always being there for us.

To our lovely supervisor Miss Siti Nuramani binti Abdul Manab, our deepest gratitude and thank you for her assistance, support and guidance.

Thank you also to all of our beloved friends for their direct and indirect support and help in making this project papers a reality.

In completing this work, we have also utilised the service of UiTM's library, Perpustakaan Tun Abdul Razak II (PTAR II). We therefore wish to thank the staff of PTAR II for their kind assistance and cooperation. It is important to note that some of the sources of information used in this project paper have been the internet. It is therefore impossible to acknowledge every author who has indirectly contributed to the completion of this work. We would like to extend our gratitude to these authors.

Lastly to our respondent/ interviewers, we would like to express our gratitude to YB Legal Advisor of the State of Johor Darul Takzim, Tuan Harris Ong B. Mohd Jeffrey Ong (Deputy Public Prosectutor), Puan Namirah Hanum (Magistrate), and a lawyer (undisclosed).

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Ackno	pwledgment	ii		
Abstracts				
Table of Contents				
List of Cases				
List of	List of Statutes			
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION				
1.1	Introduction	1		
1.2	Problem Statement	3		
1.3	Objective of Research	4		
1.4	Research Methodology	5		
1.5	Scope and Limitation of the Research	5		
1.6	Significance of the Research	6		

## CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1	Introd	luction	7		
2.2	Literature Review				
	2.2.1	Type of plea bargaining	8		
	2.2.2	Area of negotiation in plea bargaining	9		
	2.2.3	Theories of Punishment	10		
	2.2.4	Criminal Law	12		
	2.2.5	Justice	13		
	2.2.6	Criminal Justice	14		
		THREE: THE BACKGROUND OF LEGISLATION & APPLICATE GAINING	TION OF		
3.1	Introd	uction	15		
3.2	Comparison of legislations on plea bargaining between Malaysia with India,				
	Engla	nd, United States of America and Pakistan.			
	3.2.1	Current legislations in Malaysia	15		
	3.2.2	Application in Malaysia	18		
	3.2.3	Application of plea bargaining in India	20		
		3.2.3.1 Procedural safeguard under Indian Criminal Procedure Code	22		
	3.2.4	English Law	23		
	3.2.5	Application of plea bargaining in United States of America	27		