

**THE RELEVANCY OF CANING AS A FORM OF CRIMINAL  
PUNISHMENT IN MALAYSIA.**

By

Mohd Fared Bin Bahtar (2007294156)  
Mohd Nasri Bin Idris (2007294122)  
Mohamad Saiful Idham B. Awang Mat Isa (2007294154)  
Muhammad Hafiz Muim Bin Md Rijani (2007294408)

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Bachelor in Legal Studies  
(Hons)

**Universiti Teknologi MARA  
Faculty of Law**

October 2009

The students confirm that the work submitted is their own and that appropriate credit has  
been given where reference has been made to the work of others.

## ABSTRACT

In Malaysia, corporal punishment by caning/whipping has been used for decades, mostly as an additional sentence to imprisonment or as an alternative to a fine. There are four statutes that govern the sentences of whipping namely The Penal Code, the Arms Act 1960, the Firearms (Increased penalty) Act 1971, and Dangerous Drugs Ordinance 1952. There are three categories of persons who cannot be imposed the sentence of whipping, namely females, males sentenced to death, and males whom the court considers to be more than fifty years of age.

In recent events, questions has been put forward by the human rights movement that this form of punishment is rather harsh and inhumane. There has been a doubt on whether it is still relevant as a form of criminal punishment to be practised in this country. Some people view it as a rather uncivilized form of punishment rather than to rehabilitate, to deter, to reform and as retribution in accordance with the four theories of punishment highlighted by the jurist.

Caning/whipping or known as “ Al-Jald” has also been a part of Islamic law on sentencing, and divided into two categories, whipping as provided for hudud offences and whipping for ta’zir offences. There has been a numbers of hadith and verse in the holy Al-Quran which approved the validity of whipping as a form of punishment in Islam. Therefore it can be conclude that in Islam, caning/whipping is acknowledge as a form of punishment.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research project has been carried out by a team which included Mohd Fared Bin Bahtar, Mohd Nasri B. Idris , Mohamad Saiful Idham B. Awang Mat Isa and Muhammad Hafiz Muim Md. Rijani. All praise is to Allah Almighty for giving us strength and endurance to complete this research in stipulated time. This research provides a reasonably comprehensive introduction to criminal punishment and practice of whipping/caning as a form of criminal punishment in Malaysia.

We were greatly indebted to Prof Madya Mahanum, our supervisor, for her patience and kind advice. Without her guidance, it would be almost impossible for us to be able to complete this research. We would also like to express our sincere appreciation to Mdm Che Audah, law faculty lecturer, for her invaluable information and recommendation regarding corporal punishment in Malaysia. In addition, we would also like to thank all the people involved in completing our surveys.

Our special thanks go to our parents and our colleagues from Faculty of Law, UiTM for their moral support and suggestion.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgement	ii
Abstract	iii
List of cases	iv
Table of Contents	v

### CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

1.0 Introduction	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Background	2
1.3 Research Questions	6
1.4 Objective and Scope	6
1.5 Significance of the study	6
1.6 Limitation of the research	7
1.7 Research Methodology	7
1.8 Literature Review	8

### CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.0 Literature Review	11
2.1 Theories of Punishment	11
2.2 Caning from an Administrative and Procedural Viewpoint	14
2.2.1 Procedure	16
2.2.2 Offences that may be punish by whipping	17
2.2.3 Sharia Caning	17
2.3 A Comparison Between Malaysia and Singapore	18
2.3.1 Introduction	18
2.3.2 Number of Strokes	19
2.3.3 Modus Operandi	19
2.3.4 Equipment	20

2.3.5	Juveniles	21
2.3.6	Islamic Law	21
2.4	Islam Perspectives on Whipping/Caning	22
2.4.1	Whipping (Al-Jald)	22
2.4.2	Hudud Law	22
2.4.3	Ta'zir	23
2.4.4	The position in Malaysia	24

### **CHAPTER THREE: STATUTORY PROVISIONS AND CASE STUDIES**

3.0	Introduction	27
3.1	The Penal Code	27
3.2	Islamic Criminal Law Whipping (Al-jald)	29
3.3.1	Whipping, flogging, or slashing	29
3.3.2	Hudud Law	29
3.3.3	Ta'zir	29
3.3.4	Response towards the application of caning	31
3.3.5	Reasons for caning	33

### **CHAPTER FOUR: RESEARCH FINDINGS**

4.0	Research Findings	37
4.1	Analysis of Research Findings	44
4.2	Critical Analysis and Recommendations	44
	Bibliography	48
	Appendices	50
	Appendix 1: Interview Questions	51
	Appendix 2: Questionnaire	53