

**PRESS FREEDOM:  
A COMPARATIVE STUDY BETWEEN MALAYSIA AND THE UNITED  
KINGDOM**

Prepared by

**AMIRAH HUZVEEN MOHD PARVEEN**

2007294556

**FARRAH DINA ALODIN**

2007294284

**LISA SOFIE BINTI ADAM**

2007294536

**MAI LATULNADIA AHMAD BADAWI**

2007294276

Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements  
for the Bachelor of Legal Studies (Hons)

**Universiti Teknologi MARA**

**Faculty of Law**

October 2009

The students/authors confirm that the work submitted is their own and that appropriate credit has been given where reference has been made to the work of others.

## ABSTRACT

The title of this research is “Press Freedom” A Comparative Study between Malaysian and The United Kingdom”. This research is done to address the limitations of press freedom.

Recently, Malaysia’s press had been rated as not free. Furthermore, there are a lot of incidents that happened which questioned the ability of press to do their works freely. The main reason for these problems is the restriction factors that had been practiced rigidly. We need to find the best solution to overcome these problems in order to avoid a greater harm to the Malaysian society.

Since we do not have a specific law regarding press freedom in Malaysia, we need to look at other countries that have laws regarding press freedom. As to this research, we decided to compare Malaysia with the United Kingdom. From this, we can find the best solution on the most suitable way to be implemented in Malaysia. We also need to take into account few aspects such as the implementation and the enforcement in order to suit our society.

In the end of this research, we will emerge with a few recommendations for the government to take action in order to uphold press freedom in Malaysia. We hope that with these recommendations press freedom can be uphold and change for the better in Malaysia.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research has been carried out by a team of four which comprises of Amirah Huzveen Mohd Parveen, Farrah Dina Alodin, Lisa Sofie Adam and Mai Latulnadia Ahmad Badawi. Our contribution to the project is by doing the research and finding the information relating to the topic. All chapters in this paper were completed as a result of the joint effort of all four members of the team

First and foremost, we would like to thank God for His blessings and guidance in providing us with the strength, time and opportunity to complete our research in an orderly and timely manner despite all the complications that we encountered and the restrictive time limit. Nothing can be compared to the success in completing this project paper. It would not have been made possible without the careful supervision and guidance from our supervisor, Prof. Madya ST Lingam. We would like to take this opportunity to express our utmost gratitude for his patience and tolerance.

Furthermore, we would like to thank our respondent, Puan Nadzriah, a Constitutional Law lecturer in the Faculty of Law of Universiti Teknologi Mara for the time spent in dispensing invaluable information which we have incorporated into our research.

Last but not least, we would like to express our greatest appreciation to our family and friends for their effortless support and prayers, without which the completion of this project paper would not have been possible.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgement	ii
Abstract	iii
Contents	iv
List of Cases	vi

### CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

1.0	Introduction	1
1.2	Research Question	5
1.3	Objective of the Research	6
1.4	Literature Review	7
1.5	Significance of the Research	10
1.6	Limitation	10
1.7	Research Methodology	12
1.8	Structure of the Paper	12
1.9	Conclusion	13

### CHAPTER TWO: PRESS FREEDOM IN MALAYSIA

2.0	Introduction	14
2.1	Various Interpretation of Press Freedom	14
2.2	Press Freedom in Malaysia	16
2.3	Factors that Limit Press Freedom	19
	2.3.1 Political Ownership	20
	2.3.2 Sensitive Issues	21
	2.3.3 Social and Political Unrest	22
2.4	Restrictions of Laws Regarding Press Freedom in Malaysia	24
	2.4.1 Federal Constitution	24
	2.4.2 Sedition Act 1948	25
	2.4.3 Printing Presses and Publication Act 1984	28
	2.4.5 Official Secrets Act 1972	29
2.5	Decided Cases and Recent Incidents	29
	2.5.1 <i>Public Prosecutor v Pung Chen Choon</i>	31
	2.5.2 <i>Persatuan Aliran Kesedaran Negara v Minister of Home Affairs</i>	33
2.6	Conclusion	35

### **CHAPTER THREE:PRESS FREEDOM IN UNITED KINGDOM**

3.0	Introduction	36
3.1	Press Freedom in United Kingdom	36
3.2	Factors that Limits Press Freedom in United Kingdom	38
3.3	Restrictions in Laws Regarding Press Freedom in United Kingdom	39
	3.3.1 Libel Law	42
	3.3.2 Race Relation Act 1976	43
	3.3.3 British Press Complaints Commission	44
	3.3.4 Right to Privacy	45
3.4	Cases and Recent Incidents	47
	3.4.1 <i>Bergens Tidende v Norway</i>	47
	3.4.2 <i>Observer and Guidance v United Kingdom</i>	47
	3.4.3 <i>Oxfordshire Country Council v L &amp; F</i>	48
	3.4.4 Complaint brought to Press Complaint Commission	48
	3.4.5 <i>Campbell v MGN Ltd.</i>	49
	3.4.6 Recent Incidents	49
3.5	Conclusion	51

### **CHAPTER FOUR: COMPARISON OF PRESS FREEDOM IN MALAYSIA AND UNITED KINGDOM AND THE CONCLUSION**

4.0	Introduction	52
4.1	The Comparative Study of Press Freedom in Malaysia and United Kingdom	52
	4.1.1 Factors	52
	4.1.2 Restrictions in Laws	54
	4.1.3 Cases and Recent Incidents	55
4.2	Recommendations	57
4.3	Conclusion	58
	Bibliography	60
	Appendices	64
	Appendix 1: Interview Questions	65
	Appendix 2: Pictures	66