A STUDY ON THE LAW AND ENFORCEMENT REGARDING COUNTERFEIT HANDBAGS

Ву

MAIZATUL AIN BT. MOHD ZIN MASLINA BINTI PE RAMLI 2005756695

2005756297

This is a research paper submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Bachelor in Legal Studies (Hons)

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA FACULTY OF LAW SHAH ALAM

April 2008

The students/authors confirmed that the work submitted is their own and that appropriate credit has been given where reference has been made to the works of others.

ABSTRACT

This research is aimed to give exposure on the issue of counterfeit handbags in Malaysia. Our objectives are to discuss the enforcement of the law regarding counterfeit handbags in Malaysia and to find ways to overcome the problem of counterfeit handbags.

The law regarding counterfeit handbags is Trade Marks Act 1976. This Act provided provisions relating to the trade marks. It stated the definition of the trade marks and what amounted to registrable trade marks. We discuss the law which applicable in Malaysia and as well as in United Kingdom.

Counterfeit relates to the infringement of the trade marks. The owner of the register or unregistered trade marks can sue if there is infringement of their trade marks. The procedures concerning infringement are stated in the Trade Description Act 1972.

We also managed to get further information from interview session with Mr. Shaifulbahri bin Abdul Kadir, the Senior Assistant Director from Enforcement Division, Ministry of Domestic Trade and Consumer Affairs (KPDNHEP). The interview has helped us a lot in obtaining information about the enforcement regarding counterfeit handbags.

In conclusion, it is hoped that our research will help in preventing counterfeit handbags from being sold in our country by stressing on the enforcement of the laws. We also seek to give recommendation based on the problems.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Praise is to ALLAH, the Most Exalted, whose Mercy and Blessing have enabled us to complete this research paper on course Honours Project Paper (LAW533).

We would like to express our thanks and gratitude to Prof. Madya Ramlah Mohd Noh, our supervisor for this project paper for being kind and generous in assisting and guiding us throughout the completion of this research. Her guidance, penetrating comments, constructive suggestions, and editorial skills have been instrumental in the accomplishment of this report. Her sacrifices shall never be forgotten.

Thank you also due to all the respondents who answered the questionnaire and the interviewee, Mr. Shaifulbahri bin Abdul Kadir, the Senior Assistant Director from Enforcement Division, Ministry of Domestic Trade and Consumer Affairs (KPDNHEP), who graciously allowed him to be asked for opinions and information during the interview. He made us feel comfortable during the interview session that we had conducted with him. The interview has helped us a lot in obtaining information regarding the situation of the problems of counterfeit handbags in Malaysia.

Last but not least, we would like to convey our thanks to everyone who has contributed directly or indirectly to the completion of this paper.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	i
ABSTRACT	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF CASES	vii
LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS	ix
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 TITLE	1
1.2 INTRODUCTION	1
1.3 PROBLEM STATEMENT	4
1.4 OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY	5
1.5 LITERATURE REVIEW	6
1.6 METHODOLOGY	8
1.7 LIMITATION	9
1.8 SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY	9
1.9 PLAN OF RESEARCH	10
CHAPTER 2: TRADE MARK LAW IN MALAYSIA	11
2.1 DEFINITION	11
2.1.1 WHAT IS A MARK?	11
2.1.2 WHAT AMOUNTED TO REGISTRABLE TRADE MARK?	11
2.1.3 WHAT IS A COUNTERFEIT?	12
2.2 TRADE MARK LAW	13
2.2.1 UNITED KINGDOM POSITION	13
2.2.2 MALAYSIAN POSITION	14
2.3 PURPOSE OF A TRADE MARK	15
2.4 FORMS OF TRADE MARK	15

2.4.1	SHAPES	S	16
2.4.2	COLOUR1		
2.4.3	DESIGN	LOGO	17
2.5 P	ROHIBITI	ON RELATING TO TRADE MARKS	18
2.5.1	PROHIB	ITION ON REGISTRATION	18
2.5.2	PROHIB	ITION OF IMPORTATION OF CERTAIN GOODS	19
2.5.3	PROHIB	ITION OF FALSE TRADE DESCRIPTION	19
2.6 IN	IFRINGE	MENT OF A TRADE MARK	21
2.6.1	REQUIR	EMENTS TO ESTABLISH INFRINGEMENT	21
2.6.	1.1	The defendants used the mark identical with the plaintiff's	
		mark	. 21
2.6.	1.2	The offending mark was used by persons who have not	
		been authorized or licensed by the plaintiff	22
2.6.	1.3	The defendants were using the offending	
		mark in the course of trade	. 22
2.6.	1.4	The defendants used the offending mark in relation to good	ods
		in respect of which the trade mark is	
		registered	. 23
2.6.	1.5	The defendants used the offending mark in such a manner	r:
		as to render the use of the mark likely to be taken as bein	g
		used as a trade mark	. 23
2.6.2	THE TES	ST FOR TRADE MARK INFRINGEMENT	23
2.6.	2.1	Identical marks and identical goods	. 24
2.6.	2.2	Identical marks and similar goods or similar marks and	
		identical goods	. 25
2.6.	2.3	Identical or similar marks and identical, similar or dissimila	ar
		goods	.25
2.7 R	EMEDIES	FOR INFRINGEMENT	27
2.7.1	REGIST	ERED PROPRIETOR OF THE TRADE MARK	27
2.7.2	NON-RE	GISTERED PROPRIETOR OF THE TRADE MARK	29