

**A COMPARATIVE STUDY BETWEEN SEDITION LAW IN MALAYSIA AND
THE UNITED STATES**

By

Amirah bt Zakaria (2008408898)
Intan Izureen bt Sharifudin (2008408916)
Siti Muneera bt Hussin (2007144085)
Suria bt Muhammad (2008408866)

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements
for the Bachelor in Legal Studies (Hons)

Universiti Teknologi MARA
Faculty of Law

November 2010

The students/authors confirm that the work submitted is their own and that appropriate credit has been given where reference has been made to the work of others.

ABSTRACT

The importance of this research is to see the distinctions of the implementation of the law of sedition between Malaysia and the United States. There is a need to analyze the provisions under Malaysian Sedition Act 1948 and Section 2384 of The United States Code: Title 18 relating to the offence of sedition to compare the implementation of law of sedition having between in Malaysia and the United States, “A Comparison Study between Sedition Law in Malaysia and the United States” is the title of this project paper. There are two main objectives to this study which are to analyze the purpose and the scope of the sedition law in both Malaysia and the United States and to critically examine the enforcement and application of the sedition law in Malaysia and the United States. This is due to the fact that although both Malaysia and the United States have provisions regarding sedition offences, there are different restrictions on the freedom of speech and expression imposed especially in terms of its implementation. This research focuses on the sedition law in Malaysia and the United States also in brief to other country such as United Kingdom. The qualitative type of research techniques, a library-based research, and semi-structured interviews were used in carrying out this research.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research project has been carried out by a team consisting of Amirah bt Zakaria, Intan Izureen bt Sharifudin, Siti Muneera bt Hussin and Suria bt Muhammad.

Writing this project paper requires support from many people and institution. First and foremost, we would like to thank our lecturers for Legal Research and Methodology (LAW 557). Prof Madya Norha bt Abu Hanifah and Pn Noraisyah Chua bt Abdullah, for the basic guidelines in preparing the project paper and the approval of the proposal.

It is a pleasure to express gratitude for the assistance and guidance by our supervisor Pn Rahimah Bee bt Mohd Yusof who has been supportive and gives academic assistance for the achievement of the research objectives.

We would also want to acknowledge to all of our interviewees for their willingness to be interviewed.

Above and beyond the appreciation above, we are very grateful to our family members and friends for the moral supports given from the beginning until the completion of this research and for their prayers.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|-------------------|-----|
| Acknowledgement | ii |
| Abstract | iii |
| Table of Contents | iv |

CHAPTER ONE: THE

| | |
|--------------------------------|----|
| 1.0: Introduction to Research | 1 |
| 2.0: Problem Statement | 3 |
| 3.0: Objectives of the Study | 4 |
| 4.0: Literature Review | 4 |
| 5.0: Research Methodology | 14 |
| 6.0: Scope and Limitation | 15 |
| 7.0: Significance of the Study | 15 |

CHAPTER TWO: HISTORY AND DEVELOPMENT OF SEDITION LAWS IN MALAYSIA AND THE UNITED STATES

| | |
|--|----|
| 2.0: Sedition Defined | 17 |
| 2.1: The Development of Sedition Law in Malaysia | 17 |
| 2.1.1: The First Amendment in 1948 | 17 |
| 2.1.2: The 1970 Amendments | 18 |
| 2.1.3: To Date | 19 |
| 2.2: The Development of Sedition Laws in the United States | 20 |
| 2.2.1: First Sedition Law Enacted in 1798 | 20 |
| 2.2.2: The 1918 Amendment | 21 |
| 2.2.3: The Bill of Rights | 24 |

| | |
|--|----|
| 2.2.4: The United States Code- Chapter 115: Treason, Sedition and Subversive Activities | 25 |
| 2.3: Conclusion | 28 |

CHAPTER THREE: IMPLEMENTATION OF SEDITION LAWS IN MALAYSIA AND THE UNITED STATES

| | |
|--|----|
| 3.0: Introduction | 30 |
| 3.1: Freedom of Speech and Expression in Malaysia | 30 |
| 3.1.1: The Definition of Freedom of Speech and Expression as Embodied Under Article 10 of the Federal Constitution | 30 |
| 3.1.2: Scope of Freedom | 31 |
| 3.1.2.1: The Nature of Free Speech and Expression | 31 |
| 3.1.2.2: The Extent and Limitations on Freedom of Speech and Expression | 32 |
| 3.1.2.3: The Court's Interpretation/ Approach | 34 |
| 3.1.3: Public and Organization's View Regarding Freedom of Speech in Malaysia | 35 |
| 3.1.3.1: Opinion | 35 |
| 3.2: Freedom of Speech and Expression in the United States | 37 |
| 3.2.1: Freedom of Speech in Seventeenth-Century Thought | 37 |
| 3.2.2: Liberty in the First Amendment | 39 |
| 3.2.3: Court's Interpretation/ Approach | 43 |
| 3.3: Sedition Act and the Problems of Enforcement in Malaysia And the United States | 51 |
| 3.3.1: Purpose and Aim of Sedition Act | 51 |
| 3.3.1.1: Malaysia Sedition Act 1948 | 51 |
| 3.3.1.2: The Sedition Laws in the United States | 54 |
| 3.3.2: Issues and Problems | 56 |