

**A STUDY OF THE JUDICIAL APPROACH BY THE MALAYSIAN
COURTS IN DEALING WITH CHILD OFFENDER IN MURDER
AND DRUGS OFFENCES**

By

Adilah Bt Azizan (2011100599)

Aina Affiyah Bt Basir (2011111405)

Fariza Amira Bt Azman (2011131987)

Nur Ain Sofiah Bt Mazelan (2011115609)

**Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements
for the Bachelor in Legal Studies (Hons)**

University Teknologi MARA

Faculty of Law

June 2014

The students/authors confirm that the work submitted is their own and that appropriate credit has been given where reference has been made to the work of others.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of Allah S.W.T the Benefit and the Merciful

First and foremost, we would like to express our utmost gratitude to our very supportive advisor, Madam Rafizah Bt Abu Hassan for the continuous supervision and attention giving in providing assistance and guidance. She has helped us since day one to place ideas into words. We believe without her support, we would not even manage to reach half the level of the research done. We would also like to thank to lawyer that we had been interviewed for their courage to answering our questions. Their ideas strengthened our research. Many thank also to our family members especially our parents for understanding and unconditionally support. We would also like to give our special thanks to each other, for the commitment and hard work in completing this research. We pray that our hard work will be beneficial to other researchers who intend to pursue their research in this field and who has a keen eye for this subject-matter.

ABSTRACT

This research looks at the judicial approaches by the Malaysian Courts in dealing with child offenders on murder and drug cases. The goal is to suggest several recommendations in order to improve the current sentencing practice for child offenders. This has been done by analysing decided cases on murder and drugs involving child offenders in Malaysia and comparing the juvenile justice system in Malaysia with the system in New Zealand. The information was obtained mostly from legal textbooks and online journal articles. Two interviews were also conducted to the first and second respondent. Upon analysing these findings, several recommendations were made which are reforming the administration of Courts for Children and improving the consistency in sentencing practices and restorative justice. Through showing that the judicial approach taken in dealing with child offenders need to be different from the adult offenders, this research highlights the importance for the rights of the child offenders as children are not violated.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgment	
Abstract	
Table of content	
Table of cases	
Table of statutes	

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

1.0	Introduction	1
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Research question	4
1.4	Research objectives	4
1.5	Scope and limitation	4
1.6	Research methodology	5
1.7	Significance of research	6
1.8	Outline research structure	6
1.9	Conclusion	7

CHAPTER TWO: DEALING WITH JUVENILE OFFENDER

2.0	Introduction	8
2.1	Punitive Approaches: The Theory of Sentencing in Criminal Law	8
2.1.1	Deterrence	8
2.1.1.1	The legal definition of deterrence theory	8
2.1.1.2	The challenges and the criticism of deterrence theory	9
2.1.2	Incapacitation	9
2.1.2.1	The legal definition of incapacitation theory	10
2.1.2.2	The challenges and the criticism of incapacitation theory	10
2.1.3	Retribution	10
2.1.3.1	The legal definition of retribution theory	11
2.1.3.2	The challenges and the criticism of retribution theory	12
2.1.4	Rehabilitation	13
2.1.4.1	The legal definition of rehabilitation theory	13
2.1.4.2	The challenges and the criticism of rehabilitation theory	13
2.2	Conceptual Issues	14
2.2.1	Defining Infancy	14
2.2.2	Defining Child Offender	15
2.2.3	The Age of Criminal Responsibility	16
2.3	Sentencing Options for Child Offender	18
2.3.1	Whipping	18
2.3.2	Imprisonment	18
2.3.3	Death Penalty	19
2.3.4	Detention of child offender as adult	20

2.4	Judicial Decision on Child Offenders	21
2.4.1.	Murder	21
2.4.1.1	Age of the child offenders	21
2.4.1.2	Social Background	23
2.4.1.3	Gender	23
2.4.1.4	Public Interest	24
2.4.1.5	Conclusion	26
2.4.2	Drugs Offences	27
2.4.2.1	Age of the child offenders	27
2.4.2.2.	Gender	27
2.4.2.3	Social Background	28
2.4.2.4	Public Interest	29
2.4.2.5	Conclusion	30

CHAPTER THREE: LEGAL POSITION OF CHILD OFFENDERS IN MALAYSIA

3.0	Introduction	31
3.1.1	The Criminal Justice System in Malaysia	31
3.1.1	Criminal Courts in Malaysia	31
	3.1.1.1 High Court	31
	3.1.1.2 Subordinate Court	32
3.2	The Court for Children in Malaysia	32
	3.2.1 History and the growth of the Court for Children in Malaysia	32
	3.2.2 The structure of the Court for Children	34
3.3	Conceptual Issues	
	3.3.1 Defining Child under the Law	39
	3.3.1.1 Penal Code (Act 574)	39
	3.3.1.2 Evidence Act	39
	3.3.1.3 Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC) 1989	39
	3.3.2 Defining infancy	40
	3.3.3 Defining serious offence	41
3.4	Sentencing Principles and the Practices of Court for Children in Malaysia	41
	3.4.1 Punishment under the Child Act 2001	43
	3.4.2 Dealing with Child Offenders: Punishments for heavy offences under the Acts	43
	3.4.2.1 Murder	43
	3.4.2.1.1 Penal Code (Act 574)	43
	3.4.2.1.2 Child Act 2001	44
	3.4.2.2 Drugs Offences	45
	3.4.2.2.1 Dangerous Drugs Act 1952	45