A COMPARATIVE STUDY BETWEEN MALAYSIA, AUSTRALIA & SINGAPORE: LAW PROTECTING PEDESTRIAN

$\mathbf{B}\mathbf{y}$

Noorshazlia Bt Razali	(2011918785)
Ain Farhani Bt Mohd Ridzuan	(2011921657)
Nurfarah Syahidah Bt Mohd Noh	(2011934649)
Maizatul Izyan Bt Ismail	(2011782259)

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements

For the Bachelor in Legal Studies (Hons)

Universiti Teknologi MARA
Faculty of Law

June 2014

The students/authors confirm that the work submitted is their own and that appropriate credit has been given where reference has been made to the work of others

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research project has been carried out by Noorshazlia Bt Razali, Ain Farhani Bt Mohd Ridzuan, Nurfarah Syahidah Bt Mohd Noh, Maizatul Izyan Bt Ismail, four final year students of Bachelor in Legal Studies (Hons), Universiti Teknologi MARA Malaysia.

In accomplishing the completion of the final project, we are very thankful to Allah SWT and certain individuals who have been with us from the early phase to the final phase of our project. Without God's will and their support, we would have not been able to produce a masterpiece of our own hard work.

First and foremost, we would like to lay our gratitude to God and His blessing on easing our burden by showing us and helping us in time when we are lack of motivation and constant challenges as law students.

Secondly, we would like to thank the interviewees, lecturer from UiTM, Jabatan Keselamatan Jalan Raya (JKJR) for their utmost cooperation in providing us information, statistics, opinions and unpublished documents and sharing in depth knowledge with us regarding law protecting pedestrians in Malaysia.

Thirdly, we wish to extend our appreciation to our respected supervisor, Madam Noraziah Abdul Jabar for her outstanding effort in guiding us throughout the four months period. Despite the limited time available, we managed to gain tons of knowledge on the law protecting pedestrians and preparing and conducting research proposal.

Lastly, we are also grateful for the moral support from our family and friends who have been motivating us to endure the task with utmost perseverance.

ABSTRACT

This research aims at determining the necessity to improve the law protecting pedestrians in Malaysia replacing the current section 75 of the Road Transport Act 1987. The reason why the topic was chosen is because the rate of fatal accidents involving pedestrians has increased each year. There are still many Malaysians who are not aware that pedestrians are also a group that have right of way when using the road provided with pedestrian facilities. A team of four members conducted this research as part of a compulsory requirement prior to the completion of Bachelor in Legal Studies (Hons) degree in Universiti Teknologi MARA Malaysia.

There are five chapters in this research that discusses and analyzes the inadequacies in the current law protecting pedestrians and the need to implement it. The chapter begins with an introduction on current situation of pedestrian in Malaysia and its regulation and proposed implementation by adopting laws from Australia and Singapore. The next chapter is on comparative studies between Malaysia, Singapore and Australia followed by a chapter on findings from the interviews held with our lecturers and students. Lastly, the conclusive chapter entails recommendations to implement law protecting pedestrians in Malaysia.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Ackr Abst Cont		ement	ii iii iv
CHA	PTER (ONE: INTRODUCTION	V
1.0	Introd	uction	1
1.1	Backg	round	1
	1.1.1	Pedestrian	1
	1.1.2	Pedestrian Fatalities	2
1.2	Proble	em Statement	2 2 3 3
1.3	Resea	rch Question	3
1.4	Metho	odology	3
1.5	Limita	ation	4
1.6		icance of Study	4
1.7		ture Review	5
1.8		sional Plan of Research	8
1.9	Concl	usion	10
CHA	PTER T	ΓWO: PEDESTRIAN IN MALAYSIA	
2.0	Introd	uction	11
2.1		iew of Pedestrian Safety in Malaysia	11
2.1	2.1.1		11
	2.1.2		12
		Type of Pedestrian	12
2.2		tion for Pedestrian	13
		Common Law	13
		Section 75 of Road Transport Act 1987	14
		Road Traffic Rule	16
		Case Study	17
2.3		scenario in Malaysia	17
		Inefficiency of Facilities	18
		Pedestrian Crossing is a Suggestion	21
2.4	Concl		23
CHA	PTER T	THREE: COMPARATIVE STUDY	
3.0	Introd	uction	24
3.1	Austra		24
J.1		Pedestrians' Right	24
	2.2.1	3.2.1.1 Provisions in the Act	24
		3 2 1 2 Enforcement of the law	27

3.3	Singapore 3.3.1 Pedestrians' Right of Way	30 30
	3.3.1.1 Provisions in the Act	30
	3.3.1.2 Enforcement of the law	31
3.4	Comparison between Malaysia and Singapore	34
3.5	Comparison between Malaysia and Australia	36
3.6	Conclusion	37
СНА	PTER 4:INTERVIEWS AND FINDINGS	
4.0	Introduction	39
4.1	Survey: Pedestrians Right of Way in Malaysia	39
	4.1.1 Knowledge of Public	39
	4.1.2 Pedestrians Right of Way	40
	4.1.3 Utilization of Facilities	41
4.2	Poor Implementation	42
	4.2.1 Universiti Teknologi MARA Lecturer	42
	4.2.2 Road Safety Department of Malaysia	43
	4.2.2.1 Using Proper Crossings	45
	4.2.2.2 Walk in a right way	46
	4.2.2.3 Holding children's hand	46
	4.2.2.4 Be courteous on the road	47
4.3	Findings	48
4.4	Conclusion	49
	PTER FIVE: CONCLUSIONS AND DINGS	
5.0	Introduction	50
5.1	Recommendations	50
3.1	5.1.1 Specific Legislation	50
	5.1.1 Specific Legislation 5.1.2 Road Design	51
	5.1.3 Road User Behavior	51
	5.1.4 Awareness	52
	5.1.5 Land-use Planning	52
	5.1.6 Road Safety Education	53
5.2	Conclusion	53
J. <u>L</u>	Conclusion	
Bibliography		57
Appe	Appendices	