UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

THE INDUCTION OF ACID TOLERANCE IN Vibrio cholerae – FACTORS INFLUENCING AND CROSS-PROTECTION RESPONSES

ANNEEZA ZAITY ZAINAL

Master of Science

January 2007

Candidate's Declaration

I declare that the work in this thesis was carried out in accordance with the regulations of Universiti Teknologi MARA. It is original and is the result of my own work, unless otherwise indicated or acknowledged as referenced work. This thesis has not been submitted to any other academic institution or non-academic institution for any other degree or qualification.

In the event that my thesis be found to violate the conditions mentioned above, I voluntarily waive the right of conferment of my degree and be subjected to the disciplinary rules and Universiti Teknologi MARA.

Name of Candidate	ANNEEDA JAITY BINTI JAINAL
Candidate's ID No.	2001 310410
Programme	As780
Faculty	APPLIED SCIENCE
Thesis Title	THE INDUCTION OF ACD TOLERANCE M VIDIO COORER - FACTORS INFLUENCING AND CROSS - PROTECTION RESPONSES

Signature of Candidate	·····
Date	IS JANWARY 2007

Abstract

The factors influencing the ability of Vibrio cholerae AC-V99 El Tor O1 Ogawa to tolerate acid killing after a pre-exposure to sub-lethal acid pH were studied. The effect of pre-exposure to sub-lethal acid pH on tolerance to other stresses (cross-protection) including heat, osmotic and oxidative stresses was also investigated. The involvement of de novo proteins synthesis and effect on cell morphology were also determined. Acid sensitivity test showed that the sublethal pHs for this strain ranged from pH 5.0 to pH 6.0 and at pH 4.5 and below the cells are completely killed. The ability of this bacterium to induce acid tolerance was tested by pre-exposing early exponential cells in LB + 3% NaCl at pH 5.0 for one hour before challenging them to pHs 3.5, 3.0 and 2.5 for a further one hour. Viable counts were determined by plating dilutions of the samples on LB + 3% agar (pH 7.0) at various intervals of time. Cells that were pre-exposed to pH 5.0 survived significantly better ($P \le 0.05$) at these lethal pHs compared to those that were pre-exposed to pH 7.0. This response is partly dependent on de novo protein synthesis as treatment with chloramphenicol, a protein synthesis inhibitor during pre-exposure did not completely eliminate the response. Microscopic examination showed that acid induced cells were found to be elongated and segmented probably due to arrested cell division. The induction of acid tolerance response was found to be affected by growth phase, pH, temperature, pre-exposure time and initial cell density. Early exponential phase cells pre-exposed to pH 5.0 were found to have highest survival rate after one hour of challenge at pH 3.5 followed by stationary phase, late exponential phase and mid-exponential phase cells under the same conditions. A preexposure at pH 5.0 for three hours gave maximal protection against acid killing compared to one, two and three hours of pre-exposure time. The induction of acid tolerance was higher when cells were pre-exposed at sub lethal pH 5.0 than at sub-lethal pHs 5.5 and 6.0. Pre-exposure to pH 5.0 at 30°C gave better protection to acid killing than pre-exposure to same pH at 37°C. Cells preexposed at pH 5.0 at an initial density of $OD_{600} \sim 0.1$ to give maximum protection upon challenge to pH 3.5 compare to those pre-exposed at an initial density of $OD_{600} \sim 0.025$ and $OD_{600} \sim 0.05$. Pre-exposure to sublethal acid pH was also found to confer resistance to osmotic and oxidative stress but not to heat stress.

Keywords: Vibrio cholerae, acid adaptation, acid stress, acid tolerance response (ATR), low pH adaptation, cross-protection responses, morphological changes

Table of Contents

				Page
Title				
Candida	ate's Dec	laration		
Abstract			i	
Acknowledgements			ii	
Table o	Table of Contents			iii
List of Tables			vi	
List of Figures				vii
List of Figures				vii
List of	Abbrevia	tions		V111
1.0 Introduction				1
2.0 Literature Review				
	2.1	Vibrio d	cholerae	4
		2.1.1	Historical background of V. cholerae and cholera	6
		2.1.2	Classification of V. cholerae	9
		2.1.3	Ecology of V. cholerae	10
		2.1.4	Pathogenicity of V. cholerae	12
		2.1.5	Treatment of cholera	14
		2.1.6	Control of cholera cases in Malaysia	15
	2.2	Acid Stress		16
		2.2.1	Mechanism of acid survival	18
		2.2.2	pH homeostasis	19
			2.2.2.1 Passive homeostasis	19
			2.2.2.2 Active homeostasis	20
		2.2.3	Inducible acid tolerance response	20
		2.2.4	Acid tolerance response in V. cholerae	23
		2.2.5	Significant of ATR	25
2.3	2.2	D	2.2.5.1 Significant of the ATR in <i>V. cholerae</i>	27
	2.5 Respon		The regrange to clevisted temperatures	20
		2.3.1	The response to osmotic stress	31
		2.3.2	The response to oxidative stress	33
	24	Conclu	sion and perspectives	34
	2.4	Conclusion and perspectives		54
3.0	Materi	als and	Methods	35
	3.1	Bacteri	al strain	35
	3.2	Maintenance of V. cholerae culture		35
	3.3	Growth media and diluents		35

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

Vibrio cholerae is a Gram-negative enteric pathogen that is responsible for the endemic and epidemic secretory diarrhoeal disease known as cholera. This disease is endemic in South East Asia, parts of Africa and much of Central and South America (Faruque et al., 1998).

This bacterium lives within the aquatic reservoirs and enters its human host via the oral route through ingestion of contaminated food and water. As *V cholerae* enters the human body, it immediately encounters a variety of stressful stimuli, such as elevated temperature, oxygen radicals, extremes of pH, degradative enzymes, and deprivation of certain nutrients, which it has to combat successfully before it can reach the intestinal epithelium and proliferate there (Alvarez et al., 2003). Those that survived will subsequently encounter volatile fatty acids, bile, low oxygen and normal flora in the intestine and specific immune defenses provided by lymphoid tissues of the gastrointestinal tract (Smith, 2003).

Studies in the last decade have showed that one of the ways in which enteric bacteria can survive low pH stress in their hosts is, if they had prior exposure to mild acid stress. This phenomenon has been well documented in a number of gastrointestinal or food-borne pathogenic bacteria such as *Escherichia coli* (Castanie-Cornet et al. 1999; Conner & Kotrola, 1995), *Salmonella typhimurium* (Foster, 1995, 1993, 1992, 1991), *Aeromonas hydrophila* (Karem et al., 1994), *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* (Wong et al. 1998), *Helicobacter pylori* (Mooney et al., 1990), *Listeria monocytogenes* (Kroll & Patchett, 1992), and *Enterococcus faecalis*