THE ECONOMIC INDICATORS IMPACT ON ECONOMIC GROWTH: A CASE OF SOUTHEAST ASIAN COUNTRIES

OF THE REQUIREMENTS OF BBA (HONS) BUSINESS ECONOMICS

FACULTY OF BUSINESS MANAGEMENT UITM, KOTA KINABALU, SABAH

AZMIN BIN JAMALUDIN

JUNE 2012

USE OF STUDY

This copy is the property of Universiti Teknologi Mara Cawangan Sabah. Future researcher that interested in taking this research as reference must have the writer's acknowledgement if any passage of this thesis is quoted. This paper will re-examine the analysis by the past scholars on causality relationship using economic indicator for international trade and economic growth variables as a proxy to financial development. It is being hope that economist could come out with a better decision later in making decision on open market policy to stabilize the economic growth by focusing on the main economic indicator that gives impact on economic growth.

ABSTRACT

This paper aims to determine the causality relationship between Foreign Direct Investment, Exports and Imports and Growth and to examine the relationship between the dependent variable and independent variables for South East Asia countries over a period of time from 1981 to 2010. For this purpose, Johansen Cointegration and Granger-causality test will be used to determine the causal relationship between FDI, export and import and growth in the long run. The empirical result for causality relationship found that Malaysia is independence where there is no long run causality relationship between variables. Finding for Indonesia, it was found that there is unidirectional long run causality relationship between GDP and import where the direction is from GDP Granger cause import. For Singapore and Thailand, the results found that there is causality relationship between variables in the long run where for Singapore it was found the existence of GDP-led FDI, Export-led FDI, Import-led FDI and there also bidirectional causality between import and export. Finding of GDP-led FDI and Export-led FDI were also found in Hsiao and Hsiao (2006) paper, this indicates that the finding is valid. Other than that Hsiao and Hsiao (2006) also found that there is no significant causality relationship in the long run between variables for Malaysia country. Meanwhile, There is existence of export-led FDI and Export-led Import for Thailand countries. In conclusion, the foreign trade gives an impact towards the economic growth in the long run. Therefore to stabilize the economic growth, role of FDI is important in transferring technology and knowledge increases. The role of import and export also important in stimulating the economic growth for Southeast Asian countries. The inclusion of other omitted variables such as domestic direct investment (DDI) for the host country, the decreasing in unemployment rate, the role of government sector

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to dedicate my grateful and thankful to Allah S.W.T in giving me strength to completing this thesis on time without many barriers of health and many more. My family that always pray and wishes for the best for me, and also supporting me in financial and advices. To my supervisor Mr. Sheikh Junaidi bin Sheikh Mohammad that hopefully can accept my precious work with a better mark, and thank you for all the help in making this research reality. To Dr. Imbarine bin Bujang and Mr Hj. Wahi bin Ismail in teaching and helping me to use the Statistical software and analyse the data and also to all my beloved lecturers that teach me all this semester. To all past scholars and the economist that give an idea for me to conduct this research and helping me to find solution for problem in this research. To the software publisher those are amazing and creative in inventing such software in making researcher to conduct research more efficient and easier. To all my friends that always give different opinion on finishing this thesis, and lastly to the future researcher that choose this thesis as one of their references.

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Title	Page
Table 1.0	Variable Explanation	38
Table 2.0	Descriptive Statistics	49
Table 3.0	Unit Root Test	50
Table 4.0	Johansen Cointegration Test	52
Table 5.0	Granger Causality Test	53