## UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

# COMPARISON OF CONTRAST SENSITIVITY BETWEEN FACT SCREEN TEST, ILLUMINATED AND NON-ILLUMINATED CSV-1000 AMONG UNIVERSITY STUDENTS

### NURUL HANANI OZALI

Project submitted in the fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

> Bachelor (Hons.) of Optometry Faculty of Health Science

> > **JULY 2015**

#### **AUTHOR'S DECLARATION**

I declare that the work in this thesis was carried out in accordance with the regulation of Universiti Teknologi MARA. It is original and is the result of my own work, unless otherwise indicated or acknowledged as referenced work. This thesis has not been submitted to any other academic institution or non-academic institution for any degree or qualification.

In that event that my thesis be found to violate the conditions mentioned above, I voluntary waive the right of conferment of my degree and agree to be subjected to the disciplinary rules and regulation of Universiti Teknologi MARA.

Name of Candidate	: Nurul Hanani Binti Ozali
Candidates's ID No	: 2010833086
Programme	: Bachelor (Hons.) of Optometry
Faculty	: Faculty of Health Science
Thesis Title	: Comparison of Contrast Sensitivity Between FACT
	Screen Test, Illuminated and Non-illuminated CSV-
	1000 Among University Students

Signature of Candidate	
Date	

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

CONTENTS	5		PAGES
AUTHOR'S	DECLARAT	ION	ii
APPROVAL			iii
ACKNOWL	EDGEMENT		iv
TABLE OF	CONTENTS		v
LIST OF TA	BLES		ix
LIST OF FI	GURES		xi
LIST OF AB	BREVIATIO	Ν	xii
ABSTRACT			xiii
ABSTRAK			xiv
CHAPTER 1	INTRODU	CTION	
1.1	Introduc	tion	1
1.2	Justificat	Justification of research	
1.3	Objectiv	Objectives	
	1.3.1	General objectives	2
	1.3.2	Specific objectives	3
1.4	Hypothe	Hypothesis	
CHAPTER 2	2 LITERATU	RE REVIEW	
2.1	Contrast	Contrast sensitivity	
2.2	Function	Functional Acuity Contrast Test (FACT) screen test	
2.3	CSV-100	00	6

2.4	Factors	7		
2.5	Uses of	8		
CHAPTER 3	RESEARC	CH METHODOLOGY		
3.1	Study d	Study design and settings		
3.2	Sample	Sample size		
3.3	Subject	S	11	
	3.3.1	Inclusion criteria	11	
	3.3.2	Exclusion criteria	11	
3.4	Data co	Data collection Research Instruments		
3.5	Researc			
	3.5.1	FACT screen test	13	
	3.5.2	CSV-1000	14	
3.6	Statistical analysis		15	

### CHAPTER 4 RESULTS

4.1	Demogr	Demographic information		
4.2	Descriptive information of the data			18
	4.2.1	Age an	d refractive error	18
	4.2.2	Mean a	Mean and standard deviation	
	4.2.3	Median and interquartile range		19
		4.2.3.1	FACT screen test	20
		4.2.3.2	Non-illuminated CSV-1000	20

#### ABSTRACT

Contrast sensitivity is described as the ability of visual system to distinguish between an object and its background. There are various clinical tests that measure contrast sensitivity, among those are the Vistech versions (VCTS 6500 and MCT-8000), Vector Vision CSV-1000 and the widely used Functional Acuity Contrast Test (F.A.C.T.). Thus, chart with different illumination may have different effect in measuring contrast sensitivity. Hence, the aim of this study to find the differences of luminance effect by FACT screen test, illuminated CSV-1000 and non- illuminated CSV-1000. A total of 38 subjects with habitual visual acuity of 6/6 were included in this study. Visual acuity and refraction were taken using Snellen Chart. While the measuring of contrast sensitivity were done with three types of charts; FACT chart, non-illuminated CSV-1000 and illuminated CSV-1000. There were statistically significance differences in the measuring contrast sensitivity for 6 cyles per degree of spatial frequencies using non-illuminated CSV-1000 and for 18 cycles per degree of spatial frequencies using illuminated CSV-1000 that showed a level significance p < 0.50. However, there WAS no significant difference for FACT screen test for measuring all spatial frequencies level. Next, there is major significant difference between the charts in measuring contrast sensitivity. Hence, this show the presence of illuminance of the charts also affecting the results of contrast sensitivity levels.