

DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING SURVEYING FACULTY OF ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING AND SURVEYING UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

A STUDY ON THE OPERATION AND MANAGEMENT OF SANITARY LANDFILL IN KELANTAN

This academic project is submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the Bachelor Of Building Surveying (Hons.)

CHE ADAWIAH BT CHE HASHIM (2007279858)

APRIL 2010

ABSTRACT

Sanitary landfills in the industrialized countries are supposed to be built with optimized and adopted top and bottom liner systems, but most landfills are simply large but shallow holes in the ground filled with waste. Many of these landfills are located based on convenience rather than hydrogeology studies and consequently have been situated in environmentally sensitive marshlands, abandoned mines, and river beds. Consequently locations of landfills have to be selected according to set hydro geological criteria with emphasis on monsooning conditions.

Decisions about the landfill management have been taken without any kind of feasibility study and without assessment of the possible consequences of the landfill impacts, so far. Landfills have been designed without any environmental protection measures. National regulations in this region often set standards for environmental protection from landfills that are far above what can reasonably be afforded. It is clear that changing from dumping to advanced standards of sanitary landfilling. The key to such change must be, with the benefit of today's scientific knowledge, the introduction of incremental improvements in the design standards of disposal. Landfill also can be improved with the proper and standard management. Besides that, the government should be prepare the budget to make sure all the landfill site can operate in standard method or follow the requirement from the Department of Environment. At least, the method will be implement in site can control the pollution on environment and than it can be save our earth for the future.

ABSTRACT

LIST OF CONTENT

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

ABS	TRACT	
ABB	BREVIATION	
LIST	r of figure	Ι
LIST	Г OF TABLE	III
CH/	APTER 1 INTRODUCTION	
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Problem Statement	2
1.3	Aim and Objective of Study	2
1.4	Methodology	3
1.5	Scope and Limitation of Study	5
CHA	APTER 2 SANITARY LANDFILL	
2.1	Introduction of Sanitary Landfill	6
2.2	Waste Management	7
	2.2.1 Solid Waste	8
	2.2.2 Classification on Solid Waste	8
	2.2.3 Waste Treatment and Disposal Practice	9
	2.2.4 Waste Container, Collection and Transportation.	11
2.3	Structure of Landfill Site	12
2.4	Biodegradations Process in Landfill	13
2.5	Gas Production in Landfill	14
2.6	Linear and Leachate Collection System	15
2.7	Landfill Closure and Post-Closure	16

CHAPTER 3 OPERATION AND MANAGEMENT OF SANITARY LANDFILL

3.1	Introduction	18
3.2	Sanitary Landfill Operation	19
	3.2.1 Guide of Landfill Operation	20
	3.2.2 Method of Landfill	22
	3.2.3 Construction of Landfill	28
	3.2.4 Operational Problems	30
3.3	Site Management of Landfill	31
	3.3.1 Site Preparation	31
	3.3.2 Equipment and Machinery	34
	3.3.3 Environmental Consideration	36
3.4	Landfill Operation and Maintenance	37
	3.4.1 Operation and Maintenance Submittals	38
	3.4.2 Execution	39

CHAPTER 4 LANDFILL IN PENINSULAR MALAYSIA

4.1	Introduction	43
4.2	Historical Development in Malaysia	43
4.3	Type of Landfill Used in Malaysia	45
4.4	Municipal Solid Waste	47
4.5	Governing Law Regulation Related to Landfill Operation	48
4.6	Related Bodies	51
	4.6.1 Environment Impact Assessment	51
	4.6.2 Others	56

CHAPTER 5 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY AND CASE STUDY

5.1	Introduction		58
5.2	Research Methodology		5 8
	5.2.1	Research Design	58
	5.2.1	The Sample and Population	59
	5.2.3	Research Instrument	59
	5.2.4	Data Analysis	60
5.3	Case Study		60
	5.3.1	Case Study One: Kota Bharu, Kelantan	61
	5.3.2	Case Study Two: Bachok, Kelantan	65
	5.3.3	Case Study Three: Tumpat, Kelantan.	69

CHAPTER 6 ANALYSIS AND FINDING

6.1	Introd	Introduction		
6.2	Analysis of Questionnaire Data			
	6.2.1	Customer Collection Services	75	
	6.2.2	Landfill Distance from Respondent's Place	76	
	6.2.3	Environment Effect	77	
	6.2.4	Analysis Data on Comment and Suggestion from	84	
		Respondents		
6.3	Analysis of Interview Data		8 6	
	6.3.1	Part A: Site selection	86	
	6.3.2	Part B: Infrastructure	90	
	6.3.3	Part C: Environment Management	91	
	6.3.4	Part D: Social Accessibility	93	
6.4	Findir	Finding		
6.5	Conclusion		98	