

**DECISION-MAKING GUIDELINE TO DETERMINE ECONOMIC  
CONSTRUCTION COST OF STONE MASTIC ASPHALT  
(SMA) PREMTX**

**BY:**

**SR ROHIMAH KHOIRIYAH BT. MOHD. ARIFE\* HARAHAM**

**OCTOBER 2009**



Tarikh                    26 Oktober 2009  
No. Fail Projek

Penolong Naib Canselor (Penyelidikan)  
Institut Pengurusan Penyelidikan  
Universiti Teknologi MARA  
40450 Shah Alam

Yang Berbahagia Prof. Dr,

**LAPORAN AKHIR PENYELIDIKAN: "DECISION-MAKING GUIDELINE TO DETERMINE ECONOMIC CONSTRUCTION COST OF STONE MASTIC ASPHALT (SMA) PREMIX"**

Adalah dengan hormatnya merujuk kepada perkara di atas. Bersama-sama ini disertakan 2 (dua) naskah Laporan Akhir Penyelidikan bertajuk "Decision-making Guideline to Determine Economic Construction Cost of Stone Mastic Asphalt (SMA) Premix". Tujuan penyelidikan ini adalah untuk mendapatkan pengesahan jawatan pensyarah. Penyelidikan ini telah dijalankan dengan pembiayaan sendiri.

Sekian, terima kasih.

Yang benar,

**SRI Offl MAHKHOI RIYAH BT. MOHD. ARIFIN HARAHAP**  
Ketua  
Projek Penyelidikan

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
Surat Penyerahan Laporan	i
Senarai Penyelidikan	ii
Penghargaan	iii
Table of	Contents
List of	Tables
List of Figures	iv
Abstract	v
 <b>CHAPTER 1</b>	 <b>1</b>
<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	
1.1 Background of Research	1
1.2 Significant of Study	2
1.3 Research Objectives	3
1.4 Scope and Limitation	4
1.5 Conceptual Framework	5
 <b>CHAPTER 2</b>	 <b>6</b>
<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	
2.1 Introduction	6
2.2 Asphalt Wearing Course	6
2.2.1 Definition of Surfacing, Wearing Course & Binder Course	6
2.2.2 History of Surfacing	7
2.2.3 Functions of Surfacing	8
2.2.4 Types of Wearing Course	9
2.2.4.1 Conventional Mixes	9
2.2.4.2 Alternative Mixes	12
2.2.5 Past Studies on Performance Comparison Between SMA & ACWC	15
2.3 Cost	16
2.3.1 Definition of Cost	16
2.3.2 Basic Concept of Cost	17
2.3.2.1 Cost Unit	17
2.3.2.2 Cost Centre	17
2.3.2.3 Opportunity Cost	18
2.3.3 Classification of Cost	18
2.3.3.1 Behaviour	18
2.3.3.2 Nature	23
2.3.3.3 Cost Units	23
2.3.3.4 Function	23
2.3.3.5 Controllability	25
2.3.3.6 Normality	25
2.3.3.7 Time When Computed	25

2.3.3.8	Summary on Cost Classification	26
2.3.4	Cost Components	28
2.3.4.1	Analysis on Thick Flexible Pavement	30
2.3.4.2	Analysis on Wearing Course	31
2.3.5	Past Studies on Construction Cost of SMA	33
2.4	Cost Model	33
2.4.1	Definition of Cost Model	34
2.4.2	Purpose of Cost Model	35
2.4.3	Process of Cost Modelling	36
2.4.4	Basic Principles of Cost Modelling	37
2.4.5	Classification of Cost Model	38
2.4.5.1	Deterministic Model	39
2.4.5.2	Probabilistic Model	40
2.4.6	Past Studies on Cost Model of Highway Construction Cost	46
2.5	Summary	49

## **CHAPTER 3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

3.1	Introduction	50
3.2	Data Collection	52
3.2.1	Preliminary Data Collection	52
3.2.2	Variables Determination	53
3.2.3	Questionnaire Design	54
3.2.4	Sampling Design	56
3.3	Data Analysis	59
3.3.1	Frequency Distribution Analysis	61
3.3.2	Multiple Linear Regression Analysis (MLR)	62
3.4	Development of the Decision-Making Guideline	66
3.5	Summary	68

## **CHAPTER 4 RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

4.1	Introduction	69
4.2	Result and Discussion on the Data Collection	69
4.3	Result and Discussion on the Data Analysis	73
4.3.1	Frequency Distribution Analysis	73
4.3.1.1	Background of Respondent	73
4.3.1.2	Background of Quarry	80
4.3.1.3	Conclusion of Frequency Analysis	84
4.3.2	Multiple Linear Regression Analysis (MLR)	85
4.3.2.1	Determination of Significant Predictors for TDCPT (SMA)	86
4.3.2.2	Determination of Significant Predictors for TDCPT (ACW20)	94
4.3.2.3	Conclusion of MLR Analysis	103

## **ABSTRACT**

Stone Mastic Asphalt (SMA) technology has been introduced to Malaysian construction industry since the 1990s. Since then, several trial lay projects had been initiated for purpose of studying this alternative surface course material. However, the acceptability of SMA is still quite discouraging among the local road authorities. This setback is due to the report of previous studies on the high initial cost of SMA. A survey conducted on premix manufacturers in 2005 indicated that the construction cost of SMA is higher 61% than ACW20. Hence, the study aims to propose a decision-making guideline to determine the economic construction cost of SMA. This is done by identifying the significant cost elements of SAM and ACW20 via multiple regression analysis. A population study was carried out on all the quarries in Selangor. 27. Cost data was collected via personal interviews using a standardized questionnaire. The result indicates the construction cost of SMA can be comparable to its conventional counterpart if the Material cost is less than or equal to RM 102/ton and the thickness of the wearing course layer does not exceeds 35 mm. Finally, the study recommended that an economic construction cost of SMA is achievable if the cost of material and thickness of the laid surfacing are properly managed.