QUALITY PERFORMANCE OF UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA SARAWAK 2006

PREPARED BY:

IRIS SYAWE SEH LING JAMIL HAJI HAMALI LEE BENG YONG ADIB BIN SARKAWI

AUGUST 2008

CONTE	NT	P	AGE
Title page	e		ii
		ent	
	•		
Table of (content		
List of ch	arts		viii
List of tables			viii
Abstract			x
CHAPTI	ER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1		GROUND OF THE STUDY	
1.1		CTIVES OF THE STUDY	
1.2		FICANCE OF THE STUDY	
1.3			
		E OF THE STUDY	
1.5	OPER	ATIONAL DEFINITIONS	4
CHAPTI	E R 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1	QUAL	ITY JOURNEY OF UITM SARAWAK	6
2.2		URING QUALITY	
2.3		JM	
	2.3.1	Criteria	
	2.3.2	Leadership	
	2.3.3	Information and Analysis	
	2.3.4	Strategic Planning and Operation	
	2.3.5	Development and Management of Resources	
	2.3.6	Education Management Processes	
	2.3.7	Innovation	
	2.3.8	Products	
	2.3.9	Customer Satisfaction	
2.4			
2.4		JM POINT VALUES	
2.5	2.4.1	ROKUM Quality Index (RQI)	
2.5	CONCI	LUSION	19
CHAPTE	CR3	RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY	20
3.1	SURVE	EY POPULATION	20
	3.1.1	Students of UiTM Sarawak	21
	3.1.2	Staff of UiTM Sarawak	22
3.2	SAMPL	.Е	22
3.3	INSTRU	UMENTATION	24
	3.3.1	Questionnaire Survey	25
		3.3.1.1 QMeasure Instrument I for Students	
		3.3.1.2 QMeasure Instrument II for Staff	
	3.3.2	QMeasure Instrument III	
3.4	RESEA	RCH DESIGN	
3.5		Collection	
3.6		Analysis	
	3.6.1	Questionnaire Surveys	
	3.6.2	QMeasure Index on Customer Satisfaction	

Table of Content

		3.6.2.1 QMeasure Index on External Customer (Students) Satisfaction 3.6.2.2 QMeasure Index on Internal Customer (Staff) Satisfaction	. 31
	3.6.3	3.6.2.3 QMeasurement Index on External and Internal Customer Satisfaction QMeasure Index.	
3.7		RY	
CHAPTE	CR 4 F	INDINGS	36
4.1	QMEAS	URE INDEX ON CUSTOMER SATISFACTION	.36
4.2		NT FEEDBACK	.37
	4.2.1	Student Profile	
	4.2.2	Satisfaction Regarding Counter Services	
	4.2.3	Satisfaction Regarding Facilities, Services and Environment	
		4.2.3.1 Facilities	
		4.2.3.2 Teaching and Learning	
		4.2.3.3 Campus Environment	
		4.2.3.4 Support Services	
	4.2.4	Importance-Satisfaction Analysis	
	4.2.5	Importance-Satisfaction Mean Score	
	4.2.5	Importance-Satisfaction Analysis Grid	
4.3		URE INDEX OF EXTERNAL CUSTOMER (STUDENTS) SATISFACTION	
4.4		EEDBACK	
7.7	4.4.1	Staff Profile	
	4.4.2	Satisfaction Regarding Facilities Provided	
	7.7.2	4.4.2.1 Teaching and Learning Facilities	55
		4.4.2.2 Support Facilities	. 56
		4.4.2.3 Facilities in Room/Office	
		4.4.2.4 Support Services	
	4.4.3	Career in UiTM Sarawak	
		4.4.3.1 Job Performance	
		4.4.3.2 Career Development	
	4.4.4	UiTM Sarawak's Management	
	4.4.5	Career Choice	
		Importance-Satisfaction Analysis	
		Importance-Satisfaction Mean Score	
	4.4.8	Importance-Satisfaction Analysis Grid	.69
4.5		URE INDEX OF INTERNAL CUSTOMER (STAFF) SATISFACTION	
4.6	-	URE INDEX OF EXTERNAL AND INTERNAL CUSTOMERS SATISFACTION	
4.7		URE INDEX FOR THE QUALITY STANDARD OF UITM SARAWAK	
4.8		TIONS FOR FURTHER IMPROVEMENT	
		Suggestions from Students	
		4.8.1.1 College Unit	76
		4.8.1.2 Academic Affairs Division	
		4.8.1.3 Library 4.8.1.4 Administrative Division	70
		Suggestions from Staff	
CHAPTE		ONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
5.1		ISIONS	
	5.1.1	QMeasure Index for Customer Satisfaction	82
	5.1.2	QMeasure Index of UiTM Sarawak	84
5.2		IENDATIONS	
5.3	LIMITAT	IONS	86
REFERE	NCES		87

Abstract

This project is an effort for continuous monitoring on quality performance of Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM) Sarawak based on the recommendations of the 2004 study. The project measured the quality index on the standard of services rendered to staff and students in the form of QMeasure Index on customer satisfaction. The first part of the project was undertaken by conducting questionnaire surveys on students and staff. The questionnaires for students and staff used in 2004 study were updated to overcome the limitations of that study. A total of 1,765 students and 449 staff responded. In the second part of the project, the QMeasure Instrument III for self-evaluation based on the eight criteria laid out in the QMeasure were refined and up-dated to incorporate as much as possible the items in the Malcom Baldrige "2003 Education Criteria For Performance Excellence" model. Scoring system of this model was utilised to assign scores. The QMeasure Index[™] was derived by using statistical methods. Results showed that UiTM Sarawak has achieved a OMeasure Index of 5.9 out of 7.0. This is an improvement from the index of 5.6 obtained in 2002 study. Although the index indicates that UiTM Sarawak is progressing well on its quality journey, there is still plenty of room for further improvements based on the feedback from the students and staff.

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

This project is a follow up of the research studies on Quality Performance of Universiti Teknologi MARA Samarahan Campus 2001 and Quality Performance of UiTM Sarawak 2003. One of the recommendations made in the 2001 study was that the campus regularly monitors the quality performance of the campus and whilst so doing fine tune the methodology and instrumentation utilised for the purpose. After the study in 2003, the improved instruments and methodology were registered for copyright protection under the Copyright Act 1987 on 8 September 2005.

1.1 Background of the Study

Although UiTM Sarawak has won the Vice Chancellor's Quality Award for four times (in the years 2000, 2003, 2004 and 2005) and a number of other awards relating to quality since 2000, UiTM Sarawak is still progressing along its quality journey. This is evidenced by the expanding quality management unit which has thirteen committees to focus on the specific aspects of quality in the campus. The latest additions to the unit are committees on e-initiative and monitoring of the strategic plan.

The concerted efforts of these committees had led again in 2006 to the winning of the following awards: Anugerah Kualiti Setiausaha Negeri Sarawak (AKPANS), Anugerah Kualiti Ketua Pengarah MAMPU (a category in the Anugerah Kualiti Perdana Menteri, AKPM), Anugerah Gemilang AKNC and Anugerah Kualiti Setiausaha Persekutuan Sarawak (AKSUPS) (Second Prize).

1