

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA



**THE IMPACT OF DENTAL OBJECTS WHICH CAUSE
ARTIFACTS IN MRI HEAD AND NECK**

AHMAD EZZUDDIN MAULA MOHD ZAIN

**BACHELOR IN MEDICAL IMAGING (HONS)
FACULTY OF HEALTH SCIENCES**

JUNE 2015

AUTHOR'S DECLARATION

I Certify that:

1. This thesis is done entirely on my own effort and any statements that I used from a certain author or articles, I have stressed them out according to the standard quotation method based on the regular referencing practice.
2. I have recited and understood policy of plagiarism of University Technology of Mara. Plagiarism is most certainly prohibited and all the references used must be stated clearly.
3. I, hereby, acknowledge that I have been supplied with the Academic Rules and Regulations for Post Graduate, Universiti Teknologi MARA, regulating the conduct of my study and research.

Name of Student	:	Ahmad Ezzuddin Maula Mohd Zain
Student I.D	:	2011637954
Programme	:	Bachelor of Medical Imaging – HS222
Faculty	:	Faculty of Health Sciences
Thesis/Dissertation Title	:	The Impact of Dental Object Which Cause Artifacts in MRI Head and Neck.
Signature	:
Date	:	June 2015

ABSTRACT

Purpose: To investigate the impact of dental objects worn by patient that causes artifacts in the MRI examination of head and neck.

Methodology: This study was a retrospective study to investigate the impact of dental objects which cause artifacts in magnetic resonance imaging of head and neck. The research was conducted using the DICOM system in the MRI room. About 40 images were obtained from the data storage containing MR images of head and neck from the year 2014 until 2015. Based on the acquired images associated with artifacts, research study was done to evaluate the extent of artifacts identified caused by various dental objects. Images were evaluated by senior radiographers in Hospital Pulau Pinang based on their working experience in the MRI department and mainly using absolute Visual Grading Analysis (VGA). Data collected were analyzed using independent t-test and one way ANOVA in SPSS. Differential analysis was required to evaluate the image quality of MR images in order to observe the statistical graph.

Results: The descriptive analysis showed that mean VGA score is higher in images without artifact compared to the images with artifact. Findings on the one way ANOVA analysis also revealed that dentures has the highest mean score followed by titanium implant and orthodontic appliances which is the least. The result of the one way ANOVA test showed that the p-value is less than 0.05 (0.001) proving there is a significant difference in terms of the extent of artifact by the three sources of dental objects.

Conclusion: Larger size of dental objects lead to larger size of the artifact. The visualization of important structures can be clearly demonstrated when the image quality is enhanced. Absence of artifact will indeed guaranteed a good image quality. Devices such as orthodontic appliances produce more significant artifact than the other two dental devices, dentures and titanium implants. Thus, the quality of MR image will be less valuable as important detail is missed and the image can be misinterpreted.

key word: image quality, MRI, artifacts, dental objects, head and neck

TABLE OF CONTENT

AUTHOR’S DECLARATION	III
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	IV
TABLE OF CONTENT	V
LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES.....	VIII
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	VIII
ABSTRACT.....	X
CHAPTER 1	1
INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 BACKGROUND.....	1
1.2 PROBLEM STATEMENT	2
1.3 RATIONALE OF STUDY	3
1.4 RESEARCH OBJECTIVES	4
1.4.1 General Objective	4
1.4.2 Specific Objectives	5
1.5 HYPOTHESIS	5
CHAPTER 2	6
LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.2 MR IMAGING OF HEAD AND NECK.....	6
2.3 IMAGE QUALITY IN MRI	7
2.4 INHOMOGENEITIES IN THE MAGNETIC FIELD AND THE MAGNETIC SUSCEPTIBILITY	9
2.5 METALLIC DENTAL MATERIALS LEAD TO ARTIFACTS	10
CHAPTER 3	12
METHODOLOGY	12
3.1 INTRODUCTION.....	12
3.2 RESEARCH DESIGN	13
3.3 STUDY LOCATION	13
3.4 SCOPE OF RESEARCH	14
3.4.1 Inclusion Criteria	14
3.4.2 Exclusion Criteria.....	14

3.5 SAMPLE SIZE.....	14
3.6 DATA COLLECTION TECHNIQUE.....	15
3.6.1 Technical Consideration	15
3.6.2 Imaging Procedure.....	15
3.7 METHOD OF DATA EVALUATION.....	15
3.7.1 Visual Grading Analysis (VGA)	16
3.7.2 Justification using Visual Grading Analysis (VGA) method.....	16
3.7.3 Established Questionnaire	18
3.8 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS.....	18
3.9 RELIABILITY AND VALIDITY	18
3.10 ETHICAL CONSIDERATION	19
CHAPTER 4	20
RESULTS	20
4.1 INTRODUCTION.....	20
4.2 DESCRIPTIVE STATISTIC	21
4.2.1 Total VGA score for 40 MR images with and without presence of dental objects.....	21
4.2.2 Total VGA score for 20 images with three different source of dental objects	23
4.2.3 Comparison of mean score values for both type of images.....	24
4.4 INDEPENDENT SAMPLE T-TEST FOR IMAGES WITH AND WITHOUT ARTIFACT	26
4.4 ONE WAY ANOVA FOR EACH SOURCE OF DENTAL OBJECTS	27
CHAPTER 5	28
DISCUSSION	28
5.1 INTRODUCTION.....	28
5.2 EVALUATION OF DESCRIPTIVE STATISTIC DATA	28
5.2.1 Evaluation of Image Quality of MR Head and Neck	28
5.2.2 Evaluation of Relationship between Different Dental Materials.....	29
5.3 EVALUATION OF INDEPENDENT SAMPLE T-TEST	30
5.4 EVALUATION OF ONE WAY ANOVA FOR EACH SOURCE OF DENTAL OBJECTS	31
5.5 MAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGING OF HEAD & NECK.....	31
5.6 DENTAL MATERIALS IN MRI	32