# UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

# PROTECTION OF REGISTERED PROPRIETOR IN MALAYSIA: WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO FRAUD AND FORGERY CASES ON LAND TITLE REGISTRATION

AG. DANAM @ AG. ADNAN BIN PG BAKAR

**Faculty of Law** 

January 2017

# **Table of Content**

Ackno	owledgement	1
Abstr	act	2
List o	f Statutes	3
List o	f Cases	4
СНА	PTER 1	
1.0	Title	6
1.1	Research Background	6
1.2	Problem Statement	1
1.3	Research Question	1
1.4	Research Objectives	1
1.5	Research Methodology	1
1.6	Significance of Research	1
1.7	Scope and Limitation.	1
СНА	PTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.0	Introduction	1
2.1	Literature Review	1
2.2	Conceptual Framework	1
2.3	Legal Framework	1
2.4	Theoretical Framework	1
СНА	PTER 3 THE HISTORY OF MALAYSIA LAND LAW	
3.0	Introduction	1
3.1	Islamic Principle	1
3.2	Customary Land Law	2
3.2.1	Adat Perpateh	2
3.2.2	Adat Temenggong	2
3.3	Common Law and Equity Principles	2
3.4	Torrens System	2
3.4.1	Simplicity in Conveyancing	2
3.4.2	Certainty of title	2
3.4.3	Title by registration	2
3.5	Registration Process	3
3.6	Integrated Electronic Land Administration System (e-Tanah) in Malaysia	3
СНА	PTER 4 CHALLENGED ON THE INDEFEASIBILTY OF TITLE	
4.0	Introduction	3
4.1	Fraud	3
4.2	Forgery	3
4.3	Void or Insufficient Instrument.	4
4.4	Title or Interest Unlawfully Acquired Through Purported Exercise of Any	4
	Power or Authority Conferred By Law	-r
4.5	By 'Operation of Law'	4
	Dj Operation of Dan	-

CHA	PTER 5 RECOMMENDATION AND CONCLUSION	
5.0	Introduction	44
5.1	Economic Security Requirement In The Form Of Compensation Funds	45
5.2	The Concept of Compensation Fund	46
5.3	The Assurance Fund in New South Wales	46
5.3.1	Bases of Claim	47
5.3.2	Defendant	48
5.4	Advantages of Economic Security in The Form of Assurance Fund	49
5.4.1	Enhance The Level of Guarantee Towards Security of Tenure	49
5.4.2	Necessity of Economic Security For Sustainable Economic Market	49
5.4.3	Stimulation of Foreign Investment	50
5 5	Conclusion	50

### Abstract

This research concerned on the National Land Code 1965. The rights of registered proprietor if losing their land through fraud and forgery. The land fraud statistics in Malaysia's administration system shows that legal security, at present, is not able to guarantee registered proprietor in the long run. Furthermore there are registered landowners who are not able to recover their losses due to the stripping of title done erroneously by the government. This occurs due to the Malaysia's administration system only practices two principles out of three principles in the Torrens system, which is the mirror principle and the curtain principle. This has revealed the failure of the government in guaranteeing the landowner in the form of economic security. As an overall result, it is to recommend the authority or the land administrator adopting the third principle of Torrens system which is guarantee principle.

## **List of Cases**

Adorna Properties v Boonsom Boonyanit [2001] 1 MLJ 241.

Annamalai v Nagappa [2002] 4 MLJ 225

Assets Co Ltd v Mere Roihi [1905] AC 176

Associated Credit Corporation Sdn Bhd v Fahlum Development Sdn Bhd & Anor [1990] 2 CLJ 952

Bee v Maruthamuthu [1977] 2 MLJ 7

Creelman v Hudson Bay Insurance Co [1920] AC 194, PC

Datuk Jagindar Singh & Ors v Tara Rajaratnam [1983] 2 MLJ 127

Dr Ti Teow Seow and Ors v Pendaftar Geran-geran Tanah Negeri Selangor [1982] 1 MLJ 38

Fels v Knowles [1906] 26 NZLR 604

Gibb v Messer [1891] AC 248

Goh Hooi Yin v Lim Teong Ghee & Ors [1990] 3 MLJ 23

Haji Hussin bin Haji Matsom v Maheran binti Haji Mohamaed Tahir [1946] MLJ 116

Island & Peninsular Development Berhad & Anor v Legal Advisor, Kedah & Ors [1973] 2 MLJ 71

Frazer v Walker [1967] AC 567

Krisnadas a/l Achutan Nair & Ors v Maniyam a/l Samykano [1997] 1 MLJ 94

Mayor v Coe (1969); Breskvar v Wall (1971) 126 CLR 376.

Mohamad Buyong v Pemungut Hasil Tanah Gombak & Ors [1982] 2 MLJ 53

OCBC Bank (Malaysia) Berhad v Lee Lee Fah & 5 Ors [1999] 4 AMR 4476

Oh Hiam v Tham Kong [1980] 2 MLJ 159

Ong Lian v Eng Jin; Han Ngea Toon [1917] FMSLR 325.

Owe Then Kooi v Au Thiam Seng & Anor [1990] 1 MLJ 234

Patimah bte Hanis v Haji Ismail b. Tamin [1939] 2 ILR 67

Perbadanan Pembangunan Pulau Pinang v Chong Ah Yok [1993] 2 CLJ 351

PJTV Denson (M) Sdn Bhd & Ors v Roxy (Malaysia) sdn Bhd [1980] 2 MLJ 136.

Ramah v Laton [1927] 6 FMSLR 128

Registrar of Titles, Johore v Temenggong Securities Ltd [1974] 2 MLJ 45

Sali binti Haji Salleh v Achik Alias Bachik Bin Enot [1941] 1 MLJ 14

Shaik Abdul Latif & Ors v Shaik Elias Bux [1915] 1 FMSLR 204

Tan Hee Juan v The Boon Keat [1939] 1 MLJ 96