



EMPLOYEE ACCEPTANCE TOWARDS IMPLEMENTATION OF RFID:

A CASE OF POS MALAYSIA BERHAD, MALAYSIA

NOOR ATIKAH BINTI MOHD TANZIZI

2010138701

BACHELOR OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

(HONS) OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT

FACULTY OF BUSINESS MANAGEMENT

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

JANUARY 2013

DECLARATION OF ORIGINAL WORK



BACHELOR OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

WITH (HONS) OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT

FACULTY OF BUSINESS MANAGEMENT

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

“DECLARATION OF ORIGINAL WORK”

I, NOOR ATIKAH BINTI MOHD TANZIZI (I/C NO. 890411146432)

Hereby, declare that:

- This work has not previously been accepted in substance for any degree, locally or overseas, and is not being currently submitted for this degree or any other degrees.
- This project paper is the result of my independent work and investigation, except where otherwise stated.
- All verbatim extracts have been distinguished by quotation marks and sources of my information have been specifically acknowledged.

Signature: _____

Date: _____

ABSTRACT

RFID technology is one of the technology solutions in supply chain management, retailing, medical, operation and services includes production industries. This study composes three (3) objectives which are to identify the relationship between perceived ease of use and perceived usefulness with intention to use RFID, to identify which factors are giving more influence towards intention to use RFID and to differentiate the demographic profile (age, working experience and etc.) employees in accepting the RFID. The basic Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) is used as a theoretical framework. The basic TAM is only perceived ease of use and perceived usefulness are influencing the acceptance of a new technology. This study is used census technique and the model is tested using instrument which is questionnaires that respond by the whole population with 236 respondents at Pos Malaysia Berhad, Malaysia. First objective showed that, those two (2) independent variables (perceived ease of use and perceived usefulness) have significant relationship towards intention to use RFID. Second objective exposed that perceived ease of use is the most important factor and followed by perceived usefulness. For the third objective, the result showed that there is no significant difference between age and working experience and there is significant difference between SPM and diploma towards intention to use RFID among postmen. Additionally, there is significant difference displayed in region towards intention to use RFID. The result of this study also indicates that, the result for two (2) independent variables with 81.5% are able to explain the relationship of intention to use RFID and it have any other factors for this study instead of perceived ease of use and perceived usefulness. Finally, from the result, Pos Malaysia Berhad has to generate some new ideas in order to increase the perceived ease of use and perceived usefulness. For example, by giving them good training, motivation and also user-friendly tools and equipment.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CONTENTS

DECLARATION OF WORK	ii
LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
LIST OF TABLE	v
LIST OF FIGURE	vi
DEFINITION OF KEY TERM	vii
LIST OF ABBREVIATION	viii
ABSTRACT	ix

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1	Background of Study	1
1.2	Background of Company	2
1.3	Problem Statement	3
1.4	Research Objective	5
1.5	Scope of Study	6
1.6	Significant of Study	6
1.7	Limitation of Study	7
1.8	Operational Definitions	7

CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1	Technology Acceptance Model (Tam)	8
2.2	Radio Frequency Identification (RFID)	9
2.3	Employee Acceptance towards Technology	10
2.4	Perceived Ease of Use	11
2.5	Perceived Usefulness	13
2.6	Relationship of Demographic Profile (Gender and Working Experience) and Intention to Use RFID	14

CHAPTER 3: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1	Research Design	16
3.2	Theoretical Framework	16
3.3	Hypothesis Formulation	16
3.4	Sampling Design	
3.4.1	Population	17
3.5	Sampling Technique	18
3.6	DATA COLLECTION METHOD	18
3.6.1	Questionnaire Design	19
3.7	PROCEDURE ON DATA ANALYSIS	19
3.7.1	Reliability Test	19
3.7.2	Frequency Distribution	19
3.7.3	Pearson Correlation Coefficient Analysis	20
3.7.4	Multiple Regressions	21
3.7.5	Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)	21
3.7.5	Kruskal-Wallis H Testing	21
3.7.6	T-Test of Independent Sample	22

CHAPTER 4: FINDING AND ANALYSIS

4.1	Goodness of Measure	
4.1.1	Reliability Analysis	23
4.2	Frequency Distribution	24
4.3	Correlation of Coefficient	25
4.4	Multiple Regression Analysis	26
4.5	ANOVA	27
4.6	Kruskal Wallis H Testing	28