UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

ROLE OF INTELLECTUAL CAPITAL ON ORGANISATIONAL SUSTAINABILITY

NUR HAYATI BINTI AB SAMAD

MASTER OF ACCOUNTANCY, FACULTY OF ACCOUNTANCY

FEBRUARY 2015

AUTHOR'S DECLARATION

I declare that the work in this dissertation was carried out in accordance with the regulations of Universiti Teknologi MARA. It is original and is the result of my own work, unless otherwise indicated or acknowledged as referenced work. This thesis has not been submitted to any other academic institution or non-academic institution for any other degree or qualification.

I, hereby, acknowledge that I have been supplied with the Academic Rules and Regulations for Post Graduate, Universiti Teknologi MARA, regulating the conduct of my study and research.

Name of Student : Nur Hayati Binti Ab Samad

Student I.D. No. : 2013810588

Programme : Master of Accountancy

Faculty : Accountancy

Dissertation Title : Role of Intellectual Capital on

Organisational Sustainability

Signature of Student :

Date : February 2015

ABSTRACT

In order to successfully accomplish their social and business mission, social entrepreneurial non-profit organisations (NPOs), which are also known as social enterprises, need to identify the appropriate elements of resources that affect their performance, since the management of resources is important to ensure organisational sustainability in the future. Thus, this study aims to examine the role of intellectual capital, in terms of human capital (HC), structural capital (SC) and relational capital (RC) on the sustainability of social enterprise in Malaysia. Content analysis was used as the research instrument in order to measure the sustainability index from information disclosed in the annual reports by 210 organisations registered under the Registry of Societies (ROS) in Malaysia for the year 2010. Various statistical analyses were carried out to examine the relationship between IC and the sustainability of social enterprise. Based on the multivariate analysis, the results have highlighted that SC had significant positive influence on sustainability of social enterprise while HC and RC did not have a significant positive relationship with the sustainability of social enterprise. Overall, this study hopes to contribute to a better understanding on the roleof IC on the sustainability of social enterprise. The findings offered several main implications for academicians, researchers, regulators as well as for the social enterprise itself by highlighted that SC was the most influential factor that was able to increase the sustainability of the social enterprise.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--------------------------------------|------|
| AUTHOR'S DECLARATION | i |
| ABSTRACT | ii |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | iii |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | iv |
| LIST OF TABLES | viii |
| LIST OF FIGURES | ix |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | ix |
| | |
| CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 Preamble | 1 |
| 1.2 Social Enterprise in Malaysia | 3 |
| 1.3 Statement of Problem | 5 |
| 1.4 Motivation of the Study | 8 |
| 1.5 Objectives of the Study | 9 |
| 1.6 Significance of the Study | 9 |
| 1.7 Organisation of the Study | 11 |
| | |
| CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW | 12 |
| 2.1 Introduction | 12 |
| 2.2 Development of Social Enterprise | 12 |

| | 18 |
|---|---|
| 2.3.1 Resource-Based View and Sustainability of Social Enterprise | 18 |
| 2.3.1.1 Sustainability of Social Enterprise | 20 |
| 2.3.2 Resource-Based View and Intellectual Capital | 29 |
| 2.3.2.1 Intellectual Capital | 29 |
| 2.3.2.2 Components of Intellectual Capital | 31 |
| 2.3.2.2.1 Human Capital | 31 |
| 2.3.2.2.2 Structural Capital | 32 |
| 2.3.2.2.3 Relational Capital | 33 |
| 2.3.3 Resource-Based View, Intellectual Capital and Sustainability of Soc | ial |
| Enterprise | 34 |
| 2.3.3.1 Human Capital and Sustainability of Social Enterprise | 36 |
| 2.3.3.2 Structural Capital and Sustainability of Social Enterprise | 37 |
| 2.3.3.3 Relational Capital and Sustainability of Social Enterprise | 39 |
| 2.4 Summary | 40 |
| CHAPTER THREE: CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK, HYPOTHESES | |
| DEVELOPMENT, RESEARCH METHODOLOGY AND MEASUREME | |
| DEVELOPMENT, RESEARCH METHODOLOGY AND MEASUREME | E NT OF 41 |
| DEVELOPMENT, RESEARCH METHODOLOGY AND MEASUREME | |
| DEVELOPMENT, RESEARCH METHODOLOGY AND MEASUREME VARIABLES | 41 |
| | 41 41 |
| DEVELOPMENT, RESEARCH METHODOLOGY AND MEASUREME VARIABLES 3.1 Introduction 3.2 Conceptual Framework | 41 41 41 43 |
| DEVELOPMENT, RESEARCH METHODOLOGY AND MEASUREME VARIABLES 3.1 Introduction 3.2 Conceptual Framework 3.3 Development of Hypotheses | 41 41 41 43 |
| DEVELOPMENT, RESEARCH METHODOLOGY AND MEASUREME VARIABLES 3.1 Introduction 3.2 Conceptual Framework 3.3 Development of Hypotheses 3.3.1 Hypotheses for Testing Human Capital and the Sustainability of So | 41 41 41 43 ocial 43 |
| DEVELOPMENT, RESEARCH METHODOLOGY AND MEASUREME VARIABLES 3.1 Introduction 3.2 Conceptual Framework 3.3 Development of Hypotheses 3.3.1 Hypotheses for Testing Human Capital and the Sustainability of Scienterprise | 41 41 41 43 ocial 43 |
| DEVELOPMENT, RESEARCH METHODOLOGY AND MEASUREME VARIABLES 3.1 Introduction 3.2 Conceptual Framework 3.3 Development of Hypotheses 3.3.1 Hypotheses for Testing Human Capital and the Sustainability of Scienterprise | 41 41 41 43 ocial 43 enterprise 43 |
| DEVELOPMENT, RESEARCH METHODOLOGY AND MEASUREME VARIABLES 3.1 Introduction 3.2 Conceptual Framework 3.3 Development of Hypotheses 3.3.1 Hypotheses for Testing Human Capital and the Sustainability of Scienterprise 3.3.1.1 Human capital efficiency (HCE) and the sustainability of social | 41 41 41 43 ocial 43 enterprise 43 |
| DEVELOPMENT, RESEARCH METHODOLOGY AND MEASUREME VARIABLES 3.1 Introduction 3.2 Conceptual Framework 3.3 Development of Hypotheses 3.3.1 Hypotheses for Testing Human Capital and the Sustainability of Scienterprise 3.3.1.1 Human capital efficiency (HCE) and the sustainability of social 3.3.2 Hypotheses for Testing Structural Capital and the Sustainability of | 41 41 43 ocial 43 enterprise 43 Social |