UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

PARKINSON DISEASE GAIT CLASSIFICATION BASED ON MACHINE LEARNING APPROACH

HANY HAZFIZA BINTI MANAP

.

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of **Master of Science**

Faculty of Electrical Engineering

December 2013

AUTHOR'S DECLARATION

I declare that the work in this thesis was carried out in accordance with the regulations of Universiti Teknologi MARA. It is original and is the result of my own work, unless otherwise indicated or acknowledged as referenced work. This thesis has not been submitted to any other academic institution or non-academic institution for any degree or qualification.

I, hereby, acknowledge that I have been supplied with the Academic Rules and Regulations for Post Graduate, Universiti Teknologi MARA, regulating the conduct of my study and research.

| Name of Student | : | Hany Hazfiza binti Manap |
|----------------------|---|---|
| Student I.D. No. | : | 2010790279 |
| Programme | ÷ | Master of Sciences (EE 780) |
| Faculty | ; | Faculty of Electrical Engineering |
| Title | ţ | Parkinson Disease Gait Classification Based on Machine Learning Approach |
| Signature of Student | : | Inglizza. |
| Date | : | December 2013 |

ABSTRACT

The aim of this thesis is to develop a Parkinson gait recognition technique that is able to evaluate and distinguish gait deviations experienced by Parkinson Disease (PD) patients from normal pattern. The research can be divided into two phase namely gait analysis of PD as compared to normal subjects, followed by gait classification using machine learning approach. Firstly, two types of statistical test are conducted which are independent t-test and Pearson's correlation test. Raw gait database which consist of four basic gait features, five kinetic gait features and also twelve kinematic gait features are acquired from prior walking experiments of both PD and normal subjects. Based on statistical analysis conducted, significant different between PD and normal gait pattern are observed for four features, which are the step length and walking speed from basic features, maximum extension of hip from kinematic feature and maximum horizontal push-off force from kinetic feature. Hence these significant features are appropriate to be utilized for recognition of PD gait. Next, Principal Component Analysis (PCA) is used as feature extraction for each gait features from basic, kinetic and kinematic parameter followed by normalization based on intragroup as well as inter-group. To evaluate the effectiveness of each gait features category, Artificial Neural Network (ANN), Support Vector Machine (SVM) and Naive Bayes classifier (NBC) are chosen as classifier. Results obtained demonstrated that for ANN classifier, fusion of basic and kinematic gait features due to intra-group normalization attained performance with 100% of accuracy outperformed others. As for SVM with polynomial kernel function, the finest performance specifically 100% accuracy is attained based on basic gait features from intra-group normalization whilst NBC achieved the best accuracy of 93.75% due to fusion of kinetic and kinematic gait features with intra-group normalization. Overall, the results obtained proven the ability of the machine classifiers in classifying gait pattern of PD from normal gait pattern with basic spatiotemporal seems to be the most reliable feature for this purpose due to its superb performance that achieved during classification by the three classifiers.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|-----------------------|------|
| AUTHOR'S DECLARATION | ii |
| ABSTRACT | iii |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | iv |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | v |
| LIST OF TABLES | ix |
| LIST OF FIGURES | xi |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | xiii |

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

| 1.1 | Overview of Thesis | 1 |
|-----|-------------------------------|---|
| 1.2 | Problem Statement | 2 |
| 1.3 | Research Objective | 3 |
| 1.4 | Significance of Study | 4 |
| 1.5 | Scope and Limitation of Study | 4 |
| 1.6 | Thesis Outline | 5 |
| 1.7 | Summary | 5 |

CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW

| 2.1 | Introduction | 6 |
|-----|---|----|
| 2.2 | Parkinson Disease and Motion Analysis System | 7 |
| 2.3 | Gait Deviations on PD Patients and Statistical Test | 8 |
| 2.4 | Artificial Neural Network as Classifier | 11 |
| 2.5 | Support Vector Machine as Classifier | 16 |
| 2.6 | Naive Bayes as Classifier | 19 |
| 2.7 | Summary | 22 |

CHAPTER THREE: THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

| 3.1 | Introduction | | | | |
|-----|--------------|--|----|--|--|
| 3.2 | Human Gait | | | | |
| 3.3 | Parkin | Parkinson Disease and Gait Deviations Among Patients | | | |
| 3.4 | Statisti | cal Analysis- t-test and Pearson's Correlation Test | 30 | | |
| 3.5 | ANN | | 31 | | |
| | 3.5.1 | Concept of ANN | 31 | | |
| | 3.5.2 | Some Considerations in Designing an Optimum NN | 32 | | |
| | | Structure | | | |
| 3.6 | SVM | | 34 | | |
| | 3.6.1 | Concept of SVM | 34 | | |
| | 3.6.2 | Common Types of SVM | 35 | | |
| 3.7 | NBC | | 36 | | |
| | 3.7.1 | Bayes Formula | 36 | | |
| | 3.7.2 | Concept of NBC | 37 | | |
| 3.8 | Summ | ary | 37 | | |
| | | | | | |
| СНА | PTER F | OUR: METHODOLOGY | | | |
| 4.1 | Introdu | action | 38 | | |
| 4.2 | Gait D | ata Acquisition and Gait Features | 38 | | |
| | 4.2.1 | Subject Preparation | 38 | | |
| | 4.2.2 | Walking Experiment | 42 | | |
| | 4.2.3 | Vicon Nexus Motion Capture System | 43 | | |
| | 4.2.4 | Processing of Gait Data | 45 | | |
| 4.3 | Statisti | ical Test: t-test and Pearson's Correlation Test | 55 | | |
| 4.4 | Machi | Machines Classifiers | | | |
| | 4.4.1 | Cross-validation Method and Classification Mode | 61 | | |
| | 4.4.2 | PCA as Feature Extraction, Inter-group Normalization and | 62 | | |
| | | Inter-group Normalization as Feature Normalization | | | |
| | | Method | | | |
| | 4.4.3 | Machine classifiers: ANN, SVM and NBC | 63 | | |
| | 4.4.4 | Performance Comparison | 65 | | |
| 4.5 | Constr | Construction of Guide User Interface (GUI) | | | |
| 4.6 | Summ | ary | 66 | | |
| | | | | | |

vi