# UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

# FACTORS TO DETERMINE SHARIAH AUDIT QUALITY IN ISLAMIC FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS: EVIDENCE FROM MALAYSIA

### KAMARIAH ALI

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Accountancy

**Faculty of Accountancy** 

July 2012

#### CANDIDATE'S DECLARATION

I declare that the work in this thesis was carried out in accordance with the regulations of Universiti Teknologi MARA. It is original and is the result of my own work, unless otherwise indicated or acknowledged as referenced work. This thesis has not been submitted to any other academic institution or non academic institution for any other degree or qualification.

In the event that my thesis be found to violate the conditions mentioned above, I voluntarily waive the right of conferment of my degree and agree to be subjected to the disciplinary rules and regulations of Universiti Teknologi MARA.

Name of Candidate : KAMARIAH BINTI ALI

Candidate's ID No. : 2009516823

Programme : Master of Accountancy

Faculty : Faculty of Accountancy

Thesis Title : FACTORS TO DETERMINE SHARIAH AUDIT

QUALITY IN ISLAMIC FINANCIAL

INSTITUTIONS: EVIDENCE FROM MALAYSIA

Signature of Candidate : .....

#### **ABSTRACT**

The main objective of this study is to examine the significant factors that determine the shariah audit quality of IFIs in Malaysia. In this study a survey questionnaires has been employed for source of information in order to analyze the relationship between shariah audit quality and factors that determine the shariah audit quality. The shariah audit quality is the dependent variable and this is measured through recommendation given by shariah auditors and the services provided by shariah auditors. Independent variables consist of items such as competence of shariah auditor, shariah compliance process, standard and guidelines used and scope of shariah audit. The results indicate that there are no significant relationships between independent variables and the dependent variable as all the hypotheses show no significant relationships. The findings indicate the fact that the term shariah audit is not taken so seriously by responsible quarters due to lacking in regulatory framework as well as governance structure. Hence, extensive further research on the matter needs to be looked into by the practitioners of Islamic financial institutions as well as the regulatory bodies.

Keywords: Shariah Audit Quality, Islamic Financial Institutions (IFIs), Questionnaire

## TABLE OF CONTENT

PAGE

				PAGE		
Abstract						
Acknowledgement						
Table of Contents						
List of Tables						
List of Figures						
List of Appendices						
List of Abbreviations				х		
CHAPTER 1	INTRO	ODUCTION				
1.1	Introdu	1				
1.2	Research Background					
1.3	Statem	6				
1.4	Object	8				
1.5	Research Questions			8		
1.6	Signifi	11				
1.7	Scope	12				
1.8	Organi	Organization of the Study				
CHAPTER 2	LITER	ATURE R				
2.1	Introdu	uction				
2.2	Islamiz	nization of Knowledge Theory				
2.3	Manag	ngerial Hegemony Theory				
2.4	Factors determinants shariah audit quality			20		
	2.4.1	Compete	nce of shariah auditor	23		
		2.4.1.a	Qualification of shariah auditor	29		
		2.4.1.b	Independence of shariah auditor	30		
	2.4.2		compliance process	35		
	2.4.3		and guidelines used	37		
	2.4.4	Scope of shariah audit				

CHAPTER 3	DEVELOPMENT OF HYPOTHESES AND RESEARCH DESIGN				
3.1	Introduction			43	
3.2	Conceptual Framework			43	
3.3	Devel	opment of	pment of hypothesis		
	3.3.1 Factors to determinants shariah audit quality in IFIs			46	
		3.3.1.a	The competency of the shariah auditors and shariah audit quality	48	
		3.3.1.b	Shariah compliance process with shariah audit quality	51	
		3.3.1.c	Standard and guidelines used with shariah audit quality	52	
		3.3.1.d	Scope of shariah audit with shariah audit quality	54	
3.4	Research Design			55	
	3.4.1	3.4.1 Sample or Population		55	
	3.4.2	2 Data Collection Method			
		3.4.2.a	Pilot Testing	56	
		3.4.2.b	Data Collection	57	
3.5	Independent Variables			58	
3.6	Depen	Dependent Variables			
CHAPTER 4	FINDINGS AND DISCUSSIONS				
4.1	Introduction			64	
4.2	Overview of respondents profile				
4.3	Descriptive Statistics				
4.4	Reliability Test			70	
4.5	Test of Normality				
4.6	The Correlations Results				
4.7	Multicollinearity Test Results of Independent Variables				
4.8	Empirical Results				
	4.8.1 Multiple Regression Analysis			76	
CHAPTER 5	CONC	LUSIONS			
5.1	Introduction				
5.2	Summary and Conclusions				