

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

**SPASTICITY RULE-BASED SYSTEM
MODELING FOR UPPER LIMB
SPASTICITY DIAGNOSIS
ACCORDING TO MODIFIED
ASHWORTH SCALE**

KHAIRUNNISA BINTI JOHAR

PhD

October 2024

ABSTRACT

This thesis presents the diagnostic modeling of a Spasticity Rule-based System to develop the Upper Limb Spasticity Measurement Device (ULSMed), a diagnosis device designed for quantitatively assessing spasticity. Spasticity is characterized by increased muscle tone and exaggerated tendon jerks, significantly impairing mobility, and quality of life. Current assessment methods are subjective and rely on clinical judgment, leading to issues with consistency and accuracy. This study bridges the gap by employing a comprehensive approach that combines clinical assessment techniques with mathematical modeling. It examines the range of motion (ROM) and force resistance across a 208 clinical dataset. The research includes a multi-phase approach, beginning with identifying a quantitative relationship between the Modified Ashworth Scale (MAS) profile and angular acceleration. Following this finding, a Spasticity Rule-Based System was developed for the decision-making system, leading to the development of the ULSMed using sensor technologies. The device's prototype integrates components of the MPU6050 angle sensor and FSR402 force sensor embedded with the Internet of Things (IoT) via a mobile phone User Interface. Sensor testing showed exceptional performance with over 99.6% accuracy in angle measurements and approximately 98.5% accuracy in force measurements. Clinicians played a main role in the evaluation phase, providing feedback on the device's usability and functionality. The device was evaluated in clinical settings with four clinicians showing a 60% exact accuracy and an ICC of 73.5%. This research marks a significant advancement in spasticity assessment, offering a more objective, standardized, and user-friendly tool. It sets up the foundation for upcoming improvements in diagnosing spasticity, which could have a positive impact on patient outcomes during stroke rehabilitation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Alhamdulillah, Praise to the God Al-Mighty, Allah S.W.T. for giving me the strength and health to finally complete my challenging journey successfully. Without His blessed, it is impossible for me to complete my research and write this thesis.

Special thanks to my supportive supervisor, Associate Professor Dr Noor Ayuni Che Zakaria for guiding me through her ideas, advice, and assistance in completing this research. I also extend my gratitude to my co-supervisor, Professor Dr. Fazah Akhtar Hanapiah from the Faculty of Medicine, Universiti Teknologi MARA, for her valuable ideas and consultation on the medical aspect as well as Associate Professor Dr.-Ing Low Cheng Yee from the Faculty of Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering, Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia for offering ideas and assistance throughout this research process.

I can't forget to thank my wonderful husband, Muhd Faiz Azim Mahmood, and my precious daughters, Khayra Kamiliya and Jasmine Kamila Sofea. I am also deeply thankful to my mother for her unwavering support and prayers, as well as my father, parents-in-law, and all my family members. Their support, both emotionally and financially, has been my motivation throughout this journey. I am truly thankful for their encouragement and understanding.

A heartfelt shoutout to my partner in the PhD journey, Nurul Atiqah Othman, for always being by my side through the ups and downs of this journey and Nur'Amirah Busu, for always being there during brainstorming sessions that sparked so many great ideas. To my amazing research team members, Dr Yee Jingye and Muhamad Dzuharuddin Othman, your assistance throughout this journey has been truly invaluable.

I also want to extend a big thank you to Associate Professor Dr Natiara Hashim and Associate Professor Dr Wan Nurshazwani Wan Zakaria for their consultation. The entire Innovationlab and SEA-IC lab for their assistance and everyone else who contributed directly or indirectly. Your collaboration has made all the difference and I'm genuinely thankful for all your support.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
CONFIRMATION BY PANEL OF EXAMINERS	ii
AUTHOR'S DECLARATION	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF TABLES	x
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xvi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Research Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	2
1.3 Research Objectives	4
1.4 Research Question	5
1.5 Scopes and Limitations of Study	5
1.6 Significance of Study	7
1.7 Structure of Thesis	8
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	10
2.1 Introduction	10
2.2 Overview of Stroke and Spasticity	10
2.2.1 Key Terms and Concepts Related to Spasticity	11
2.3 Current Methods of Spasticity Assessment	12
2.3.1 Comparison of Spasticity Scales	15
2.4 Exploring Quantifying Method for Spasticity Severity.	17
2.5 Relationship Between Acceleration and Spasticity Assessment	20
2.6 Technological Advancements in Quantifying Spasticity	22
2.6.1 Sensor Technologies in Previous Studies	26

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research Background

Stroke is the leading cause of spasticity, which can result in severe and disabling complications for patients. Approximately half of the patients with post-stroke develop spasticity during the first month, but spasticity can develop more than three months after the stroke starts (Nam et al. 2019). Spasticity is clinically identified as a form of hypertonia, characterized by a velocity-dependent increase in tonic stretch reflexes or muscle tone, along with an exaggeration of tendon jerks (Laureys et al., 2013). The effects of these symptoms include a significant increase in muscle stiffness and tightness, impacting the patient's mobility and quality of life (Satkunam 2003).

The evaluation of spasticity involves muscle testing to identify the specific muscles affected by the condition. Various measurement techniques are available and they are divided into three main categories: clinical methods, biomechanical methods, and electrophysiological methods (Biering-Sørensen et al., 2006). Clinical methods include the Tardieu Scale (TS), Modified Tardieu Scale (MTS), Ashworth Scale (AS), and the Modified Ashworth Scale (MAS) (Hugos and Cameron 2020). These scales measure muscle contraction strength and volume of voluntary movements. The Medical Research Council Scale (MRCS) allows for the estimation of the strength of muscle contraction and amplitude of active movements in the limb (Kovalenko et al. 2020). Meanwhile, biomechanical methods employ devices like the pendulum test, myometers, and isokinetic dynamometers to assist in measuring spasticity (Haas and Crow 1995). Electrophysiological methods for assessing spasticity include surface electromyography (sEMG) and H-reflex tests (Biering-Sørensen, Nielsen, and Klinge 2006). Among these, the MAS is widely used in clinical practice due to its user-friendly application in clinical settings for spasticity and as a standard against which many newly developed devices are compared (Yoo et al., 2022).

Despite the availability of these methods, a significant gap remains in clinical practice. Many existing biomechanical tools are limited to research settings and lack practicality for routine clinical use (Guo et al., 2022). This challenge highlights the urgent need for a clinically applicable device that enhances the assessment of spasticity.