



INTERNATIONAL GRADUATE COLLOQUIUM

i-SPEAK 2025

SPORTS AND PHYSICAL EXERCISE ASSEMBLY OF KNOWLEDGE SHARING

COLLOQUIUM PROCEEDINGS

EXTENDED
ABSTRACT

The Effects of Hamstring Cooling and Cryostretching on Flexibility Among Healthy Young Adults

Ahmad Qamarul Mustafa Kamal¹, Razif Szali¹, Muhammad Zulqarnain¹, Amrun Haziq¹, Aizzat Adnan¹, Adam Linoby¹, & Yusandra Md Yusoff^{1*}

¹Faculty of Sports Science and Recreation, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Negeri Sembilan Branch, Seremban Campus, Negeri Sembilan, MALAYSIA

*Corresponding author: yusandra@uitm.edu.my

Keywords: Cryostretching, Hamstring flexibility, Cooling intervention, Sit-and-Reach Test, Acute flexibility enhancement

I. INTRODUCTION

Improving hamstring flexibility is vital for injury prevention and athletic performance. While cryotherapy and stretching independently enhance flexibility, their combined effect of cryostretching still remains underexplored. This study examines the acute effects of hamstring cooling and cryostretching on flexibility in healthy young adults using a crossover design. By comparing these methods with a control condition, the research addresses gaps in combined-intervention studies and evaluates their relative effectiveness.

II. METHODS

Twenty-five healthy adults aged 18–25 underwent hamstring cooling, cryostretching, and control conditions in a randomized crossover design. Hamstring cooling involved ice application, while cryostretching combined icing with proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation. Control involved rest only. Each condition was performed on separate days with rest intervals. Flexibility was assessed pre- and post-intervention using the Sit-and-Reach Test, with changes in scores used to evaluate effectiveness.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Hamstring cooling yielded the lowest flexibility gain (32.6 ± 9.52 cm). Though it significantly differed from cryostretching ($p < 0.001$), its comparison with the control was not statistically significant ($p = 0.071$). This aligns with prior research indicating cooling may inhibit flexibility by reducing muscle spindle activity, suggesting it is less suitable for flexibility-focused warm-ups.

Cryostretching produced the greatest flexibility improvement (36.0 ± 9.06 cm), significantly outperforming both cooling ($p < 0.001$) and control ($p = 0.006$). The cold application likely reduced pain sensitivity, enabling deeper muscle stretch during PNF. This synergy supports prior findings and offers a potent, short-term flexibility enhancement method combining thermal and mechanical mechanisms.

Comparatively, cryostretching had the most pronounced impact on flexibility, followed by control (34.0 cm), and cooling. The control group's moderate improvement underscores the value of active intervention. Cryostretching's

superior performance indicates strong practical utility in athletic and rehabilitative settings for rapid flexibility gains.

Repeated Measures ANOVA revealed significant differences among interventions: $F(2, 48) = 23.2$, $p < 0.001$. Post hoc Tukey tests confirmed cryostretching significantly outperformed others. These findings support cryostretching as an effective, real-world strategy for enhancing acute flexibility, while suggesting hamstring cooling is better reserved for post-activity recovery contexts.

TABLE I
DESCRIPTIVE STATISTIC

Descriptives	Post_Hamstring Cooling	Post_Cryostretching	Post_Control
N	25	25	25
Missing	0	0	0
Mean	32.6	36.0	34.0
Median	35.0	37.5	35.3
Standard deviation	9.52	9.06	9.21
Minimum	14.9	16.4	16.0
Maximum	52.6	53.9	51.3
Skewness	-0.205	-0.516	-0.410
Std. error skewness	0.464	0.464	0.464
Shapiro-Wilk W	0.960	0.957	0.963
Shapiro-Wilk p	0.417	0.364	0.480

*Cryostretching showed the highest flexibility score (36.00 cm), significantly higher than Hamstring Cooling (32.60 cm) and Control (34.00 cm) groups ($p < 0.05$).

TABLE II
WITHIN AND BETWEEN-SUBJECT EFFECTS

Within Subjects Effects					
	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	p
Conditions	147	2	73.75	23.2	< .001
Residual	153	48	3.18		

Note. Type 3 Sums of Squares

[3]

Between Subjects Effects					
	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	p
Residual	6026	24	251		

Note. Type 3 Sums of Squares

*The ANOVA test showed a significant difference in flexibility between the three interventions ($p < 0.001$), and all groups met the test conditions, making the results valid.

TABLE III
POST HOC COMPARISON

Post Hoc Comparisons - Conditions						
Comparison		Mean Difference	SE	df	t	Pvalue
Conditions	Conditions					
Post_Hamstring Cooling	Post_Cryostretching	-3.42	0.554	24.0	-6.17	< .001
-	Post_Control	-1.43	0.505	24.0	-2.83	0.024
Post_Cryostretching	Post_Control	1.99	0.449	24.0	4.42	< .001

*Cryostretching improved flexibility more than cooling and control ($p < 0.001$). Cooling was also better than control ($p = 0.024$).

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Cryostretching significantly enhances hamstring flexibility in healthy young adults and outperforms both cooling and rest. While cooling may reduce flexibility acutely, cryostretching combines cold-induced analgesia and PNF to yield superior results. These findings support cryostretching as a practical intervention for rapid flexibility improvement in athletic and rehabilitative settings.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author thanks Madam Yusandra Md Yusoff, all participants, Sekolah Kebangsaan Parit Raja, UiTM Seremban 3, and colleagues for their support, facilities, and encouragement throughout this research.

REFERENCES

- [1] Behm, D. G., Blazevich, A. J., Kay, A. D., & McHugh, M. P. (2016). Acute effects of muscle stretching on physical performance, range of motion, and injury incidence in healthy active individuals: a systematic review. *Applied Physiology, Nutrition, and Metabolism*, 41(1), 1–11.
- [2] Bleakley, C. M., Costello, J. T., & Glasgow, P. D. (2012). Should athletes return to sport after applying ice? A systematic review of the effect of local cooling on functional performance. *Sports Medicine*, 42(1), 69–87.
- [3] Kay, A. D., & Blazevich, A. J. (2012). Effect of acute static stretch on maximal muscle performance: a systematic review. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*, 44(1), 154–164.
- [4] Lopes, L. R., Brown, L. E., & Costa, P. B. (2020). Effects of static and dynamic stretching on hamstring flexibility in healthy adults. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 34(1), 76–81.
- [5] Naz, R., Jena, S. K., & Tabassum, T. (2022). Effect of cryostretching on hamstring flexibility in asymptomatic individuals. *International Journal of Physical Education and Sports*, 7(2), 45–51.
- [6] Holt, B. W., & Travis, T. (2017). The effectiveness of various hamstring stretching techniques on flexibility. *International Journal of Exercise Science*, 10(1), 93–102.
- [7] Morrin, N., & Redding, E. (2018). Acute effects of active, passive and ballistic stretching on range of motion and jump height in female dancers. *Journal of Dance Medicine & Science*, 22(1), 33–39.
- [8] Yıldırım, M. S., et al. (2021). The effect of cryostretching on the flexibility of hamstring muscles in young adults. *Turkish Journal of Physiotherapy and Rehabilitation*, 32(3), 345–349.
- [9] Shellock, F. G., & Prentice, W. E. (2020). Warming-up and stretching for improved physical performance and prevention of sports-related injuries. *Clinical Sports Medicine*, 2(2), 267–278.
- [10] Yuktasir, B., Kaya, F., & Demir, Y. (2021). The acute effect of different stretching methods on hamstring flexibility and functional performance. *Journal of Bodywork and Movement Therapies*, 27, 396–401. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbmt.2021.01.002>.