

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

**FLUID DYNAMICS AND SMEAR LAYER
REMOVAL EVALUATION BETWEEN ENDOVAC,
MODIFIED APICAL NEGATIVE PRESSURE
AND POSITIVE PRESSURE IRRIGATION
TECHNIQUES**

WAN NUR FARHANA BINTI WAN ABDUL RAHMAN

Dissertation submitted in fulfillment
of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor in Endodontology

Faculty of Dentistry

July 2024

ABSTRACT

Negative pressure irrigation system can deliver irrigant to the most apical areas and eliminate vapour lock, resulting in an improved smear layer (SL) removal. However, the current negative pressure irrigation system EndoVac produces the lowest wall shear stress (WSS). WSS influences the detachment of SL and biofilms from the root canal wall. This study aimed to evaluate the fluid dynamics and SL removal between EndoVac, a novel system-modified apical negative pressure (mANP), and SV positive pressure techniques. (Phase 1): A simulated 3-dimensional (3D) root canal model and three types of needles (EndoVac, mANP, and SV-positive pressure) were simulated. Model parameters and computer solution were set to analyse the WSS, apical pressure, and streamlines using computational fluid dynamics (CFD). (Phase 2): SL removal was investigated, which consists of 60 single-rooted extracted permanent lower premolars. The root canal was prepared up to F4 ProTaper size before being randomly divided into three groups: Group 1 (EndoVac), Group 2 (mANP), and Group 3 (SV). The samples were vertically split into half and observed under a scanning electron microscope (SEM). In CFD analysis, the mANP showed the highest average WSS (11.06 Pa) compared to SV (10.72 Pa) and EndoVac (10.48 Pa). Conversely, the SV positive pressure method revealed limited streamlines beyond the needle tip and positive apical pressure. In SEM evaluation, the mANP demonstrated superior SL removal compared to the other groups. There were significant differences in irrigation dynamics pattern in CFD analysis and the SL removal between the three groups using Fisher's exact test. In conclusion, this study revealed that different irrigation systems and needle designs affect the fluid dynamics pattern and magnitude. The mANP revealed the highest average WSS magnitude and the cleanest SL removal compared to the EndoVac and SV positive pressure technique. Thus, this proves there is a direct correlation between average WSS magnitude and SL removal.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I want to begin by expressing my deepest gratitude to Allah S.W.T for giving me the opportunity to embark my study and guidance for completing this challenging journey successfully.

To my supervisors, Dr Nurul Ain Ramlan, Associate Professor Dr Nik Zarina Nik Mahmood, and Dr Ahmad Hussein Abdul Hamid, full appreciation for their unwavering support, guidance, and invaluable insights throughout the journey of completing this thesis. Your mentorship has been instrumental in shaping the outcome of this research, and I am truly thankful for the opportunities to learn and grow under your supervision.

My heartfelt appreciation goes to my parents for their endless encouragement, sacrifices, and belief in my abilities. Your love and support have been my pillars of strength, and I am profoundly grateful for the values you instilled in me.

To my precious children Aufa and Afnan, you are my inspiration and the driving force behind every endeavour. Your love and understanding during times of intense focus on this thesis are deeply appreciated. I am grateful for the joy and balance you bring to my life.

Finally, to Fikri thank you for your patience and understanding. This achievement is as much yours as it is mine.

This dissertation is dedicated to my supervisors and families, each of whom has played an indispensable role in this academic journey. Your belief in me has been the wind beneath my wings, and I am truly thankful for your unwavering support.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
CONFIRMATION BY PANEL OF EXAMINERS	ii
AUTHOR'S DECLARATION	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
LIST OF PLATES	xiv
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xvi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xviii
LIST OF NOMENCLATURE	xx
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Research Background	1
1.3 Problem Statement	3
1.4 Research Questions	4
1.5 Justification of the study	4
1.6 Significance and implications of study	5
1.7 Objectives	5
1.7.1 General objective	5
1.7.2 Specific objectives	6
1.8 Hypothesis	6
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1 Introduction	7
2.2 Root canal preparation and smear layer	7
2.3 Endodontic irrigation	9

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

This chapter provides an overview and framework of the study conducted. The discussion aims to introduce the modified apical negative pressure (mANP) as a novel negative pressure irrigation technique. This chapter will also briefly discuss the negative pressure irrigation technique and the conventional positive pressure irrigation technique.

1.2 Research Background

Root canal therapy aims to remove microbes from the contaminated root canal, avoid reinfections, and preserve the natural tooth. (Thakur, 2016). The inflamed or contaminated pulp is eliminated during the root canal procedure, and the canal is thoroughly cleaned and disinfected before being filled and sealed (Stefanac, 2017). Chemomechanical preparation using the appropriate selection of instruments and irrigation techniques is required to accomplish a successful endodontic treatment. Irrigants should be able to reach the working length and remove the smear layer and microorganisms from the root canal (Miller & Baumgartner, 2010). The complexities of the root canal anatomy, especially at the apical third of the root canal system, make cleaning and shaping a clinical challenge (Chen et al., 2014).

Conventional root canal irrigation is a syringe-based system that slowly delivers irrigation solutions into the root canal system (Adarsh et al., 2016). Irrigation with a positive-pressure irrigation system using a syringe and needle instrument is a common technique (Hulsmann et al., 2005). However, caution must be exercised to avoid extruding the irrigant beyond the apex (Trope, 2010). It is revealed that positive pressure irrigation is insufficient for reaching the apical third of the root canal (Munoz & Camacho-Cuadra, 2012) due to stagnation plane (Gulabivala et al., 2010) and vapour lock (Hülsmann & Hahn, 2000). Hence, new irrigants and irrigating devices have been developed to improve root canal disinfection in endodontic practise (Munoz & Camacho-Cuadra, 2012) such as apical negative pressure (EndoVac), sonic activation