# FACTORS THAT INFLUENCE THE CAPITAL STRUCTURE OF LISTED CONSTRUCTION COMPANIES IN MALAYSIA

## AMEER EIZZUAN BIN ABDUL JAMAL 2016689578

Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the

Requirement for the

Bachelor of Business Administration with Honours (Finance)

FACULTY OF BUSINESS MANAGEMENT
UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA
KOTA KINABALU

**DECEMBER 2018** 

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

|                              |                                  | Page |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------|------|
| TITLE PAGE                   |                                  | i    |
| DECLARATION OF ORIGINAL WORK |                                  |      |
| LETTER OF SUBMISSION         |                                  |      |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT              |                                  |      |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS            |                                  |      |
| LIST OF FIGURES              |                                  |      |
| LIST OF TABLES               |                                  | ix   |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS        |                                  |      |
| ABSTRACT '                   |                                  | xi   |
|                              |                                  |      |
| CHAPTER 1                    | INTRODUCTION                     |      |
|                              | 1.1 Background of the study      | 1    |
|                              | 1.2 Why construction companies?  | 2    |
|                              | 1.3 Research Objectives          | 4    |
|                              | 1.3.1 Research Question          | 4    |
|                              | 1.3.2 Research Objective         | 4    |
|                              | 1.3.3 Research Hypothesis        | 5    |
|                              | 1.4 Scope of Study               | 6    |
|                              | 1.5 Significant of Study         | 7    |
|                              |                                  |      |
| CHAPTER 2                    | LITERATURE REVIEW                |      |
|                              | 2.1 Introduction                 | 8    |
|                              | 2.2 Capital Structure            | 10   |
|                              | 2.3 Capital Structure Theories   | 11   |
|                              | 2.3.1 The Trade-Off Theory       | 11   |
|                              | 2.3.2 The Agency Theory          | 15   |
|                              | 2.3.3 Information Cost Theories  | 17   |
|                              | 2.3.3.1 The Signalling Theory    | 17   |
|                              | 2.3.3.2 The Pecking Order Theory | 18   |
|                              | 2.3.4 Contracting Cost Theory    | 20   |

|           | 2.4 Determinants of Capital Structure        | 23 |
|-----------|--|----|
|           | 2.4.1 Profitability                          | 24 |
|           | 2.4.2 Firm Size                              | 25 |
|           | 2.4.3 Company Growth                         | 27 |
|           | 2.4.4 Tangibility                            | 29 |
|           |  |    |
| CHAPTER 3 | RESEARCH METHODOLOGY                         |    |
|           | 3.1 Introduction                             | 31 |
|           | 3.2 Research Design                          | 31 |
|           | 3.3 Data Collection                          | 32 |
|           | 3.4 Variables Measurements                   | 32 |
|           | 3.4.1 Dependent Variable                     | 32 |
|           | 3.4.2 Independent Variable                   | 33 |
|           | 3.4.3 Conceptual Framework                   | 34 |
|           | 3.5 Instruments / Modelling                  | 34 |
|           | 3.6 Data Analysis                            | 35 |
|           | 3.6.1 Descriptive Analysis                   | 35 |
|           | 3.6.2 Panel Unit Root Test                   | 36 |
|           | 3.6.3 Breusch and Pagan LM Test              | 36 |
|           | 3.6.4 Hausman Test                           | 36 |
|           | 3.6.5 Diagnostics Test                       | 37 |
|           | 3.6.5.1 Modified Wald Test                   | 37 |
|           | 3.6.5.2 Variance Inflation Factor Test       | 38 |
|           | 3.6.5.3 The Wooldridge Test                  | 38 |
|           | 3.6.6 Pearson's Correlation Coefficient Test | 39 |
|           | 3.6.7 Multiple Regression Test               | 40 |
|           | 3.7 Research Flow                            | 40 |
|           | 3.8 Summary                                  | 41 |

#### ABSTRACT

The capital structure is how a firm finances its overall operations and growth by using different sources of funds. It is also a mixture of debt and equity management. Many factors affect the capital structure and due to that, this study examines the influence of firm size, tangibility, profitability and growth as the independent variables of the capital structure of companies listed on Bursa Malaysia. The purpose of this study is to examine and determine the effect of each selected independent variables towards the capital structure. This study examines the leverage for the construction companies listed in Bursa Malaysia with the period of 7 years from 2011 – 2017. The sample data obtained from 30 listed construction companies with a total number of 210 observations. The dependent variable is debt ratio with the expression of total debt divided by total assets. This study uses 2 models which to regress the variables simultaneously and separately. The end result of this study shows that growth is the only variable that has influence towards debt ratio with a positive relationship. This shows that 30 construction companies are heavily rely on internal funds rather than external funds when new projects started to occur. However, for future researchers who have the intention to further this study, it is suggested to change the formula of the debt ratio and express it with total debt divided by total equity in order to avoid any data analysis problem as some of the independent variables might contain the same formula.

however they have to now certain amount of agree

### LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE         |  | PAGE |
|---------------|--|------|
| Table 3.1     | Dependent Variable with their measurement    | 33   |
| Table 3.2     | Independent Variables with their measurement | 33   |
| Table 4.1.1   | Sample Firms                                 | 42   |
| Table 4.1.2   | Descriptive Analysis                         | 43   |
| Table 4.2.1   | Linear Regression                            | 44   |
| Table 4.2.3.1 | Variance Inflation Factor                    | 46   |
| Table 4.2.3.2 | Modified Wald Test                           | 47   |
| Table 4.2.3.3 | Wooldridge Test                              | 48   |
| Table 4.2.4   | Liner Regression (Cluster Code)              | 48   |
| Table 4.3     | Linear Regression                            | 50   |
| Table 4.3.1.1 | Variance Inflation Factor                    | 51   |
| Table 4.3.1.2 | Modified Wald Test                           | 52   |
| Table 4.3.1.3 | Wooldridge Test                              | 52   |
| Table 4.3.1.4 | Liner Regression (Cluster)                   | 53   |
| Table 4.4     | Null Hypothesis                              | 54   |