

SELF-EFFICACY AND MOTIVATION AS PREDICTORS OF PHYSICAL ACTIVITY STATUS IN A CLIMBING COMMUNITY

Azfar Abrar, & Ummi Kalthum Mohd Mokhtar*

Faculty of Sports Science and Recreation, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Negeri Sembilan Branch, Seremban Campus, Negeri Sembilan, MALAYSIA *Corresponding author: ummikhalthum@uitm.edu.my

Keywords: Participation barriers, Physical activity, Climbing community, Intrapersonal factors, Self-efficacy

I. Introduction

Understanding barriers to sports participation and physical activity levels is crucial for fostering a healthy lifestyle. This study focuses on the *Camp5* climbing community, aiming to identify obstacles hindering participation, assess their physical activity status, and examine the relationship between these factors [1]. The findings aim to contribute valuable insights to promote engagement in climbing and other physical activities, ultimately supporting better health and well-being.

II. METHODS

This study employed a quantitative, non-experimental survey approach to examine barriers to sports participation and physical activity status. Data were collected via an online questionnaire from 129 *Camp5* climbers selected through simple random sampling. The IPAQ-SF questionnaire assessed physical activity status, while descriptive and correlation analyses identified key barriers and their relationship with activity status, offering insights into participation challenges within the climbing community [2].

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Barriers to Sports Participation

The study revealed that intrapersonal barriers had the highest mean score (1.68 ± 0.48) , followed by organizational-environmental (1.64 ± 0.59) and relational-environmental barriers (1.63 ± 0.56) . Interpersonal barriers had the lowest mean (1.52 ± 0.56) . Surprisingly, personal attitudes and motivations were more impactful than external influences (Table 1).

TABLE I
DESCRIPTIVE STATISTIC OF BARRIERS TO SPORTS PARTICIPATION

Variable	Mean	SD
Intrapersonal Barriers	1.68	0.482
Organizational- Environmental Barriers	1.64	0.585
Relational- Environmental Barriers	1.63	0.562
Interpersonal Barriers	1.52	0.563

B. Assessing Physical Activity Status

A majority (93%) of participants were classified as active, indicating a highly engaged climbing community. While differences across demographic factors were not examined, the higher activity status suggests that existing support structures may counterbalance participation barriers. This reinforces the idea that a well-established climbing culture fosters physical activity despite individual challenges.

TABLE I
DESCRIPTIVE STATISTIC OF PHYSICAL ACTIVITY STATUS

Physical Activity Status	Counts	%
Inactive	9	7
Active	120	93
Total	129	100

C. Relationship Between Barriers to Sports Participation and Physical Activity Status

A significant negative correlation (r = -0.60, p < 0.01) was found between participation barriers and physical activity status. Intrapersonal barriers had the strongest impact, underscoring the role of self-efficacy and motivation. Addressing these psychological factors through targeted interventions could enhance participation rates, promoting greater engagement in the Camp5 climbing community.

TABLE I
PEARSON CORRELATION BETWEEN BARRIERS TO SPORTS PARTICIPATION AND THE
PHYSICAL ACTIVITY STATUS

	Physical Activity Status		
Barriers to Sports Participation	Pearson Chi-Square	-0.60	
	df	127	
	Sig (2-tailed)	< 0.01	
	N	129	

IV. Conclusions

This study highlights intrapersonal barriers as the most significant challenge to sports participation among *Camp5* climbers. Despite higher physical activity status, barriers negatively correlate with participation. Addressing psychological factors, such as self-efficacy and motivation, through targeted interventions could further enhance

A., Abrar, & U.K.M., Mokhtar, Proceedings of the International Graduate Colloquium: Sports and Physical Exercise Assembly of Knowledge Sharing, i-SPEAK, 2025, 05th–06th February, Malaysia.

engagement and promote sustained participation in the climbing community [3].

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I sincerely thank the 129 *Camp5* climbers, staff at Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM) Kampus Seremban for their invaluable support in this study.

REFERENCES

- [1] Pedersen MRL, Hansen AF, Elmose-Østerlund K. Motives and Barriers Related to Physical Activity and Sport across Social Backgrounds: Implications for Health Promotion. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021 May 28;18(11):5810.
- [2] Sevil J, Práxedes A, Abarca-Sos A, Del Villar F, García-González L. Levels of physical activity, motivation and barriers to participation in university students. J Sports Med Phys Fitness. 2016 Oct;56(10):1239-1248.
- [3] Blom V, Drake E, Kallings LV, Ekblom MM, Nooijen CFJ. The effects on self-efficacy, motivation and perceived barriers of an intervention targeting physical activity and sedentary behaviours in office workers: a cluster randomized control trial. BMC Public Health. 2021 Jun 2;21(1):1048.