

CAPITAL STRUCTURE AND FIRM PERFORMANCE: THE EVIDENCE ON MALAYSIA'S LISTED REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT AND SERVICES SECTOR

NURUL ANNA FADILAH INJAN 2013946051

BACHELOR OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION (HONS) FINANCE FACULTY OF BUSINESS MANAGEMENT UINIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA SABAH

JUNE 2016

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Firstly, I wish to thank God for giving me the opportunity to embark on my degree and for completing this long and challenging journey successfully.

This research paper has been complete successfully done with the assistance of various authorities. I would like to take this chance to express my greatest appreciation to those authorities who have lent a hand in helping and guiding me to complete this research project.

At first, I would like to thank Universiti Teknologi Mara (UiTM) Sabah for providing me this opportunity to conduct this research. This allows me to learn, gain knowledge and experience in conducting a research.

In addition, I would like to express my greatest gratitude to my advisor, Ms. Dg Ku Habibah Binti Ag Kee. I appreciate for all her patient guidance, invaluable advice, motivation and encouragement throughout the entire research project. Ms. Habibah is always giving me constructive comment and commitment to answer my queries promptly. Besides, Ms. Habibah has been sacrificed her valuable time and stood by me whenever I were in need for her assistance.

Last but not least, I would like to thank to my beloved parents, all my members and friends who always support, contribute their best effort and valuable time in order to help me with this research project. During the completion of this research, we have become more cooperative and widen our knowledge and horizon. This has contributed me more inspiration to complete this research successfully. Alhamdulilah.

CONTENT

CONFIRMATION BY PANEL OF EXAMINERS		111			
AUTHOR'S DECLARATION		IV			
LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL ACKNOWLEDGEMENT CONTENT LIST OF TABLES LIST OF FIGURES LIST OF APPENDICES ABBREVIATIONS NOTATIONS		V VI VIII X XII XIII XIIV			
			ABSTRACT		XV
			CHAI	PTER ONE INTRODUCTION	
			1.1	Background of Study	1
				1.1.1 Real Estate Investment and Services	2
			1.2	Problem Statement	3
			1.3	Research Objective	4
				1.3.1 Objectives	5
1.4	Significant of Study	6			
1.5	Limitation of Study	7			
CHAI	PTER TWO LITERATURE REVIEW				
2.1	Introduction	9			
2.2	Theories of Capital Structure	9			
	2.2.1 The Proposition of Modigliani and Miller	10			

	2.2.2 Pecking Order Theory	11
	2.2.3 Trade-off Theory	12
2.3	Literature Review (Empirical Studies)	15
2.4	Conceptual Theoretical Framework	29
2.5	Summary of Literature	30
2.6	Hypotheses Development	32
CHAP'	TER THREE DATA AND METHODOLOGY	
3.1	Introduction	36
3.2	Research Design	36
3.3	Data Collection	37
3.4	Empirical Model	39
3.5	Measurement of Variables	39
	3.5.1 Dependent Variables	39
	3.5.1.1 Return on Assets	40
	3.5.2 Independent Variables	41
	3.5.3 Control Variable	41
3.6	Estimation Procedure	42
3.7	Data Analysis	43
	3.7.1 Normality Test	43
	3.7.2 Unit Root Test	44
	3.7.3 Poolability Hypothesis Test	44
	3.7.4 Breusch-Pagan LM Test	44
	3.7.5 Hausman Test	45
	3.7.6 Fixed Effects Model	45
	3.7.7 Random Effects Model	46

ABSTRACT

Capital structure is one of the most important issues for firms in order to achieve better financial and market performance. The main objective of this study is to examine the relationship between capital structure and firm performance. The investigation includes 40 Real Estate Investment and Services firms listed on Bursa Malaysia for the period of 2011-2015 using panel data analysis. The study utilize short term debt (STD), long term debt (LTD), total debt to total asset (TDTA) and total debt to total equity (TDTE) as proxies of financial leverage for independent variables. Return on asset (ROA) is used as proxies of firm performance for dependent variable. Firm size was used as control variable in the study. The data was obtained through secondary data. This study used regression analysis and descriptive statistics to come out with the results. Therefore, the empirical result finds that STD and TDTA has a significant negative relationship with ROA, meanwhile LTD, TDTE and Size have a positive relationship with ROA. However, only TDTA and Size of a firm have a significant relationship to return on assets of a firm.