

**EVALUATION OF PHYSICOCHEMICAL PROPERTIES AND
NUTRIENT CONTENT OF HARUMANIS MANGO LEAF AND
FRUIT IN ASSOCIATION WITH INSIDIOUS FRUIT ROT (IFR)**

NURUL NAJWA AFIQAH BINTI KAMARUL ZAMAN

**BACHELOR OF SCIENCE (Hons.) BIOLOGY
FACULTY OF APPLIED SCIENCES
UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA**

JULY 2022

This Final year project report entitles “**EVALUATION OF PHYSICOCHEMICAL PROPERTIES AND NUTRIENT CONTENT OF HARUMANIS MANGO LEAF AND FRUIT IN ASSOCIATION WITH INSIDIOUS FRUIT ROT (IFR)**” was submitted by Nurul Najwa Afiqah Binti Kamarul Zaman, in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of Bachelor of Sciences (Hons.) Biology, in the Faculty of Applied Science and was approved by

Dr. Najihah Farhan Binti Sabri

Supervisor

Faculty of Plantation and Agrotechnology

Universiti Teknologi MARA

02600 Arau

Perlis

En. Syukri Bin Noor Azman

Project Coordinator

B. Sc. (Hons.) Biology

Faculty of Applied Sciences

Universiti Teknologi MARA

02600 Arau

Perlis

Pn. Zalina Binti Zainal Abidin

Head of Programme

B. Sc. (Hons.) Biology

Faculty of Applied Sciences

Universiti Teknologi MARA

02600 Arau

Perlis

Date: _____

ABSTRACT

EVALUATION OF PHYSICOCHEMICAL PROPERTIES AND NUTRIENT CONTENT OF HARUMANIS MANGO LEAF AND FRUIT IN ASSOCIATION WITH INSIDIOUS FRUIT ROT (IFR)

In Perlis, the primary limiting factor for the commercial production of Harumanis mango is the insidious fruit rot (IFR) caused by physiological or deficiency disorder. The information on nutrient content that caused the disorder, the management practice, and environmental factors is useful to prevent postharvest losses. This study is to determine physicochemical properties and nutrient content in fruit and Harumanis leaves with IFR incidence. This study was done at the Harumanis plot B; Unit Ladang, UiTM Arau, Perlis. About nine trees out of 60 trees were chosen systematically with a total of 57 fruits successfully harvested. Fruits were examined for IFR using the IFR Harumanis Analyzer at Federal Agricultural Marketing Authority (FAMA) Negeri Perlis, before postharvest treatment and followed with the cutting section method after postharvest handling. The fruits and leaves from each tree were also examined for nutritional analysis and physicochemical analysis, such as pH, total soluble solids (TSS), and titratable acidity tests (TA). Harumanis fruit with IFR had a higher total soluble solid (TSS) value ($17.01 \pm 1.28\%$) than fruit without IFR ($16.22 \pm 1.38\%$). The value for titratable acidity (TA) in fruit with IFR ($0.72 \pm 0.29\%$) is higher than the value for TA in fruit without IFR ($0.60 \pm 0.22\%$). For pH, fruit with IFR has lower pH (3.90 ± 0.48) than fruit without IFR (4.00 ± 0.31). For nutrient analysis, calcium (Ca) has the highest percentage (0.014%-0.024%) in leaves, followed by potassium (0.008%-0.017%K) and phosphorus (0.0013%-0.0026%P) meanwhile in Harumanis fruits, K is higher (0.026%-0.048%), followed by Ca (0.0016%-0.0029%) and P (0.0015%-0.0023%). In contrast, a low concentration of Ca (0.0016%-0.0029%) suggested causes for the IFR in Harumanis fruit. In conclusion, the physicochemical and nutrient contents of Harumanis fruits and leaves were discovered differently from those without IFR fruit incidence.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF SYMBOLS	x
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xi
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Background of the study	1
1.2 Problem statement	3
1.3 Significances of study	4
1.4 Objectives of study	5
1.5 Research questions	5
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Harumanis growth and development	6
2.2 Nutrient composition in mango fruit and leaves	7
2.2.1 Mango fruit	7
2.2.2 Mango leaf	11
2.3 Postharvest physiochemical changes in fruit	12
2.4 Insidious fruit rot	14
CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY	
3.1 Experimental site	16
3.2 Materials, apparatus, and chemicals	17
3.2.1 Material	17
3.2.2 Apparatus	17
3.2.3 Chemicals	18
3.3 Leaf sampling and sample preparation	18
3.4 Fruit sampling and sample preparation	19

3.5	IFR detection in Harumanis fruit	19
3.6	Nutrient composition analysis	21
3.7	Physiochemical analysis	21
	3.7.1 Total soluble solids (TSS)	22
	3.7.2 pH	22
	3.7.3 Titratable acidity (TA)	22
CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		
4.1	Descriptive analysis	24
4.2	IFR detection using IFR Harumanis Analyzer	26
4.3	IFR detection through elongated cutting section method	28
4.4	Physio-chemical analysis in fruit	30
	4.4.1 Relationship between titratable acidity and pH	33
4.5	Nutrient analysis in fruits and leaves	34
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS		
5.1	Conclusion	38
5.2	Recommendations	39
	REFERENCES	41
	APPENDICES	45
	<i>CURRICULAM VITAE</i>	73