

# A STUDY OF MALAYSIAN NATIONAL UNIT TRUST FUNDS PERFORMANCE: CASE STUDY OF AMANAH SAHAM NASIONAL BERHAD (ASNB)

ISMAIL BIN UPIA 2005204637

BACHELOR OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION
WITH HONOURS (FINANCE)
FACULTY OF BUSINESS MANAGEMENT
UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA
SARAWAK
OCTOBER 2009

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

Firstly, I would like to express my deepest gratification to personnel who gave me support, guidance and encouragement throughout the four and half year of doing my Bachelor of Business Administration with Honours (Finance). Without them I might be unsuccessful to endure all the painstaking in doing the course.

I express my very special gratitude to my project advisor, Dr. Abg Feizal Abg. Ibrahim, who has tirelessly given advised and discussed crucial problems. His helpful guidance, counsel and patience not only in this project but throughout the entire program have been invaluable and deeply appreciated. I'm deeply grateful for his invaluable suggestions, helpful comments and continued encouragement. Without him this project would never have been started, let alone finished. I have been fortunate to have benefits from his intellectual insights and warm friendship which I will always remember.

With regards to this, I wished to records my thanks to Mr. Abg. Sulaiman Haji Abg. Naim, Coordinator off- Campus Program, Madam Faridah Shamsuddin, management and staffs of ASNB Kuching and Kuala Lumpur branches for attention and patience in providing the statistics, data, information and queries.

Last but not least, I wish to extend my thanks and appreciation to family Mas Ayu Suleiman, Mas Intan Ismail and Isma Zulkarnain Ismail for being understanding, patience and supports throughout the lengthy cause. Without their sacrifices and tolerance, it would difficult to undergo their period as much effort and time are withdrawn being with them.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

CHAPTERS	DESCRIPTIONS	PAGE
	Declaration of Original Work	ii
	Letter of Submission	iii
	Acknowledgement	iv
	Table of Contents	v
	List of Tables	vi
	List of Figures	vii
	List of Computer Output	viii
	List Abbreviation	ix
	Abstract	X
1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1.1	Background of the Study	1
1.1.2	Amanah Saham Nasional Berhad (ASNB)	4
1.1.3	How is Unit Trust Funds Work?	6
1.2	Statement of Problem	8
1.3	Scope of Study	9
1.4	Objectives of the Study	11
1.5	Significance of the Study	12
1.6	Definitions of Terms	13
1.7	Limitations of the Study	16
1.8	Organization of the Report	16
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	17
2.1.1	Risk	17
2.1.2	Return	20
2.1.3	Bursa Saham Malaysia	24
3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY AND DESIGN	28
3.1	Research Design	28
3.2	Hypotheses	29
3.3	Theoretical Framework	31
3.3.1	Procedure of Data Analysis	31
3.4	Data Collection Method	34
3.5	Sampling Technique	34
4	DATA ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS	35
5	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	45
5.1	Recommendation	49
5.2	Direction for Future Research	49
5.3	Conclusion	49
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	51
	APPENDICES	54

#### **ABSTRACT**

This paper seeks to focus on the return and risk of ASNB unit trust over the period since each fund started operating which is between 1990 until 2008. Four types fund of ASNB which is ASD, ASW2020, ASM and ASB unit trust have been randomly selected from ten type's fund of unit trust that managed and offered by ASNB. The market benchmark use is KLCI Second Board.

The researcher will investigate the ASNB unit trusts average return, determine the total risk of ASNB, the relationship of ASNB unit trust return with KLCI Second Board return and the relationship of ASNB unit trusts risk with the KLCI Second Board risk.

This paper use the annual total return, average return, standard deviation, coefficient of variation formula methods and Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPPS) version 17.0 software to achieve the research objectives.

The finding shows that the KLCI Second Board has the negative average yearly return. ASB shows the highest average yearly return followed by ASD, ASW2020 and ASM. The total risk that based on the value of standard deviation shows the highest total risk was ASD, followed by ASM, ASB and ASW2020. While Coefficient of Variation shows that ASW2020 has the better risk-return tradeoff, followed by ASB, ASM and ASD.

#### CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

## 1.1.1 Background of the Study

The unit trust in Malaysia was first established by British investors in 1959 with the introduction of the Malaysia Unit Trust Ltd. It is called unit trusts instead of mutual fund because the ownership of the fund is divided into unit of entitlement. The turning point for the industry was when the Malaysian government decided to enter into the industry by launching a government sponsored unit know as Skim Amanah Saham Nasional (ASN). The initial intention of launching the unit trust was to help improve the indigenous Malays' (Bumiputera's) social-economy status (Fauziah et al., 2002).

The Federation of Malaysia Unit Trust Manager (FMUTM) stated that the unit trust industry in Malaysia has therefore a history of more than four (4) decades. The development of this industry can be presented in chronological order as follows:

#### i) The Formative Years: 1959 – 1979

The first two decades in the history of the unit trust industry were characterized by slow growth in the sales of units and a lack of public interest in the new investment product. Only five unit trust management companies were established, with a total of 18 funds introduced over that period. The industry was regulated by several parties including the Registrar of Companies, The Public Trustee of Malaysia, Bank Negara Malaysia and the Ministry of Domestic Trade and Consumer Affairs. The 1970s also witnessed the emergence of state government sponsored unit