

**UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA**

**TECHNICAL REPORT**

**THE MALL SELECTION USING ANALYTICAL  
HIERARCHY PROCESS (AHP) APPROACH**

**P25M19**

**NUR FAYYADHAH BINTI MD DIN  
NUR KHAIRIYAH BINTI AMRAN  
ROSLIZA BINTI MUAIN**

**Bachelor of Science (Hons.) Management Mathematics  
Faculty of Computer and Mathematical Sciences**

**JULY 2019**

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Firstly, we grateful to Allah S.W.T for giving us the strength to complete this project successfully. We would like to express our deepest appreciation to all those who provide us the possibility to complete this report. A special gratitude we give to our final year project supervisor, Madam Rasidah Binti Buang whose contribution in stimulating suggestions and encouragement helped us to coordinate our project especially in writing this report.

Furthermore, we would like to acknowledge with much appreciation the crucial role of the respondents at UiTM Seremban for giving us great response for helping us to collect our data. We would like to praise our friends who supported and motivated us and stood beside us throughout our final year project.

Last but not least, we would like to praise our parents and family members, with whom this project came into reality. May Allah bless our parents. We dedicate our report to our respective families.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....	i
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	ii
LIST OF TABLES.....	iii
LIST OF FIGURES.....	iv
ABSTRACT.....	v
1.0 INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 PROBLEM STATEMENT .....	3
1.2 OBJECTIVES .....	3
1.3 SIGNIFICANCE OF THE PROJECT. ....	4
1.4 SCOPE OF THE PROJECT. ....	4
1.5 DEFINITION OF TERMS.....	5
2.0 BACKGROUND THEORY AND LITERATURE REVIEW .....	6
2.1 Background Theory .....	6
2.2 Literature Review.....	8
3.0 METHODOLOGY .....	12
3.1 Research Process .....	12
3.2 Algorithm .....	16
3.2.1 Calculation for the weightage of criteria. ....	16
3.2.2 Calculation for the weightage of alternatives.....	20
4.0 IMPLEMENTATION .....	22
4.1 Calculation of Weightage for Criteria.....	22
4.2 Calculation of Weightage for Alternative .....	27
5.0 RESULT AND DISCUSSION .....	34
5.1 Criteria. ....	34
5.2 Alternative.....	39
5.3 Percentage of Respondents for Criteria .....	45
5.4 Percentage of Respondents for Alternatives.....	52
6.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION .....	60
7.0 REFERENCES.....	61
8.0 APPENDICES .....	62
8.1 The Sampling of Questionnaire .....	62

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: The Definition of Terms .....	5
Table 2: Fundamental AHP Judgment Scale .....	17
Table 3: Random Index of Analytic Hierarchy Process .....	19
Table 4: Data of Criteria Obtained from Respondent 1 .....	22
Table 5: Summation of Each Column in Pairwise Comparison .....	23
Table 6: Weightage of Each Criterion .....	24
Table 7: Data of Alternative Obtained from Respondent 1 .....	27
Table 8: Summation of Each Column .....	28
Table 9: Weightage of Each Alternative Based on Criteria 1 ( $C_1$ ) .....	30
Table 10: Weightage for Each Alternative Based on Other Criteria .....	32
Table 11: Weightage of Each Alternative .....	33
Table 12: Weightage of Criteria .....	34
Table 13: Weightage for Gender Based on Criteria .....	35
Table 14: Weightage of Resident Based on Criteria .....	36
Table 15: Weightage for Faculty Based on Criteria .....	37
Table 16: Weightage of Alternatives .....	39
Table 17: Weightage Alternatives Based on Gender .....	40
Table 18: Weightage for Alternatives Based on Resident .....	41
Table 19: Weightage of Alternatives Based on Faculty .....	43
Table 20: Percentage of Respondents for Criteria .....	45
Table 21: Percentage of Respondents for Male Based on Criteria .....	46
Table 22: Percentage of Respondents for Non-Resident and Resident Based on Criteria .....	47
Table 23: Percentage of respondents for Faculty Based on Criteria .....	49
Table 24: Percentage of Respondents for Alternatives .....	52
Table 25: Percentage of Respondents for Gender Based on Alternatives .....	53
Table 26: Percentage of Respondents for Non-resident and Resident Based on Alternatives .....	55
Table 27: Percentage of Respondents for Faculty Based on Criteria .....	57

## **ABSTRACT**

In this study, an attempt has been made to study the preferable mall selection among respondents by using AHP method. The objective of this study is to determine the most important criteria that considered during the mall selection and to determine the most preferred mall among respondents. This model can assist the customers in identifying malls that most likely to deliver satisfactory to them. An AHP-based model is tested in this study by using a hypothetical scenario in which malls are evaluated. The criteria used for mall selection in the model are identified, and the significance of each criterion is determined using questionnaire. Comparisons are made by ranking the aggregate score of each mall based on each criterion, and the mall with the highest score is deemed the best. The result is evaluated by using an excel spreadsheet. The result shows that the most important criteria in this study is facilities and the most preferred mall among respondents is AEON. Although the technique may be applied in selection of any form of accommodation, but the result may not be generalized due to limitation in geographical coverage and small population.