# **UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA**

## **TECHNICAL REPORT**

### UPPER BOUND OF SECOND HANKEL DETERMINANT FOR SUBCLASS OF CLOSE-TO-CONVEX FUNCTIONS

### P01M19

MUHAMMAD FAZRUL BIN AZMI	2017412714
AMIN IMAN BIN MUHD JELAINI	2017412816
ANIZA IZATI BINTI JAFARI	2017261344

Report submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Bachelor of Science (Hons.) Mathematics Faculty of Computer and Mathematical Sciences

**JULY 2019** 

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

#### IN THE NAME OF ALLAH, THE MOST GRACIOUS, THE MOST MERCIFUL

We are grateful to ALLAH S.W.T. for giving us the strength to complete this final year project successfully. We would like to express our great appreciation to our parents whose non-stop to give their supports, finances and also pray for us to finish this project.

The success and final outcomes of this project required a lot of guidance and assistance from many people. We also give a special gratitude to our supervisor, Mr Abdullah bin Yahya from the Faculty of Computer and Mathematical Sciences, Universiti Teknologi MARA for his valuable effort and contribution in stimulating suggestions and guide us to coordinate our project. His willingness to give his precious time and constant encouragement has been much appreciating.

Besides that, we wish to thank Universiti Teknologi MARA Seremban and all the staff who have facilitated us in the making of this final year project successful.

Last but not least, we would like to thank our fellow friends for their moral supports and others who has supported us in many ways, directly or indirectly such as give advices and financial support in completing this project. A great appreciations and thanks also to the group members who struggled, give the cooperation, sharing the ideas and willing to spend time together in the crucial situations even though each of us has many commitments.

Thank you.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS ii
TABLE OF CONTENTSiii
LIST OF TABLESiv
LIST OF FIGURES iv
ABSTRACTv
LIST OF SYMBOLS vi
1. INTRODUCTIONS
1.1 Problem Statements
1.2 Objectives
1.3 Scope of the Projects
1.4 Significance and Benefit of the Study4
1.5 Limitation of the Projects
2. BACKGROUND THEORY AND LITERATURE REVIEW
2.1 Background Theory of Hankel Determinant
2.1 Background Theory of Hankel Determinant
2.1 Background Theory of Hankel Determinant 6   2.2 Fekete-Szegö functional 7   2.3 Second Hankel determinant 9
2.1 Background Theory of Hankel Determinant 6   2.2 Fekete-Szegö functional 7   2.3 Second Hankel determinant 9   3. METHODOLOGY AND IMPLEMENTATION 13
2.1 Background Theory of Hankel Determinant62.2 Fekete-Szegö functional72.3 Second Hankel determinant93. METHODOLOGY AND IMPLEMENTATION134. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS17
2.1 Background Theory of Hankel Determinant62.2 Fekete-Szegö functional72.3 Second Hankel determinant93. METHODOLOGY AND IMPLEMENTATION134. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS174.1 Introductions17
2.1 Background Theory of Hankel Determinant62.2 Fekete-Szegö functional72.3 Second Hankel determinant93. METHODOLOGY AND IMPLEMENTATION134. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS174.1 Introductions174.2 Representation Theorems18
2.1 Background Theory of Hankel Determinant62.2 Fekete-Szegö functional72.3 Second Hankel determinant93. METHODOLOGY AND IMPLEMENTATION134. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS174.1 Introductions174.2 Representation Theorems184.3 Main Result22
2.1 Background Theory of Hankel Determinant62.2 Fekete-Szegö functional72.3 Second Hankel determinant93. METHODOLOGY AND IMPLEMENTATION134. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS174.1 Introductions174.2 Representation Theorems184.3 Main Result224.4 Validation of Results(improve)34
2.1 Background Theory of Hankel Determinant62.2 Fekete-Szegö functional72.3 Second Hankel determinant93. METHODOLOGY AND IMPLEMENTATION134. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS174.1 Introductions174.2 Representation Theorems184.3 Main Result224.4 Validation of Results(improve)345. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS36
2.1 Background Theory of Hankel Determinant62.2 Fekete-Szegö functional72.3 Second Hankel determinant93. METHODOLOGY AND IMPLEMENTATION134. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS174.1 Introductions174.2 Representation Theorems184.3 Main Result224.4 Validation of Results(improve)345. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS36REFERENCES37
2.1 Background Theory of Hankel Determinant62.2 Fekete-Szegö functional72.3 Second Hankel determinant93. METHODOLOGY AND IMPLEMENTATION134. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS174.1 Introductions174.2 Representation Theorems184.3 Main Result224.4 Validation of Results(improve)345. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS36REFERENCES37APPENDIX A40

### ABSTRACT

Geometric function theory is an extraordinary area of complex analysis. This area of study is more often associated with geometric properties of analytic function such as extremal properties, radius properties, representation theorem and coefficient bound. Many researchers raised the interest in studying properties in different classes that have been introduced. In this research, we focus on defining new subclasses of analytic functions,  $L(\alpha, \delta, t, s)$  afterwards determining the upper bound of second Hankel determinant for the selected class of function.

We introduce a new subclasses of close-to-convex function class,  $L(\alpha, \delta, t, s)$  defined in the open unit disc,  $U = \{z \in U : |z| < 1\}$ , for which satisfies  $\operatorname{Re}\left[e^{i\alpha} \frac{zf'(z)}{g'(z)}\right] > \delta$  where,  $|\alpha| \le \pi$ ,  $\cos \alpha \ge \delta$ ,  $0 \le \delta \le 1$ ,  $g'(z) = -\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $|z| \le t \le 1$ ,  $|z| \le s \le 1$ . From

 $|\alpha| \le \pi$ ,  $\cos \alpha > \delta$ ,  $0 \le \delta \le 1$ ,  $g'(z) = \frac{1}{(1+tz)(1-sz)}$ , s > t,  $-1 \le t < 1$  and  $-1 < s \le 1$ . From the define subclasses of function, we then focusing on finding the upper bounds of one of the coefficient inequalities in geometric functions theory which is second Hankel

determinant,  $|a_2a_4 - a_2^3|$ . Consequently, from the objective, we need to use some lemmas to obtain the result. Result will then be verified by reducing it to Kaharudin et al. (2011). If this study is successful, it will lead to development of research in this area of study.

### LIST OF SYMBOLS

SYMBOL	DESCRIPTION FOR THE SYMBOLS
C	Set of complex number
D	Domain
S	Univalent functions
U	Open unit disc $U, \{z \in U :  z  < 1\}$
4	Class of normalized analytic functions in the open unit disc, $U$ in the form of :
	$f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + + a_n z^n = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$
k(z)	Koebe functions
k(z)	Koebe functions Class of all function of form
k(z) P	Koebe functions Class of all function of form $p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + + p_n z^n + = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n$
k(z) P S*	Koebe functions Class of all function of form $p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + + p_n z^n + = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n$ Class for the starlike functions
k(z) P S <sup>*</sup> K	Koebe functions Class of all function of form $p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + + p_n z^n + = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n$ Class for the starlike functions Class for the convex functions
k(z) P S <sup>*</sup> K Ct	Koebe functions Class of all function of form $p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + + p_n z^n + = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n$ Class for the starlike functions Class for the convex functions Class for the close-to-convex